

EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING COMMITTEE 2024 ANNUAL REPORT & WORKPLAN



Submitted to the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection

Approved: MONTH XX, 2025

Dr. Liz Forsburg Pardi, Co-Chair
California State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection

Drew Coe, Co-Chair
California State Department of Forestry & Fire Protection

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1

I. EMC PROCESS..... 1

II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF..... 3

III. EMC SUPPORTED MONITORING PROJECTS – 2015 to 2024 5

IV. EMC PRIORITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS..... 12

 2024 EMC Priorities and Accomplishments..... 12

 2025 EMC Priorities..... 18

V. EMC PROJECT UPDATES AND PRODUCTS 18

 EMC-2015-001 (8CA03650): Class II Large Watercourse Study: Multiscale investigation of perennial flow and thermal influence of headwater streams into fish bearing systems 18

 EMC-2016-002: Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality on Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest..... 19

 EMC-2016-003 (8CA03680): Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to Detect Landslides)..... 19

 EMC-2017-001 (8CA03685): Effects of Forest Stand Density Reduction on Nutrient Cycling and Nutrient Transport at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watershed..... 20

 EMC-2017-002: Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird Recorders Study..... 20

 EMC-2017-006 (9CA04020): Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada 20

 EMC-2017-007 (9CA04019): The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management 21

 EMC-2017-008 (9CA04087): Forest Practice Rules to Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Diseases 21

 EMC-2017-012: Assessment of Night-Flying Forest Pest Predator Communities on Demonstration State Forests – with Monitoring across Seral Stages and Silvicultural Prescriptions..... 21

 EMC-2018-003 (9CA04452): Alternative Meadow Restoration 22

 EMC-2018-006 (9CA04453): Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, & Primary Productivity 22

 EMC-2019-002 (9CA04801): Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County, CA 25

 EMC-2019-003 (9CA04824): Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada..... 25

 EMC-2019-005 (9CA04802): Sediment Monitoring and Fish Habitat – San Vicente Accelerated Wood Recruitment..... 25

 EMC-2021-003 (9CA05659): Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in Managed Conifer Forests 26

 EMC-2022-003 (9GA22700): Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study..... 26

 EMC-2022-004 (9GA22701): A Critical Evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation’s Capacity to Accommodate Forest Restoration and Resilience Targets..... 27

The Board’s mission is to lead California in developing policies and programs that serve the public interest in environmentally, economically, and socially sustainable management of forest and rangelands, and a fire protection system that protects and serves the people of the state.

EMC-2022-005 (9GA22702): Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods28

EMC-2023-002 (9GA23701): Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California29

EMC-2023-003 (9GA23700): Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Development and Productivity.....31

VI. POTENTIAL EMC PROJECT IMPACTS TO REGULATIONS31

VII. REFERENCES CITED33

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Sample Anticipated EMC Project Submission and Grant Processing Timeline2

Table 1. Current EMC Membership and Support Staff4

Table 2. Ongoing EMC Projects with Continued Funding and/or Activity in Current (2024/2025) or Upcoming Fiscal Year(s)6

The Board’s mission is to lead California in developing policies and programs that serve the public interest in environmentally, economically, and socially sustainable management of forest and rangelands, and a fire protection system that protects and serves the people of the state.

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2 The Effectiveness Monitoring Committee (EMC) Annual Report and Workplan (Report) is updated and
3 approved by the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection (Board) annually and is intended to catalogue the
4 yearly accomplishments and status of ongoing EMC efforts. The Report summarizes EMC accomplishments,
5 details EMC funding actions for the year, and provides an update of current EMC membership and staffing.
6 For Fiscal Year (FY) 2024/2025, the EMC selected two proposed effectiveness monitoring projects to fund
7 and support. Ongoing projects from prior years continued to be funded and supported; numerous project
8 presentations were provided at four open public EMC meetings; the EMC revised its Charter (see past
9 Charter [EMC 2020](#), and Updated Charter [EMC 2024a](#)); and the EMC welcomed three new members and
10 reappointed three members.

11 I. EMC PROCESS

12 The EMC was formed to develop and implement an effectiveness monitoring program to address both
13 watershed and wildlife concerns, and to provide an active feedback loop to policymakers, managers,
14 agencies, and the public to better assist in decision-making and adaptive management. As an advisory body
15 to the Board, the EMC helps implement an effectiveness monitoring program by soliciting robust scientific
16 research that addresses the effectiveness of these laws at meeting resource objectives and ecological
17 performance measures related to AB 1492 ([AB-1492 California Assembly 2011-2012](#)¹). In particular, the
18 EMC funds robust scientific research aimed at testing the efficacy of the California Forest Practice Rules
19 (FPRs) and other natural resource protection statutes, laws, codes, and regulations.

20 Four formal documents guide the activities and goals of the EMC:

- 21 (1) Charter ([EMC 2024a](#));
- 22 (2) Strategic Plan ([EMC 2022](#)), which is updated approximately every three years;
- 23 (3) Annual Report and Work Plan (i.e., this report), which is updated every calendar year (see [EMC](#)
24 [2024b](#) for the most recent past report); and,
- 25 (4) Research Themes and Critical Monitoring Questions (CMQs) ([EMC 2024g](#)), which may be updated
26 annually as determined necessary by the EMC.

27 All four documents are linked and interact in varying ways to guide the direction and activities of the EMC.
28 The EMC reports on its activities in a variety of ways. The EMC Strategic Plan lays out how the Committee
29 intends to achieve the EMC goals and objectives. This Annual Report and Workplan tracks progress on
30 individual projects, documents the Committee's ranking and selection of proposed monitoring projects, and
31 details other annual accomplishments and ongoing EMC efforts. The EMC conducts open meetings a
32 minimum of four times per year (quarterly) to conduct EMC business, during which progress reports, final
33 reports, or other presentations on EMC-funded projects or other related research may be provided. The
34 EMC Co-Chair that also serves on the Board, or Board staff, may also report on the EMC's activities via
35 verbal updates at Board meetings throughout the year.

¹ https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201120120AB1492

36
37
38
39
40

EMC projects are solicited through an annual Request for Proposals (RFP) which is released following the start of the new FY (see **Figure 1**; also see the most recent RFP, [EMC 2024c](#)). The RFP, ranking, and selection process are detailed in the EMC’s Strategic Plan ([EMC 2022](#)).



Figure 1. EMC Project Submission and Grant Processing Timeline – Example of Funding Cycle for Fiscal Year 2023/24. Key: RFP = Request for Proposals.

41 For FY 2024/25, the EMC’s budget was reduced from the usual funding amount of \$425,000 from the
42 Timber Regulation and Forest Restoration Fund (TRFRF)—established by AB 1492—to \$389,700, a reduction
43 of \$35,300. As such, the original RFP reflected a greater amount of estimated funding available to new
44 projects. Of the allotted FY 2024/25 funds, \$257,710 was allocated to support ongoing, previously awarded
45 projects and \$131,990 remained for new projects starting in FY 2024/25 after the budget reductions were
46 considered (see **Table 2** in [Section III. EMC SUPPORTED MONITORING PROJECTS – 2015 to 2024](#) for a list of
47 active projects and funding status). The EMC anticipates an allocation of \$425,000 in FY 2025/26 and
48 subsequent years. EMC projects have an up to three-year performance period, and the EMC selected and
49 budgeted for the proposed projects with funding terms ending June 30, 2027 based on this anticipated
50 funding. This funding is allocated to projects through the Board/Department of Forestry & Fire Protection
51 (CAL FIRE) grants department.

52 II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF

53 The EMC has 17 mandated seats, including two co-chairs (one appointed from the Board), eight agency
54 representatives, and seven monitoring community members. While not a mandated seat, one additional
55 seat with a representative from the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) is also regularly occupied on the EMC.
56 Additional staff support positions are provided by the Board, CAL FIRE, and other agencies (e.g., Water
57 Boards). In 2024, the EMC welcomed three new members and three members were reappointed. Two seats
58 remained unfilled on the EMC: one seat on the Monitoring Community, and one seat for a representative of
59 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). One seat for a representative of the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) is
60 currently filled by Member Dr. Drury and will be backfilled once an appropriate candidate can be found.
61 More details on member terms and seats follows:

- 62 • The Board approved the EMC’s recommendations for three new members at its September 26th
63 meeting.
 - 64 ○ Givonne G. Law, Fuels Reduction Coordinator for East Bay Regional Parks District, filled an
65 empty seat on the Monitoring Community, bringing her background in forest ecology and
66 forestry to the EMC.
 - 67 ○ Izaac Russo joined the EMC as an agency representative of the North Coast Regional Water
68 Quality Control Board. Member Russo brings experience in geology and water quality to
69 the EMC, filling the seat behind James Burke.
 - 70 ○ Marjan Ghotbizadeh filled Jessica Leonard’s seat as an agency representative of the State
71 Water Resources Control Board, bringing a background in water quality and management.
- 72 • Terms for Members Dr. O’Connor, Dr. Love-Anderegg, and Chinnici—who sit on the Monitoring
73 Community—expired in 2023 or 2024 and were reappointed by the EMC in 2024. The Board
74 approved their recommended appointments at the March and July meetings.
- 75 • One seat on the Monitoring Community remains vacant as of July 2023. The agency representative
76 seat for the USFWS has been vacant for many years, and Board staff continue to frequently reach
77 out to this agency to recruit nominees. While currently filled, the USFS agency representative seat
78 will be back-filled as soon as an appropriate candidate has been identified, nominated, and a public
79 EMC vote can take place. Board staff reaches out to agency staff regularly to request nominees, and
80 all seats are advertised frequently in the Request for Applicants ([EMC 2024f](#)), which is often
81 updated and posted on the [EMC’s webpage](#)² in the ‘News’ box, and on the [Board’s webpage](#)³
82 under the ‘Latest’ header.
- 83 • Member Dr. Forsburg-Pardi’s term expires in January 2025, and if she will not be continuing with
84 the EMC, Board staff will consult with the Board as to an appropriate replacement.

85 The updated Membership Roster is available online at EMC Members and Term Expirations ([EMC 2024d](#)).
86 See **Table 1**, next page, for a list of membership and support staff in 2024.

² <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/board-committees/effectiveness-monitoring-committee/>

³ <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/>

87 **Table 1. Current EMC Membership and Support Staff.**

Name	Specialty	Affiliation	Term End Date
Co-Chairs			
Drew Coe RPF #2981	Hydrology and Forestry	CAL FIRE	06/14/2027
Elizabeth (“Liz”) Forsburg-Pardi, Ph.D.	Forest and Water Policy	Board of Forestry and Fire Protection (Board appointee) The Nature Conservancy	01/15/2025
Monitoring Community			
Michael Jones, Ph.D. RPF #3241	Forest Health and Disturbance Ecology	Forest Advisor Mendocino, Lake, and Sonoma Counties University of California Cooperative Extension	08/17/2026
Matthew Nannizzi	Aquatic Biology	Green Diamond Resource Company	11/02/2026
Sal Chinnici	Wildlife	Humboldt and Mendocino Redwood Companies	07/01/2024
Matthew O’Connor, Ph.D.	Geology and Geomorphology	Public, O’Connor Environmental	01/28/2028
Givonne G. Law	Forest Ecology and Forestry	East Bay Regional Parks District	09/26/2028
Leander Love- Anderegg, Ph.D.	Forest Ecology and Forestry	University of California, Santa Barbara	03/06/2028
VACANT <i>Formerly: Peter Freer- Smith, Ph.D.</i>	<i>Formerly: Plant Ecology and Environmental Policy</i>	<i>Formerly: University of California, Davis</i>	<i>Resigned 07/05/2023</i>
Agency Representatives			
Pending Open Seat Stacy Drury, Ph.D.	Fire Ecology	USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station	n/a
Ben Waitman, Ph.D.	Wildlife	California Department of Fish and Wildlife	n/a
Clesi Bennett	Climate Change, Environmental Justice, and Natural Resources Policy	California Natural Resources Agency	n/a
Marjan Ghotbizadeh	Water Quality and Management	State Water Resources Control Board	n/a
Jonathan Meurer	Geology, Hydrology, and Water Quality	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
Clarence Hostler	Fisheries	National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service	n/a
Bill Short	Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology	California Geological Survey	n/a
Izaak Russo	Geology and Water Quality	North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
VACANT		U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	n/a

Name	Specialty	Affiliation	Term End Date
Support Staff			
Edith Hannigan	Forestry and Fire Protection, Land Use Planning	Executive Officer, Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	n/a
Aaron Rachels	Geology, Engineering, Forest Activities, and Storm Water Management	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
Stacy Stanish RPF #3000	Biology and Fisheries	CAL FIRE	n/a
Dave Fowler	Geology and Water Quality	North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
Kristina Wolf, Ph.D. CRM #122	Rangeland and Restoration Ecology	Environmental Scientist, Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	n/a

Key: CAL FIRE = California Department of Forestry & Fire Protection; CRM = Certified Rangeland Manager; RPF = Registered Professional Forester; USDA = United States Department of Agriculture.

88 III. EMC SUPPORTED MONITORING PROJECTS – 2015 to 2024

89 A comprehensive list of all EMC-supported monitoring projects and links to supporting materials—including
 90 completed and closed projects—can be found on the Board’s [EMC webpage](#)⁴ near the bottom of the
 91 webpage. For a list of currently active projects, see **Table 2**, next page.

⁴ <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/board-committees/effectiveness-monitoring-committee/>

92 **Table 2. Ongoing EMC Projects with Continued Funding and/or Activity in Current (2024/2025) or Upcoming Fiscal Year(s)**

EMC Project # Agreement # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Budget Remaining as of 12/31/2024
EMC-2015-001 8CA03650 \$ 221,271	Class II Large Watercourse Study: Multiscale investigation of perennial flow and thermal influence of headwater streams into fish bearing systems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Kevin Bladon, <i>Oregon State University</i> • Dr. Catalina Segura, <i>Oregon State University</i> 	Drew Coe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Complete and Closed • Final project deliverables received • Anadromous Salmonid Protection Rule change resulted in 2022 • Additional refereed publications anticipated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fully allocated • Project Complete
EMC-2016-002 NA*	Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality on Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joe Wagenbrenner, <i>Michigan Technological University</i> • Kevin Bladon, <i>Oregon State University</i> • Drew Coe, <i>CAL FIRE</i> • Don Lindsay, <i>California Geological Survey</i> 	None [†]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Complete and Closed • Final project deliverables received • Additional refereed publications anticipated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fully allocated via other funding streams outside of the EMC* • Project Complete
EMC-2016-003 8CA03680 \$ 100,000	Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to Detect Landslides)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bill Short, <i>California Geological Survey</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Matthew O'Connor 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress and deliverables up-to-date • Final project deliverables and CRA anticipated 2025 	Fully allocated

EMC Project # Agreement # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Budget Remaining as of 12/31/2024
<u>EMC-2017-001</u> 8CA03686 \$ 192,251	Effects of Forest Stand Density Reduction on Nutrient Cycling and Nutrient Transport at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watershed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Helen Dahlke, <i>University of California, Davis</i> • Dr. Randy Dahlgren, <i>University of California, Davis</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drew Coe • Lance Leigh 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final project report and presentation received • Peer-reviewed publication(s) and CRA anticipated 2025 and beyond 	Fully allocated
<u>EMC-2017-002</u> NA \$ 1,200	Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird Recorders Study	Stacy Stanish, <i>CAL FIRE</i>	Dr. Kristina Wolf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress • Final project deliverables and CRA anticipated 2025 and 2026 	Fully allocated
<u>EMC-2017-006</u> 9CA04020 \$ 114,844	Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada	Dr. Rob York, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Matthew O'Connor • Drew Coe • Matthew Nannizzi 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final project presentation received • Peer-reviewed publication and CRA anticipated 2025 	Fully allocated
<u>EMC-2017-007</u> 9CA04019 \$ 71,278	The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management	Dr. John Battles, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Michael Jones • Dr. Matthew O'Connor • Dr. Leander Love-Anderegg 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final project presentation and report received • CRA anticipated 2025 	Fully allocated
<u>EMC-2017-008</u> 9CA04087 \$ 108,986	Do Forest Practice Rules Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Disease and Bark Beetle Interactions	Dr. Richard Cobb, <i>California Polytechnic State University</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ben Waitman • Marjan Ghotbizadeh 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work completed and final project deliverables received • Three peer-reviewed publications anticipated 2025 	Fully allocated

EMC Project # Agreement # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Budget Remaining as of 12/31/2024
<u>EMC-2017-012</u> NA*	Assessment of Night-Flying Forest Pest Predator Communities on Demonstration State Forests – with Monitoring across Seral Stages and Silvicultural Prescriptions	Dr. Michael Baker, <i>California Department of Forestry & Fire Protection</i>	Drew Coe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress and deliverables up-to-date • Final project deliverables anticipated 2025 and 2026 	Fully allocated via other funding streams outside of the EMC*
<u>EMC-2018-003</u> 9CA04453 \$ 101,802	Alternative Meadow Restoration	Dr. Christopher Surfleet, <i>California Polytechnic State University</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Leander Love-Anderegg • Dr. Matthew O’Connor 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final project deliverables received • Presentation to the Management Committee anticipated in early 2025 • Two peer-reviewed publications anticipated in 2025 	Funds allocated (\$7 reverted)
<u>EMC-2018-006</u> 9CA04452 \$ 694,371	Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, & Primary Productivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Kevin Bladon, <i>Oregon State University</i> • Dr. Catalina Segura, <i>Oregon State University</i> • Matt House, <i>Green Diamond Resource Company</i> • Drew Coe, <i>CAL FIRE</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ben Waitman • Matthew Nannizzi 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final report and presentation received • Peer-reviewed publication and CRA anticipated 2025 	Fully allocated

EMC Project # Agreement # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Budget Remaining as of 12/31/2024
<u>EMC-2019-002</u> 9CA04801 \$ 68,168	Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brad Graevs, <i>Feather River Resource Conservation District</i> • Jason Moghaddas, <i>Spatial Informatics Group</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Stacy Drury • Drew Coe 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final project deliverables received • CRA and peer-reviewed publication anticipated in 2025 	Fully allocated
<u>EMC-2019-003</u> 9CA04824 \$ 156,665	Fuel Treatments & Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dr. Terri Hogue, <i>Colorado School of Mines</i> • Dr. Alicia Kinoshita, <i>San Diego State University</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drew Coe • Givonne Law 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final project deliverables received • CRA and downloadable user tools anticipated 2025 	Funds allocated (\$5,795 reverted)
<u>EMC-2019-005</u> 9CA04802 \$ 56,200	Sediment Monitoring and Fish Habitat – San Vicente Accelerated Wood Recruitment	Cheryl Hayhurst, <i>California Geological Society</i>	Bill Short	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Due to wildfire and other extenuating circumstances, contract term expired and remaining funding reverted • Field tour anticipated in 2025 • Project plan revised and results anticipated in 2026 with alternate funding source 	Funds allocated (\$47,245 reverted)

EMC Project # Agreement # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Budget Remaining as of 12/31/2024
<u>EMC-2021-003</u> 9CA05659 \$ 448,510.00	Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in Managed Conifer Forests	Dr. James Rivers, <i>Oregon State University</i>	Dr. Michael Jones	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress and deliverables up-to-date • Final results, theses, final report and presentation, and CRA anticipated 2025 • Two peer-reviewed publications anticipated 2025 and beyond 	\$ 133,075
<u>EMC-2022-003</u> 9GA22700 \$ 207,876	Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study	Nadia Hamey, <i>Hamey Woods</i>	Jonathan Meurer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding awarded and work in progress • Spring field tour and final deliverables anticipated in 2025 	\$167,838
<u>EMC-2022-004</u> 9GA22701 \$ 85,000	A critical evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation's capacity to accommodate forest restoration and resilience targets	Dr. Rob York, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i>	Dr. Leander Love-Anderegg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress and deliverables generally up-to-date, with additional file submissions pending from 2022 and 2023 • Final project report, presentation and CRA anticipated 2025 	\$34,257
<u>EMC-2022-005</u> 9GA22702 \$ 91,278	Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tori Norville, <i>U.C. Cooperative Extension</i> • Dr. Michael Jones, <i>U.C. Cooperative Extension</i> 	Drew Coe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress and deliverables up-to-date • Final deliverables expected 2026 	\$89,020

EMC Project # Agreement # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Budget Remaining as of 12/31/2024
<u>EMC-2023-002</u> 9GA23701 \$ 94,588	Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and riparian areas of California	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • David Saah, <i>Spatial Informatics Group</i> • Ryan Tompkins, <i>U.C. Cooperative Extension</i> 	Jessica Leonard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress and deliverables up-to-date • Project deliverables anticipated 2025 	\$41,222
<u>EMC-2023-003</u> 9GA23700 \$ 252,492	Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Productivity	Dr. John D. Bailey, <i>Oregon State University</i>	Clarence Hostler	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In progress • Data collection and analysis anticipated 2025 	\$239,439

- 93 Key: CAL FIRE = California Department of Forestry & Fire Protection; CRA = Completed Research Assessment.
- 94 * EMC-supported, but not EMC-funded
- 95 † project liaisons were introduced in late 2020, and the performance period (i.e., funding period) ended prior to assignment of liaisons.

96 IV. EMC PRIORITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

97 **2024 EMC Priorities and Accomplishments**

98 Annual priorities are developed by the EMC and the Board as needs arise and with input from the public
99 and stakeholders via an annual call for input. The EMC's progress on its 2024 priorities (see EMC Priorities in
100 the Annual Report, [EMC 2024b](#)) was as follows:

101 **1. Meet at least four times per year in open meetings accessible to the public.**

102 The EMC met four times virtually and in person in open, webcast meetings to conduct business. Due to
103 the State's travel freeze, meetings were conducted in a hybrid format to allow as many members to
104 attend as possible without incurring travel costs; members attended from the closest location noticed
105 on the EMC agenda, which is published online at least ten business days prior to the meeting. A quorum
106 was present at all four public meetings.

107 **2. Meet in the field at least once to observe active or proposed monitoring projects.**

108 The EMC did not conduct any field tours in 2024, as a Travel Freeze associated with reductions in the
109 State budget were enacted in 2024.

110 **3. Support projects related to the EMC Themes and CMQs, including funding new projects where 111 knowledge gaps exist.**

- 112 • The EMC received an allocation of \$389,700 from the Timber Regulation and Forest Restoration
113 Fund in FY 2024/25, of which \$257,710 was allocated to previously awarded projects (see **Table 2**).
- 114 • For the third year, the EMC utilized a new grant program developed in 2021. Like in 2023, the
115 release of the RFP was shifted earlier in the year to March 2024, rather than summer as in previous
116 years. This has allowed for increased time to review applications, develop project and funding
117 agreements, and encumber funds. This may also allow project PIs to begin work earlier in the FY
118 than has been possible in previous years, as the time limitations of State funding agreements limit
119 the period during which PIs can receive reimbursement for approved research expenses. Over the
120 last two years, grant agreements have been finalized from 3–7 months sooner than contract
121 agreements had previously been developed, and Board and grant department staff continue to
122 refine methods to improve efficiency in developing grant agreements.
- 123 • Over the three fiscal years (starting in 2024/25) under consideration for funding in the 2024/25 RFP
124 ([EMC 2024c](#)), and after consideration of previously allocated funds of \$307,550 over that same
125 period, remaining funding available for newly proposed projects starting in 2024/25 totaled
126 \$932,150, comprising \$131,660 in FY 2024/25; \$375,160 in FY 2025/26; and \$425,000 in FY 2026/27
127 (assuming allocations in FY 2025/26 and beyond remain at \$425,000).
- 128 • The EMC reviewed seven Initial Concept Proposals (ICPs) at the EMC's open, public June meeting
129 and requested Full Project Proposals (FPPs) from all four research teams; ICPs, FPPs, project
130 rankings and notes, and meeting notes may be found on the [EMC's webpage](#)⁵ in the dropdown for
131 the corresponding month below the Meeting Materials heading. Upon review and discussion at the
132 August public meeting, the committee voted to recommend funding for two proposals, EMC-2024-

⁵ <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/board-committees/effectiveness-monitoring-committee/>

133 001 and EMC-2024-004, with a request to the PIs to reduce their budgets in Year One to
 134 accommodate the State’s reduced research funding allocation to the EMC. The Board approved the
 135 recommended funding at its September meeting. The funded projects proposed research to test
 136 the following CMQs (bold questions were prioritized in the 2024/25 RFP [EMC 2024c]):

- 137 ○ [EMC-2024-001: Balancing fuel considerations and rare carnivore habitat: an evaluation of risk](#)
 138 [and reward \(Option 1\)](#):⁶
- 139 ▪ Theme 6 Wildfire Hazard – Are the FPRs and associated regulations effective in (a) treating
 140 post-harvest slash and slash piles to modify fire behavior?; (b) treating post-harvest slash
 141 and retaining wildlife habitat structures, including snags and large woody debris?; **(c)**
 142 **managing fuel loads, vegetation patterns, and fuel breaks for fire hazard reduction?**; and
 143 **(d) managing forest structure and stocking standards to promote wildfire resilience?**;
 - 144 ▪ Theme 9 Wildlife Habitat: Cumulative Impacts – Are the FPRs and associated regulations
 145 effective in (a) protecting wildlife habitat and associated ecological processes?; and (b)
 146 avoiding significant adverse impacts to wildlife species?; and,
 - 147 ▪ Theme 10 Wildlife Habitat: Structures - Are the FPRs and associated regulations effective in
 148 retaining (a) a mix of stages of snag development that maintain properly functioning levels
 149 of wildlife habitat?
- 150 ○ [EMC-2024-004: Establishing a Survey Protocol for Marbled Murrelet Using Passive Acoustic](#)
 151 [Technology \(Phase 1\)](#):⁷
- 152 ▪ Theme 7 Wildlife Habitat: Species and Nest Sites - Are the FPRs and associated regulations
 153 effective in protection of nest sites (a) following general protection measures in 14
 154 California Code of Regulations (CCR) § 919.2 [939.2, 959.2](b)?; and (b) following species
 155 specific habitat and disturbance measures in 14 CCR § 919.3 [939.3, 959.3]?; and,
 - 156 ▪ Theme 9 Wildlife Habitat: Cumulative Impacts – Are the FPRs and associated regulations
 157 effective in (a) protecting wildlife habitat and associated ecological processes?; (b) avoiding
 158 significant adverse impacts to wildlife species?; and (c) protecting rare, threatened, or
 159 endangered plants?
 - 160 ➤ Note that Question 9c had not been explicitly investigated in any EMC supported
 161 research projects prior to 2024, so this is the first project proposing to address this
 162 CMQ (see the EMC Research Projects, Research Themes, and Critical Monitoring
 163 Questions Matrix [EMC 2024e]).

164 Board staff began working with the project PIs to obtain required documentation to develop
 165 grant agreements on through the grants program in September 2024. The grant agreement for
 166 EMC-2024-004 was signed and finalized on December 23, while additional budget details were
 167 still being configured for project EMC-2024-001 at the end of 2024. Project PIs may begin work
 168 on their projects as soon as grant agreements are signed.

⁶ https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/uvof51er/11g-emc-2024-001-moriarty-full-proposal_redacted.pdf

⁷ https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1jtnfkky/11i-emc-2024-004-dotters-full-proposal_redacted.pdf

169 **4. Monitor progress on EMC-funded or EMC-supported monitoring projects and share relevant**
170 **publications.**

- 171 • The EMC continued to utilize a new framework for processing completed EMC-funded projects—
172 established and utilized for the first time in 2021—to better facilitate EMC reporting to the Board.
173 This “Completed Research Assessment” (CRA; previously known as “Science to Policy Framework”)
174 ([EMC 2021](#)) provides a step-by-step approach to guide EMC members in verifying scientific integrity
175 and validity of the research, and interprets the results of the scientific research as to the
176 implications for management and policy. Two EMC members volunteer to work with the PIs of each
177 project to complete this document, which is then presented to the EMC and amended as necessary
178 prior to presentation to the Board. This provides an easily understood narrative and synthesis for
179 Board members to give context to study results and inform policy changes, if justified.
- 180 • Additional staff support was secured in 2023 via the Water Boards to assist with tracking EMC
181 projects, taking notes during EMC meetings, and coordinating with Project Liaisons and PIs. In
182 general, Water Boards staff have taken the notes during EMC meetings when they are able to
183 attend and contribute to interactions with project PIs to ensure deliverables are received in a timely
184 manner.
- 185 • **Project deliverables** were submitted to the EMC in 2024 for the following projects (in addition to
186 regular progress reports at EMC meetings):
- 187 ○ EMC-2016-002: Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality
188 on Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest – a previously unsubmitted peer-reviewed
189 article, “Compaction and cover effects on runoff and erosion in post-fire salvage logged areas in
190 the Valley Fire, California” was published in the journal *Wildfire and Hydrological Processes*
191 ([Prats et al. 2020](#)) and submitted to the EMC in 2024.
 - 192 ○ EMC-2017-006: Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada – several
193 tours and presentations were reported in 2024:
 - 194 ▪ Previously unreported presentation to the Forest Practice Committee (a Board standing
195 committee) in March 2023
 - 196 ▪ Field tours were conducted with:
 - 197 ➤ Lake Tahoe Community College in February 2024
 - 198 ➤ Fire Forward in April 2024
 - 199 ➤ Future Fire Resource Professionals in April 2024
 - 200 ➤ Watershed Education Foundation in July 2023 and July 2024
 - 201 ➤ Media outlet British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) in May 2024
 - 202 ➤ Forestry Institute for Teachers in June 2024
 - 203 ▪ In May 2024, a presentation was provided to the Forest Landowners of California in ([York](#)
204 [2024a](#); also associated with EMC-2022-004)
 - 205 ○ EMC-2017-007: The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management – a final project
206 report, “The Life Cycle of Standing Dead Trees: Implications for Forest Management in the
207 Sierra Nevada” was submitted in 2023 and approved in 2024 ([Battles 2023](#))
 - 208 ○ EMC-2017-008: Forest Practice Rules to Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Diseases – a Western
209 International Forest Disease Work Conference presentation given in June 2023 ([Cobb 2023](#))
210 was submitted in 2024
 - 211 ○ EMC-2018-003: Alternative Meadow Restoration – several deliverables were received in 2024:

- 212 ▪ Draft Completed Research Assessment ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024a](#))
- 213 ▪ Final Completed Research Assessment ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024b](#))
- 214 ▪ Master of Science in Environmental Sciences and Management thesis ([Ramirez 2024](#))
- 215 ▪ Peer-reviewed publication, “Estimating and Modeling *Pinus contorta* Transpiration in a
- 216 Montane Meadow Using Sap-Flow Measurements”, was published in the journal *Forests*
- 217 ([Marks et al. 2024](#))
- 218 ○ EMC-2018-006: Effect of FPRS on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, & Primary
- 219 Productivity – a final project presentation ([Bladon et al. 2024](#))
- 220 ○ EMC-2019-003: Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada – two a peer-
- 221 reviewed publications were submitted in 2024:
- 222 ▪ “A multi-scale assessment of forest treatment impacts on evapotranspiration and water
- 223 yield in the Sierra Nevada” in the journal *Ecohydrology* ([Boden et al. 2023](#))
- 224 ▪ “Water yield response to forest treatment patterns in a Sierra Nevada watershed” in the
- 225 *Journal of Hydrology* ([Smith et al. 2024](#))
- 226 ○ EMC-2021-003: Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in
- 227 Managed Conifer Forests – eight items were submitted in 2024:
- 228 ▪ “Comparing passive trapping methods for sampling forest pollinators”, a presentation at
- 229 the FORTE Academic Onboarding for New-to-OSU Students, Oregon State University,
- 230 Corvallis in September ([Anderson et al. 2024](#))
- 231 ▪ “Evaluating native bee community response to fuel reduction treatments in managed
- 232 timber forests”, a presentation given at the Oregon State University Environmental Club in
- 233 March ([Sampognaro et al. 2024a](#)), the Western Forest Graduate Research Symposium in
- 234 April ([Sampognaro et al. 2024b](#)), the Lassen Field Station Research Symposium in June
- 235 ([Sampognaro et al. 2024c](#)), the Oregon State University Spring Poster Symposium
- 236 ([Gutierrez and Sampognaro 2024](#)), and to a forestry group at Collins Timber ([Rivers 2024a](#))
- 237 ▪ A field tour was conducted for CAL FIRE in July 2024 ([Rivers and Sampognaro 2024](#))
- 238 ▪ A project status presentation was given to the EMC in November 2024 ([Rivers 2024b](#))
- 239 ▪ Invited Talk ([Rivers 2024a](#))
- 240 ▪ Rivers and Sampognaro CalFire Bee Project Handout ([Rivers and Sampognaro 2024](#))
- 241 ○ EMC-2022-003: Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study – a progress report
- 242 presentation was provided to the EMC in November 2024 ([Hamey 2024](#))
- 243 ○ EMC-2022-004: Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake
- 244 Protection Zones (WLPZ) and riparian areas of California – several deliverables were received in
- 245 2024, including previously unsubmitted items from 2022 and 2023, and Board staff seek to
- 246 obtain additional deliverables from other presentations or products reported as completed in
- 247 2024 and those unsubmitted items from previous years:
- 248 ▪ Presentations were provided to:
- 249 ➤ Forestry Forum in March 2022; the presentation file was shared with the EMC in 2024
- 250 ([York 2022](#))
- 251 ➤ Marin Wildfire Authority in June 2023 ([Collins 2023](#))
- 252 ➤ California Licensed Forester’s Association (CLFA) conference in March 2023 ([Tompkins](#)
- 253 [2023](#))
- 254 ➤ Forest Landowners of California in May 2024 ([York 2024a](#))
- 255 ➤ Forest Landowners of California ([York 2024a](#); also associated with EMC-2017-006)

- 256 ➤ Master of Forestry student Connie Ryan gave a presentation in May 2024 as part of the
257 Master of Forestry finishing series
- 258 ▪ Written products included:
- 259 ➤ A paper entitled “Operational resilience in western US frequent-fire forests” ([North et
260 al. 2022](#)) was published in *Forest Ecology and Management* and shared with the EMC
261 in 2024
- 262 ➤ A research brief, “Evaluating the Development and Application of Stand Density Index
263 for the Management of Complex and Adaptive Forests” was published in February
264 2024 in *Current Forestry Reports* ([Chivhenge et al. 2024](#))
- 265 ➤ Progress report in June 2024 ([York 2024b](#))
- 266 ○ EMC-2022-005: Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods – two
267 products were received in 2024:
- 268 ▪ Annual Progress Report ([Norville 2024](#))
- 269 ▪ Progress Report Presentation ([Norville and Jones 2024](#))
- 270 ○ EMC-2023-002: Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake
271 Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California – four items were received for 2024:
- 272 ▪ A progress report presentation was provided to the EMC in November 2024 ([Miley 2024](#))
- 273 ▪ A project summary detailed the work conducted in 2024 ([Saah and Moghaddas 2025](#))
- 274 ▪ Project map: [Calfire EMC Riparian Fire](#)⁸
- 275 ▪ Draft statewide WLPZ burn analysis dashboard (see [PC537 Hydro Lines vs Fires](#)⁹)
- 276 • To facilitate dissemination of EMC-relevant research, the EMC coordinates with CAL FIRE to post
277 and share selected publications and information relevant to the EMC’s efforts.
- 278 ○ The EMC shared the “Forest Practice Rules Implementation and Effectiveness Monitoring
279 (FORPRIEM) Program 2008-2013 Monitoring Results” ([Brandow and Cafferata 2014](#)). This
280 report was previously removed from hosting on CAL FIRE’s website due to a lack of ADA
281 compliance, but Board staff converted the document to compliant, and the EMC is now hosting
282 this paper on its website. The California Forest Practice Act and Rules (Title 14, California Code
283 of Regulations) are designed in large part to protect water quality and aquatic habitat in non-
284 federal forested watersheds during and after silvicultural activities. The critical questions are
285 (1) what are the rates of proper implementation of the water quality-related Forest Practice
286 Rules (FPRs)?; and, (2) when properly implemented, how effective are they in protecting water
287 quality?. Forest Practice Rules Implementation and Effectiveness Monitoring (FORPRIEM)
288 addressed these two questions using forensic monitoring data collected on a random sample of
289 harvesting plans and sites within those plans. Overall, the study found that the rate of
290 compliance with FPRs designed to protect water quality and aquatic habitat is generally high,
291 and that they are effective in preventing erosion, sedimentation, and sediment transport to
292 channels when properly implemented.
- 293 ○ Member Coe noted that the Board approved the Forest Fire Prevention Monitoring Report at
294 the January meeting; the draft has now moved to agency for further review ([Olsen et al. 2023](#)).

⁸ <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/experiencebuilder/experience/?id=16d2e70373fa40a2b007b42b48147b37&page=Plumas-County>

⁹ <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/dashboards/75b9d9d44911440c871c61ba011cbab6>

295 This extensive report looks at outcomes following implementation of forest fire prevention
296 exemptions, and recommendations do call for the potential need for statutory change.

297 **5. Review and update EMC Research Themes and CMQs as needed.**

298 • One new CMQ was added to Research Theme 9, Wildlife Habitat - Cumulative Impacts, along with
299 few minor, non-substantive changes made for clarification (see all revisions in the DRAFT Research
300 Themes and CMQs 2024, [EMC 2024h](#)).

301 • The Research Themes and CMQs were revised by the EMC and approved in January 2024 for the
302 2024/25 RFP ([EMC 2024c](#)). The final version of the Research Themes and CMQs for 2024 were
303 approved by the Board in March, posted on the EMC and Board webpages, and disseminated to
304 various listservs ([EMC 2024g](#)).

305 **6. Identify up to five themes/CMQs for priority research funding in the 2024/25 RFP.**

306 Four CMQs were prioritized for funding in the 2024/25, but not to the exclusion of projects focusing on
307 the remaining CMQs or other research needs related to the FPRs and associated regulations (see the
308 2024/25 RFP, [EMC 2024c](#)).

309 **7. Use an Adaptive Management approach to provide research results that inform management and
310 policy development.**

311 Findings from EMC-2018-003 were presented to the EMC in a draft CRA ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg
312 2024a](#)). After EMC input, the CRA was revised and approved by the EMC at a subsequent meeting for
313 transmission to the Board. The Board approved the final version on December 11, 2025 ([O'Connor and
314 Love-Anderegg 2024b](#)) Results from this research will be presented to the Management Committee in
315 early 2025.

316 **8. Revisit the EMC's Charter to assess need for changes, and begin process of revision, if needed.**

317 The EMC proposed revisions to the Charter, which was updated and approved by the Board at its
318 November meeting ([EMC 2024a](#)). The updated Charter highlights the priorities of the EMC and the
319 primary changes are as follows:

- 320 • Added a Values section to highlight the EMC's focus on adaptive management, sustainable
321 solutions, and public transparency.
- 322 • Clarified the EMC's priority to develop and disseminate information (e.g., literature reviews,
323 internal analyses, publications, grey/white literature) relevant to the Forest Practice Rules and
324 related regulations.

325 The revised Charter reflects the current needs and priorities of the EMC, the Board, stakeholders, and
326 the public.

327 **9. Fill currently open and pending open EMC seats, as well as any seats for which terms expire in 2023,
328 filling gaps in expertise and agency representation as needed.**

329 Three new members were welcomed to the EMC in 2024, and three members were reappointed. The
330 updated Membership Roster is available online at EMC Members and Term Expirations ([EMC 2024d](#)).
331 See **Section II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF** for further details.

332

333 2025 EMC Priorities

334 In 2025, the EMC priorities are as follows:

- 335 **1. Meet at least four times per year in open meetings accessible to the public.**
- 336 **2. Meet in the field at least once to observe active or proposed monitoring projects.**
- 337 **3. Support projects related to the EMC Themes and CMQs, including funding new projects where**
338 **knowledge gaps exist.**
- 339 **4. Monitor progress on EMC-funded or EMC-supported monitoring projects.**
- 340 **5. Review and update EMC Research Themes and CMQs as needed.**
- 341 **6. Identify themes/CMQs for priority research funding in the 2025/26 RFP.**
- 342 **7. Use an Adaptive Management approach to provide research results that inform management and**
343 **policy development.**
- 344 **8. Review EMC Guidance Documents and revise as needed, including the Strategic Plan.**

345 Update the EMC's Strategic Plan, which is to be updated on a bi-annual cycle (last updated in 2022) and
346 other guiding and reporting documents as needed (e.g., Project Liaison Guide, Completed Research
347 Assessment).

- 348 **9. Fill currently open and pending open EMC seats, as well as any seats for which terms expire in 2024,**
349 **filling gaps in expertise and agency representation as needed.**

350 Member Dr. Forsburg-Pardi's term expires in January 2025, and if she will not be continuing with the
351 EMC, Board staff will consult with the Board as to an appropriate replacement. Board staff will continue
352 to encourage EMC members and partnering agencies to fill one open seat on the Monitoring
353 Community and one agency representative for the USFWS, and to find an appropriate candidate to
354 backfill an agency representative for the USFS. See [Section II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF](#) for more
355 details.

356 V. EMC PROJECT UPDATES AND PRODUCTS

357 The following project summaries provide more information on reported activities in 2024 (or prior years, if
358 previously unreported), including details on project deliverables provided in 2024 or that are anticipated in
359 future years.

360 EMC-2015-001 (8CA03650): Class II Large Watercourse Study: Multiscale investigation of perennial flow
361 and thermal influence of headwater streams into fish bearing systems

362 This project investigated the variability of relationships between drainage area, active channel width, and
363 perennial flow extent across the Anadromous Salmonid Protection (ASP) area (broad-scale study on flow
364 permanence and network connectivity); compared these relationships to the rule criteria for Class II-L
365 identification in terms of both drainage area and average active channel width (i.e., 14 CCR §916.9 [936.9,
366 956.9] (g)(1)(a)(1 and 2)); determined if those criteria were effective in identifying perennial Class II-L
367 watercourses in different lithologies, or if rule modifications are needed (broad-scale study on flow
368 permanence and network connectivity); and conducted a pilot study to investigate the downstream
369 propagation of water temperature from Class II-L systems in sites with contrasting lithology (longitudinal
370 stream temperature study).

371 A final project report and presentation to the EMC, Board, and Forest Practice Committee, as well as a final
372 CRA were provided in 2021, and a presentation was provided at a California Fire Science seminar. Two peer-
373 reviewed publications were developed out of this research and accepted in 2021 and 2022. A rule revision
374 related to the ASP Rules resulted from this research in 2022, such that the rule language was simplified for
375 identification of Class II Large (II-L) watercourses (i.e., 14 CCR § 916.9 [936.9, 956.9] (g)(1)(A)(2) was
376 removed, as was the sunset language in 14 CCR § 916.9 [936.9, 956.9] (g)(1)(C)] which mandated an
377 assessment of the effectiveness of the various Class II-L identification methods). No additional products are
378 anticipated, and the project is complete and closed.

379 ***EMC-2016-002: Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality on Boggs***
380 ***Mountain Demonstration State Forest***

381 This study measured the effects of post-fire salvage logging and post-salvage site preparation techniques on
382 runoff, erosion, carbon flux rates, and vegetative recovery on the Boggs Mountain Demonstration State
383 Forest (BMDSF), which burned in the 2015 Valley Fire. This project also planned to develop and
384 demonstrate alternative Best Management Practices (BMPs) for post-fire operations. The results may have
385 implications for the FPRs (14 CCR § 913 [933, 953], 14 CCR § 914.2 [934.2, 954.2](a), 14 CCR § 915[935,
386 955]). This research was funded from sources outside the EMC, but was otherwise supported by the EMC.

387 Two Masters of Science theses were developed out of this research in 2016 and 2020; two peer-reviewed
388 publications were accepted in 2019 and 2020; a poster was presented at the American Geophysical Union
389 2020 fall meeting; and a presentation was provided at a CA Fire Science seminar in 2021. To date, three
390 peer-reviewed manuscripts have been accepted centered around hillslope plot scale erosional response to
391 various salvage logging treatments, a series of field and lab-based rainfall simulation studies that isolate the
392 causal mechanisms for post-fire, and post-salvage erosional response (previously submitted publications
393 were received in accepted in 2019 and 2020; one additional article was previously published and received in
394 2024: [Prats et al. 2020](#)). In 2023, a guidance document was published as California Forestry Report #7:
395 Mitigating potential sediment delivery from post-fire salvage logging. The project is completed, but at least
396 three additional manuscripts will be submitted: 1) catchment scale runoff and sediment delivery across a
397 range of soil burn severities; 2) long term recovery of salvage logged hillslope plots across a range of
398 management treatments; and 3) runoff simulations detailing the effectiveness of skid trail BMPs on
399 sediment delivery.

400 ***EMC-2016-003 (8CA03680): Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to***
401 ***Detect Landslides)***

402 This project compares two LiDAR acquisitions that bracket stressing event(s) (i.e., storm events that trigger
403 mass wasting events) to determine the activity and characteristics of mass wasting features (e.g., unstable
404 areas and unstable soils). This study was designed to test the effectiveness of repeat surveys in assessing
405 landslide movement in harvested and unharvested forests as a proof-of-concept that repeated surveys
406 could be used following large storm events rather than requiring on-the-ground assessments and aerial
407 photographs. The research relates to the FPRs and related regulations (14 CCR § 916 [936, 956]), (14 CCR §
408 916.9 [936.9, 956.9](v)), (14 CCR § 913 [933, 953]), (14 CCR § 914 [934, 954]), (14 CCR § 923 [943, 953]), (14
409 CCR § 923 [943, 953]), s (14 CCR § 923 [943, 953]), [14 CCR § 916 (936, 956), 14 CCR § 916.4 (936.4, 956.4)
410 (b)].

411 A project status update and presentation at the Geological Society of America meeting occurred in 2022.
412 Member Short reported at the July 2024 EMC meeting that the final analysis and report were pending

413 completion and expected at the end of 2024; however, illness prevented completion of these products.
414 Thus, the final project report, presentation, and CRA are expected in 2025.

415 ***EMC-2017-001 (8CA03685): Effects of Forest Stand Density Reduction on Nutrient Cycling and Nutrient***
416 ***Transport at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watershed***

417 This research examined how forest harvesting affects ecohydrological and biogeochemical processes and
418 nutrient cycling within the South Fork of Caspar Creek. This work complements several other sub-studies in
419 the South Fork. The response of biogeochemical processes to disturbance may also provide useful
420 information when evaluating the impacts of emerging land uses. This project relates to the FPRs and
421 regulations (14 CCR §§ 916.9 (936.9, 956.9)(a)(7)(b); Technical Rule Addendum #2).

422 A detailed study plan and report on sampling methods were provided in 2017, and two progress reports
423 were submitted in 2018. A comprehensive final project report and presentation were received in 2021 and
424 2022, respectively, but these deliverables do not represent the full suite of products that are likely to come
425 out of these experiments. Additional analyses are needed before a CRA can be produced on this project.
426 Additional publications are expected, although these will likely take at least an additional year to produce.
427 Lance Leigh of the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board was assigned to work with Member
428 Coe on drafting the CRA for this project.

429 ***EMC-2017-002: Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird***
430 ***Recorders Study***

431 This study examines how fire and salvage harvesting affect bird presence and diversity in the post-fire
432 setting of BMDSF. The objectives of this study include establishing the baseline presence and diversity of
433 bird species in a post-fire setting, comparing the occupancy of different habitats by bird species, and
434 comparing occupancy results to the larger statewide data being collected by the Department of Fish &
435 Wildlife (CDFW). This work is associated with 14 CCR § 1052. This research was funded from sources outside
436 the EMC but was otherwise supported by the EMC.

437 A progress report presentation and tour of the study site were given in 2023. At the July 2025 EMC meeting,
438 Member Coe reported that PI Stacy Stanish was working to leverage habitat data but was waiting on the
439 Fire and Resource Assessment Program (FRAP) to complete its assessment and the timeline is still to be
440 determined. Other presentations and/or posters have been made at conferences or other events in prior
441 years, but the EMC does not have copies of all deliverables for this project, although Board staff continue to
442 work on obtaining those documents. A final project presentation, report, and CRA are expected in 2025 or
443 2026.

444 ***EMC-2017-006 (9CA04020): Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada***

445 This research aims to better understand the ecological role that fire plays in sustaining Sierra Nevada Forest
446 structure and composition, including interactions of forest management and fire behavior on private lands
447 (14 CCR 937.2, 957.2 and 14 CCR 1038(c)). The objective of this project was to establish a network of
448 locations that will be maintained as long-term study sites, periodically providing information relevant to
449 policy and management for decades.

450 A presentation to the CLFA was given in 2019, and a white paper on partial harvest in WLPZs was also
451 developed. An EMC field tour was conducted in August 2020, and a blog post for UCANR was developed for
452 Forest and Research Outreach in 2021. A variety of deliverables were completed in 2023, including a final

453 project presentation provided to the EMC and a field tour hosted for the EMC. A variety of tours and
454 presentations at other venues were reported to the EMC in 2024, although the EMC has not received files
455 for these events, and will continue to seek associated deliverables: a presentation was given to the Forest
456 Practice Committee (a Board standing committee) in March 2023; field tours were conducted with the Lake
457 Tahoe Community College in February 2024, Fire Forward in April 2024, Future Fire Resource Professionals
458 in April 2024, the Watershed Education Foundation in July 2023 (and in July 2024 as well), media outlet
459 British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) in May 2024, and Forestry Institute for Teachers in June 2024. In
460 May 2024, a presentation was provided to the Forest Landowners of California in ([York 2024a](#)); this
461 presentation is also associated with EMC-2022-004. A final project report is not expected on this project;
462 Members Nannizzi and Dr. O'Connor will develop a CRA in 2025. A peer-reviewed publication is also
463 anticipated on this project.

464 ***EMC-2017-007 (9CA04019): The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management***

465 The goal of this project was to quantify the life cycle of standing dead trees (snags) to inform forest
466 management and policy development. This study is associated with 14 CCR § 1038(k)(5), [916.4, 936.4,
467 956.4 Watercourse and Lake Protection (b).g.6], and s [14 CCR § 919.1 (939.1, 959.1)]. The objectives of this
468 project include:

- 469 1. Extend the record in Compartment 160 to 2018 by repeating the snag inventory and evaluation.
- 470 2. Establish a new monitoring protocol that tracks cohorts of new snags on an annual basis to quantify
471 development of cavities and other important habitat elements.
- 472 3. Establish a long-term study of downed woody decay rates.

473 The final project presentation and report was received in 2023 and approved for publication in 2024
474 ([Battles 2023](#)). All project deliverables have been received, and Members Dr. Jones and Dr. Anderegg will
475 develop a CRA in 2025.

476 ***EMC-2017-008 (9CA04087): Do Forest Practice Rules Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Disease and Bark 477 Beetle Interactions***

478 This project sought to evaluate several sections of the FPRs for their effectiveness in controlling fuels
479 accumulation in the face of devastating bark beetle outbreaks in true fir stands. The combined results might
480 be used in an evaluation 14 CCR § 1038 (b). The study may also provide insight into application of 14 CCR §
481 917.9/937.9/957.9(b) and 14 CCR § 913.3/933.3/953.3(b)), to evaluate the need for rule modifications in
482 light of treatment efficacy and the magnitude of the current bark beetle outbreak. Two peer-reviewed
483 publications were produced from this research in 2021 and 2023; a final presentation was provided to the
484 EMC and a CRA was finalized in 2022; and a poster was presented at the Western International Forest
485 Disease Work Conference in 2023 and submitted to the EMC in 2024 ([Cobb 2023](#)). While the EMC
486 ultimately determined that the results of this research did not directly address specific targets or
487 prescriptions in the FPRs, this work addressed an important disease affecting commercial timber species
488 and identified important practices that can aid the timber industry in maintaining susceptible stands. All
489 project deliverables have been received, and three additional peer-reviewed publications are anticipated in
490 2025.

491 ***EMC-2017-012: Assessment of Night-Flying Forest Pest Predator Communities on Demonstration State 492 Forests – with Monitoring across Seral Stages and Silvicultural Prescriptions***

493 This study explores bat communities in 50+ year old forest stands and asks, “Are the FPRs effective in
494 promoting habitats suitable for bat survival?” which is related to the following regulations: 14 CCR § 897, 14
495 CCR § 912.9 (932.9, 952.9), 14 CCR § 913.4 (939.4, 959.4), and 14 CCR § 919 (939, 959). The research relates
496 to EMC Research Theme 7 (Wildlife Habitat: Species and Nest Sites), Theme 8 (Wildlife Habitat: Seral
497 Stages), and Theme 10 (Wildlife Habitat: Structures). This research was funded from sources outside the
498 EMC but was otherwise supported by the EMC.

499 Sampling for this project has occurred at Jackson Demonstration State Forest (DSF), Mountain Home DSF,
500 Soquel DSF, and Latour DSF in the summers of 2019, 2022, 2023, and 2024, respectively.

501 Several deliverables have been provided over the years: a progress report to the EMC in 2022; a poster at
502 the Northeastern Bat Working Group in 2022; a poster at the North American Symposium on Bat Research
503 in 2023; a peer-reviewed publication in 2023; and a dataset in 2023. The final project report and
504 presentation to the EMC will provide analyses of bat species’ presence relative to silvicultural history and
505 local/landscape level habitat measurements with discussion of aggregate results from all four DSFs.
506 Individual reports and presentations detailing each of the JDSF and MHDSF field seasons will be completed
507 in 2025, with reports and presentations detailing each of the SDSF and LDSF field seasons to be completed
508 in 2026. Members Waitman and Nannizzi will develop the CRA once the final presentation and report have
509 been provided to the EMC.

510 **EMC-2018-003 (9CA04453): Alternative Meadow Restoration**

511 This study evaluates the application of CCR § 933.4[e] for removal of encroached conifer trees in a
512 northern Sierra meadow. A final project report and presentation were received for this project in 2023.
513 A Master of Science in Environmental Sciences and Management thesis was produced for Rock Creek
514 Meadow and included one additional year of data collection beyond the EMC-funded project ([Ramirez
515 2024](#)). Final findings for this research were presented to the EMC in a draft CRA ([O'Connor and Love-
516 Anderegg 2024a](#)) at the November 2024 EMC meeting. After EMC input, the CRA was revised and approved
517 by the EMC at a subsequent meeting for transmission to the Board ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024b](#));
518 the Board approved the final version on December 11, 2024. A peer-reviewed publication, “Estimating and
519 Modeling *Pinus contorta* Transpiration in a Montane Meadow Using Sap-Flow Measurements”, was
520 published in the journal *Forests* ([Marks et al. 2024](#)). Results from this research will be presented to the
521 Management Committee in 2025, although it is not anticipated that any rule change(s) would be proposed.
522 Additional publications expected include a peer-reviewed journal article on the 10 years of data collected at
523 all the research meadows for the journal *Forest Ecology and Management*, and an article on the vegetation
524 response of Rock Creek Meadow following lodgepole pine removal will be submitted to the journal
525 *Restoration Ecology*.

526 **EMC-2018-006 (9CA04452): Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water 527 Temperature, & Primary Productivity**

528 This project seeks to tackle key factors regarding the effectiveness of protecting key quality elements in the
529 WLPZ, and in particular, aims to answer how current ASP FPRs and pre-ASP requirements for Class II
530 watercourses influence canopy closure, solar radiation, stream temperature, and streamflow. The PIs
531 investigated the importance of different drivers in influencing variability around stream temperature
532 dynamics and primary productivity across different Class II riparian prescriptions.

533 A variety of deliverables have been produced from this research: a progress report presentation was given
534 to the EMC in 2021; three theses were developed, including one in 2021 and two in 2022; two peer-

535 reviewed articles were published in 2022 and 2023; and a final project report was provided in 2023. Project
536 updates were given at the January and November 2024 EMC meetings. Dr. Kevin Bladon, Associate
537 Professor of Forest Ecohydrology and Watershed Science at Oregon State University, provided a final
538 project presentation, "Effectiveness of Class II Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone (WLPZ) FPRs and
539 Aquatic Habitat Conservation Plan (AHCP) Riparian Prescriptions at Maintaining or Restoring Canopy
540 Closure, Stream Water Temperature, Primary Productivity, and Terrestrial Habitat", to the EMC at the July
541 2024 EMC meeting ([Bladon et al. 2024](#)).

542 Multiple riparian prescriptions (i.e., treatments) were compared in the 100 feet outside watercourses,
543 including 6 reference sites and 4 in each of three different treatments, and monitoring occurred pre- and
544 post-harvest across 18 watersheds from north of McKinleyville, grouped into northern and southern areas.
545 Treatments were classified as follows:

- 546 1) **REF** (Reference) – unharvested untreated, 6 reference sites;
- 547 2) **ASP** – Current CA rules for Class II watercourses in ASP Zones (e.g., no harvest allowed within 30
548 inner feet (Inner Core Zone), 80% overstory canopy retention in the next 70 ft (outer portion of the
549 100 ft riparian buffer);
- 550 3) **GRDC AHCP** – Green Diamond customized riparian prescription, which is a modification of the
551 above treatment = GDRC AHCP (85% overstory in inner 30 feet, and 70% in next 70 ft); and,
- 552 4) **PRE** (Pre-ASP) – for the old rules prior to the ASP rules being in effect (50% overstory within 100 ft).

553 Slopes were relatively comparable across the different watersheds, as were vegetation type (i.e., second
554 generation, ready for harvest), slope, and aspect. There was a little more variability in the catchment area
555 harvested and elevation. Precipitation was a factor in this study, as there were some very dry years. All
556 periods (pre, post-harvest Year 1, and post-harvest Year 2) were drier than normal, and some streams even
557 went dry during the study. Substantial intra-annual variability in timing of precipitation was accounted for in
558 the statistical analysis.

559 Key data were collected in six fixed plots within each 18 of the watershed sites (108 plots, monitored a total
560 of ~ 1800 trees), and data collected included tree species, diameter, basal area, canopy class, mortality
561 agents of decay class, and photos for canopy closure. Pre-harvest data were collected from 2019–2020, and
562 post-harvest data were collected in 2021–2022. For canopy, the researchers utilized hemispherical
563 photography to determine canopy closure and effective shade. To determine stage and discharge, they
564 instrumented pressure transducers at outlets to measure stage of the water (elevation of the water) every
565 15 min over the course of the study and used salt dilution gauging to develop curves for each stream.
566 Twelve stream temperature sensors were placed longitudinally along the ~1000 feet of each stream, with 4
567 co-located air temperature sensors, for a total of 288 sensors.

568 Results were as follows:

- 569 • **Basal Area** – While the PIs assumed basal area would decrease in the ASP and HCP sites, there was
570 actually a slight increase, although there was no statistical difference across the four different
571 treatments. This could be due to a variety of introduced factors (e.g., users, monitoring groups), but
572 it could also be partially due to inherent variability. The biggest decline was in the Pre-ASP group,
573 which was expected, and the target was reached in terms of basal area reduction.

- 574 • **Overstory** – in the pre-harvest period sites were very comparable, and in post-harvest, there was
575 little difference in effective shade in the REF and ASP and HCP sites; however, there was a large
576 decline in overstory in the PRE (older prescription) sites.
- 577 • **Stage and Discharge** – volumetric flow can have impacts on stream temperature, and capturing
578 very high flow events in rating curves is a challenge, so there is less confidence at those data. The
579 PIs built a picture of continuous volumetric flow over the course of the study.
- 580 • **Daily Streamflow** – the PIs were more confident in summer data when high flow events were not a
581 challenge. However, the summer harvest area was below where the stream was instrumented, so
582 was accounted for in the analyses. Daily streamflow in the summer generally followed
583 precipitation, and post-harvest streamflow was higher, as was expected.
- 584 • **Change in Daily Streamflow** – statistically there were differences in the PRE, ASP, and the HCP
585 streamflow post-harvest, but it was small (1–1.5 milliliters), and likely to have little impact on water
586 quality and habitats.
- 587 • **Change in Diel Streamflow (max vs. min streamflow)** – there was a small change in diel
588 streamflow.
- 589 • **Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR) and Dissolved Oxygen (DO)** – there was no statistical
590 difference in the amount of radiation reaching the stream, except for the pre-ASP treatment. While
591 only the pre-ASP doesn't appear to have a substantial change, there was significantly greater
592 radiation reaching the stream compared to the other treatments. There was no significant
593 difference for the DO treatments.
- 594 • **Stream and Air temperature:** the streams themselves seemed to buffer against additional radiation
595 coming through in some treatments, and in general, the streams were well-buffered from changes
596 in radiation, even in the pre-ASP site.
- 597 • **Chemical Water Quality** – very little differences in nitrogen and phosphorus related to treatment.
598 Differences in nitrogen were primarily related to the different regions, and to watershed area
599 harvested, catchment slopes, and alder cover.
- 600 • **Primary Productivity** – no significant differences pre- or post-harvest.

601 The PIs concluded that:

- 602 • The strongest change in riparian canopy characteristics was in pre-ASP sites, but no significant
603 changes occurred in stream temperatures. Stream temperatures did not exceed anything that
604 would indicate potential negative impacts to salmonids in these systems, even in the PRE sites.
605 There was little evidence of downstream warming or cooling, or discrete locations of groundwater
606 discharge.
- 607 • Increased streamflow during summer low flows appeared to be related to catchment area
608 harvested.
- 609 • There was no evidence for significant impacts to nutrients or primary productivity.
- 610 • There was no significant evidence that riparian management prescription was a major driver of
611 seasonal temperature responses.
- 612 • Thermal regimes were most strongly related to climatic variability and catchment topography
613 related to regional differences.

614 Members Dr. Waitman and Nannizzi anticipate developing the CRA for this project in early 2025.

615 ***EMC-2019-002 (9CA04801): Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction***
616 ***Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County, CA***

617 This study assessed the current maintenance needs for all projects funded, implemented, and or supported
618 by the Plumas Fire Safe Council (FSC) to determine treatment longevity and maintenance needs. The goal of
619 this work was to inform Plumas FSC on its treatment life cycle to ensure better planning for funding future
620 treatment maintenance and substantiate that the FSC has and continues to utilize accurate scientific
621 resources in their treatment design and long-term maintenance strategy. This study is related to 14 CCR §
622 912.7 [932.7, 952.7]), 14 CCR § 961, 14 CCR § 913 [933, 953], 14 CCR § 917 [937, 957], 14 CCR § 1038, 14
623 CCR § 1052, and 14 CCR § 1051.

624 A final project report was provided in 2021, and the final project presentation was provided to the EMC in
625 2022. An initial CRA was developed in 2024, but this draft will not be completed until 2025, and an
626 additional peer-reviewed publication is expected in 2025.

627 ***EMC-2019-003 (9CA04824): Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada***

628 In the context of the Sagehen experimental watershed in the Sierra Nevada, researchers in this project
629 investigated how and to what spatial extent scale forest treatments impact annual runoff (i.e., water yield)
630 and annual evapotranspiration. Several unexpected setbacks, including the pandemic, resulted in delays
631 with completing the work, and a time extension was processed on April 25, 2022, allowing the PIs up to one
632 additional year (to June 30, 2023) to develop the final deliverables.

633 A presentation was given at the American Geophysical Union in 2021, and a progress report presentation
634 was provided to the EMC in 2022. Two peer-reviewed publications were submitted to the EMC in 2024: “A
635 multi-scale assessment of forest treatment impacts on evapotranspiration and water yield in the Sierra
636 Nevada” in the journal *EcoHydrology* ([Boden et al. 2023](#)), and “Water yield response to forest treatment
637 patterns in a Sierra Nevada watershed” in the *Journal of Hydrology* ([Smith et al. 2024](#)). These publications
638 serve as the final project deliverables, and Members Law and Dr. O’Conner will develop a CRA in 2025.
639 Additional project deliverables expected include a downloadable integrated package including models and
640 statistical framework for use by stakeholders, resource managers and decision-makers.

641 ***EMC-2019-005 (9CA04802): Sediment Monitoring and Fish Habitat – San Vicente Accelerated Wood***
642 ***Recruitment***

643 This project was severely impacted at several points by wildfire, the COVID-19 pandemic, and other factors
644 outside of the researchers’ control. Two watersheds to be studied in Santa Cruz County burned in the CZU
645 Lightning Complex and the Timber Harvest Plan (THP), a critical component of the research, could no longer
646 be efficiently pursued. It was determined that the project could not be completed within the timeframe
647 allowed by the contract, and approximately \$9000 was distributed for equipment, with the remaining funds
648 reverting on June 30, 2022. The California Geological Survey (CGS) planned to continue work going forward
649 with a modified research plan.

650 Additional work was conducted from 2022 through 2024. Data collected included study reach large wood
651 inventories, cross-section surveys, thalweg profile surveys, pebble counts, and data collection from photo
652 points, time-lapse game cameras, pressure transducers, and a rain gauge. The raw, unprocessed drone
653 LiDAR and photogrammetry data collected in 2022 and received in Spring 2023 are undergoing processing
654 and analysis. Preparations are in progress for the third annual monitoring event scheduled for 2025,

655 including drone LiDAR data collection, with final results anticipated in 2026. A field tour showcasing results
656 from the revised study is anticipated in 2025.

657 ***EMC-2021-003 (9CA05659): Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments***
658 ***in Managed Conifer Forests***

659 This research aims to determine how wild bee communities respond to widespread fuel-reduction
660 treatments in managed forests that are commonly implemented under current FPRs. Encompassing EMC
661 Research Theme 6 (Wildfire Hazard) and Theme 9 (Wildlife Habitat: Cumulative Impacts) together, these
662 themes cover a range of FPRs. This work combines these two themes to quantify and evaluate whether
663 current FPRs and associated regulations for reducing fire risk that arise from timber harvesting plans
664 (14 California Code of Regulations [CCR] 2 § 1038, 1051.4, 1052.4), special prescriptions (14 CCR§ 913.4
665 [933.4, 953.4]), and hazard reductions (14 CCR§ 917 (937, 957) are effective in providing suitable protection
666 practices for wildlife (14 CCR§ 919, 939, 959).

667 Principal Investigator Dr. James Rivers reported that lead graduate student Megan Sampognaro (Master of
668 Science, College of Forestry, Oregon State University) completed the first field season in 2023. With over
669 10,000 specimens collected, preliminary results indicate more bee diversity in shaded fuel breaks relative to
670 untreated areas. Specimens are currently being processed and identified by project collaborators in Utah.
671 The 2024 field season started in late May and bee phenology appeared to be lagging behind the previous
672 year.

673 Six posters or presentations were provided in 2024: “Comparing passive trapping methods for sampling
674 forest pollinators” at the FORTE Academic Onboarding for New-to-OSU Students, Oregon State University,
675 Corvallis in September ([Anderson et al. 2024](#)); “Evaluating native bee community response to fuel reduction
676 treatments in managed timber forests” at the Oregon State University Environmental Club in March
677 ([Sampognaro et al. 2024a](#)), at the Western Forest Graduate Research Symposium in April ([Sampognaro et
678 al. 2024b](#)), at the Lassen Field Station Research Symposium in June ([Sampognaro et al. 2024c](#)), at the
679 Oregon State University Spring Poster Symposium ([Gutierrez and Sampognaro 2024](#)), and to a forestry
680 group at Collins Timber ([Rivers 2024a](#)). A field tour was conducted for CAL FIRE in July 2024 ([Rivers and
681 Sampognaro 2024](#)), and a project status presentation was given to the EMC in November 2024 ([Rivers
682 2024b](#)).

683 The M.S. thesis defense for Megan Sampognaro is scheduled for July 2025, and she will also give a talk at
684 the 2025 Entomological Society of America conference in Portland in November 2025 as part of a forest
685 pollinator research symposium. Preliminary results and project deliverables are expected in 2025, including
686 a master’s thesis and data products, submission of two manuscripts, final project report and presentation,
687 and a draft CRA.

688 ***EMC-2022-003 (9GA22700): Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study***

689 This project investigates how post-fire measurements correlate with the amount of defect in individual
690 coast redwood trees and will seek to understand the relationships between fire damage and bole decay
691 from fire indicators and post-fire effects on live redwood. The study addresses the CMQs for Research
692 Theme 6 (Wildfire Hazard), and may influence the following standards: Minimum stocking standards (14
693 CCR § 912.7 [932.7, 952.7]); Silvicultural methods and stocking requirements (14 CCR § 913.8); Silvicultural
694 objectives and regeneration methods (14 CCR § 913 [933, 953]); Exemptions which facilitate removal of
695 dead, dying or diseased trees (14 CCR § 1038); and Emergency notices which also facilitate removal of
696 burned, dead, dying or diseased trees (14 CCR § 1052). The study will also address the CMQs for Theme 7

697 (Wildlife habitat: species and nest sites) by helping land managers make informed decisions on tree
698 selection during a salvage harvest, which affects wildlife habitat; and CMQs for Theme 8 (Wildlife habitat:
699 seral stages), as it will assist foresters in determining tree health and potential longevity, which may allow
700 for more trees to remain, thereby accelerating the return of late seral stage features. Finally, the study will
701 also shed light on the CMQs for Theme 10 (Wildlife habitat: structures), as wildlife habitat is created in
702 redwoods via repeated fires that introduce rot and burn out basal hollows. Therefore, this study has
703 implications for timber production as well as management for overall forest health and wildlife habitat.
704 Member Jonathan Meurer volunteered to act as the project liaison at the January 2024 EMC meeting.

705 Three written progress reports were provided to the EMC in 2023. A progress report presentation was
706 provided at the November 14, 2024 EMC meeting ([Hamey 2024](#)). The study occurs in the footprint of the
707 CZU (Santa Cruz-San Mateo Unit) Lightning Complex Fire on the San Vicente Redwoods property and
708 California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo's Swanton Pacific Ranch, including approximately
709 160 trees in total. About 43% of the property burned with high severity. Field work conducted thus far
710 includes post-fire effects data collection and cambium checks on target trees in both study areas and scaling
711 plus sonic tomography to assess the structural integrity across a cross-section of each tree. Electrical
712 impedance was also used to show the water content across the same cross-section of each tree.

713 Researchers asked the following questions:

- 714 • How much decay is present?
- 715 • Can we predict the level of defect caused by a fire by looking at post-fire effects of Coast
716 redwood?
- 717 • Is sonic tomography and electrical impedance an accurate method to detect decay and water
718 content when compared to the cross section of a Coast redwood?

719 Preliminary results showed that the majority of logs displayed discoloration of the sapwood. The large end
720 of the first log (16' log lengths) had an average circumference decay of 34%, while average volume
721 reduction was 21%. An inverse relationship was observed between Diameter at Breast Height and percent
722 decay. Merchantable tops increased in size for acceptable material from approximately 6 inches to 9 inches.

723 Data collection is ongoing on burned redwood trees that will be cut and salvaged in summer 2025. The trees
724 will be scaled in the project area before the merchantable logs are removed from the woods to capture the
725 current condition of wood decay approximately 4.5 years post-fire. Post-fire effects will be analyzed to
726 determine which indicators more accurately predict the introduction of decay in the tree's bole. Future
727 work will include more measurements of post-fire effects and internal decay progression on trees that have
728 not yet been cut.

729 A conference presentation is anticipated in spring 2025, along with an EMC field tour and at least two
730 additional workshops showcasing the project in early 2025. A final project report and final presentation are
731 expected in Spring 2026. Hamey Woods is working on a field guide that shows visible indicators of burn
732 damage to help land managers make informed decisions on post-fire tree selection and acceptable levels of
733 burn damage.

734 ***EMC-2022-004 (9GA22701): A Critical Evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation's Capacity to*** 735 ***Accommodate Forest Restoration and Resilience Targets***

736 The FPRs rely heavily on basal areas as the primary metric for retention requirements during any selection
737 or thinning harvest (e.g., Title 14 CCR 913.2(a)(2)(A); CCR 913.3(a)(1)(A)). However, historic basal areas are
738 often far below those currently recommended in the FPR's (Collins et al. 2015), which intend to maintain

739 high levels of growth and yield for timber production (Title 14 CCR 913.11), and recent studies (Goodwin et
740 al. 2020; Bernal et al. 2022) have suggested that stocking targets may still be too high given climatic stress.

741 This project investigates how current FPRs can facilitate or preclude meeting condition targets for forest
742 restoration and resilience by compiling the range of historical forest stocking measures from the best
743 available research for these ecosystems, compare this range to current FPRs for the dry mixed conifer
744 forests in California, and explore the silvicultural methods to reach these restoration and resilience targets.
745 The Shared Stewardship agreement between the State of California and the USDA Forest Service
746 ([Agreement for Shared Stewardship of California's Forests and Rangelands 2020](#)) acknowledges the need
747 to reduce forest density and sets forth a plan wherein forests regulated by the state would be restored to
748 improve resilience. This project explores how certain FPR guidance for silvicultural techniques such as
749 shelterwood, group selection, and selection thinning may or may not facilitate resilience restoration targets;
750 how contemporary FPR guidance may or may not be aligned with mid-century projections of forest
751 sustainability and how past and present levels of stocking will compare with the coming future; and how
752 post-fire measurements correlate with the amount of defect in individual coast redwood trees to elucidate
753 the relationships between fire damage and bole decay from fire indicators and post-fire effects on live
754 redwood.

755 A paper entitled “Operational resilience in western US frequent-fire forests” ([North et al. 2022](#)) was
756 published in *Forest Ecology and Management* and shared with the EMC in 2024. Principal Investigator Dr.
757 Rob York provided a presentation to the Forestry Forum in March 2022, and the presentation file was
758 shared with the EMC in 2024 ([York 2022](#)); Ryan Tompkins gave a presentation at the California Licensed
759 Forester’s Association (CLFA) conference in March 2023 ([Tompkins 2023](#)); and Brandon Collins gave a
760 presentation to Marin Wildfire Authority in June 2023 ([Collins 2023](#)). While the research plan had hoped to
761 develop a demonstration site at Flatwoods in Shasta County, due to uncertainty in designating Flatwoods
762 Research Forest as an experimental forestland, it is assumed that Baker Forest, a UC research property in
763 Plumas County, will be used instead.

764 Field demonstrations of marking for tree vigor with Stand Density Index (SDI) occurred over the calendar
765 year, with Dr. York leading this effort at Blodgett Forest; a research brief titled “Evaluating the Development
766 and Application of Stand Density Index for the Management of Complex and Adaptive Forests “ was
767 published in February 2024 in *Current Forestry Reports* ([Chivhenge et al. 2024](#)). In May 2024, a presentation
768 was provided to the Forest Landowners of California in ([York 2024a](#)); this presentation is also associated
769 with EMC-2017-006. A written progress report was provided in June 2024 ([York 2024b](#)). Master of Forestry
770 student Connie Ryan gave a presentation in May 2024 as part of the Master of Forestry finishing series, and
771 while the EMC has not received that deliverable, Dr. York is seeking any associated files. The project is
772 progressing as planned, with a final project report and presentation, yield impacts analysis, peer-reviewed
773 publication, and CRAs anticipated in 2025.

774 **EMC-2022-005 (9GA22702): Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods**

775 This research investigates the effectiveness of the current FPRs in mitigating the wildfire hazard and risks for
776 “normal” fire scenarios (i.e., conditions in which an initial attack is more likely to be successful) or in which
777 fuel treatments have a higher likelihood of being effective. To that end, this study focuses on at industrial
778 timberland slash treatments (e.g., lop and scatter) along public roads, specifically targeting Title 14 CCR
779 917.2 and Technical Addendum #2 – Cumulative Impacts, H. Wildfire risk and hazard (2-4) to determine if
780 the rules are adequate to decrease fire behavior.

781 The project is progressing as planned, with a written status update provided to the EMC in June 2024
782 ([Norville 2024](#)), and a progress report presentation given at the November EMC meeting ([Norville and](#)
783 [Jones 2024](#)). A second season of treatments and data collection continued in 2024. A final project report
784 and presentation, a potential field tour, and CRAs are anticipated in early 2026, with submission of
785 publications expected to occur in fall 2026. Outreach and engagement efforts, including conference
786 presentations, UCCE-hosted field tours, and creation of a factsheet, are anticipated to begin in spring 2026.

787 ***EMC-2023-002 (9GA23701): Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake***
788 ***Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California***

789 The project is conducting several assessments on past fire history, current fire hazard, and county level
790 vegetation recovery for WLPZ areas of California. Fire history will include an assessment of total acres
791 burned by severity for all fires back to 1984 using available vegetation burn severity data. Current fire
792 hazard (flame length and fire type) will be assessed using statewide fire hazard data updated for 2022.
793 Within Plumas County, the dominant vegetation cover of all WLPZ areas will be assessed using a time series
794 analysis to compare changes or no changes in forest, shrub, herbaceous, and barren cover types across all
795 land ownerships and burn severities. The project will answer the following questions:

- 796 • What is the extent of total acres burned and acres burned by severity class (low, moderate, high)
797 for all WLPZ areas in California since 1984?
- 798 • At the HUC 12 level, which individual watersheds have experienced the greatest percentage of high
799 severity fire since 1984?
- 800 • What is the current fire hazard in all WLPZ areas, including potential flame length and fire type
801 (surface fire, passive crown fire, active crown fire)?
- 802 • Within Plumas County, what are the trends in forest, shrub, grassland, and barren cover for all
803 WLPZ areas since 1984?
- 804 • How is current vegetation cover type influenced by past fire severity, pre-wildfire management
805 actions (fuels reduction), and post wildfire reforestation and recovery?

806 To date, project work has progressed as planned, and Nick Miley of Spatial Informatics Group provided a
807 progress report presentation was provided to the EMC in November 2024 ([Miley 2024](#)). A project summary
808 summarizes the work conducted in 2024 ([Saah and Moghaddas 2025](#)). The data used in this project are
809 neither centrally located, nor complete. For example, WLPZ TA83 hydrology data are split into separate
810 datasets for lakes and streams. Similarly, data gathering for THPs, HUC-12, land ownership, and burn
811 severity required aggregation and integration measures. Harvest exclusion buffers were added to TA83 data
812 with overlaps removed deferring to higher order WLPZ classification; similar actions were applied to
813 HUC/CDFW hydrology layers for statewide analysis. Data were clipped to the project area, mapped, and
814 evaluated for quality and accuracy.

815 The Post Fire Vegetation Monitoring Tool (PFVMT) was updated to ensure implementation of the most
816 recent version of the data for analysis. Using the PFVMT data, exploratory analysis of vegetation was
817 conducted within WLPZs in Plumas County. Methodology was refined and applied to five fires in Plumas
818 County (Storrie, Chips, Moonlight, North Complex, and Dixie Fires) and various timber harvest types (see

819 project map: [Calfire EMC Riparian Fire](#)¹⁰). Exploratory statistical analysis is ongoing, including spatially
820 continuous analysis in and around WLPZs in Plumas County for Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity (MTBS),
821 annual burn probability, and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). A statewide WLPZ burn
822 analysis dashboard is being developed county by county to explore fire statistics (e.g., acres burned,
823 severity) in WLPZs since 1970 (see [PC537 Hydro Lines vs Fires](#)¹¹).

824 Early exploratory analyses suggest there may be detectable differences in fire outcomes (i.e., burn severity)
825 in WLPZs adjacent to timber harvest as compared to WLPZs that lack nearby timber harvest, though effect
826 sizes are relatively small and variable. Harvest type (e.g. even-aged vs not even-aged), WLPZ classification,
827 and fire size appear to be significant variables that correlate with burn severity outcomes within WLPZs. A
828 significant percentage of WLPZs have experienced wildfire in the last 50 years—the majority occurring in the
829 last 10 years. For example, in 2020, 435,000 acres of WLPZ burned, and 34% of those WLPZs affected by fire
830 burned at high severity.

831 A key challenge has been signal detection given coarse remote sensing resolution (30 meters) and
832 patchwork of harvests and harvest types within the study area, along with the narrow band of interest (no
833 greater than 150 ft on either side) that demarcates a WLPZ. Solutions may include the use of spatially
834 continuous analysis techniques moving from stream center/lake shore out or binning similar variables such
835 as WLPZ classes (I and II, III and IV) and certain timber harvest types. Moreover, the complexity of variables
836 contributing to wildfire spread and severity dilute signal detection of discrete variables such as timber
837 harvest type, year of harvest completion, and WLPZ classification. Solutions may include binning similar
838 variables to increase sample size or focusing on subtle differences in fire outcome. The lack of a
839 comprehensive, statewide dataset for WLPZ classification is also a challenge. Solutions may include splitting
840 the dataset by WLPZs that intersect timber harvest with those that do not to create a pseudo-control
841 treatment.

842 Additional challenges have been encountered. The CAL FIRE TA83 dataset is not spatially aligned with the
843 HUC/CDFW watershed datasets. A solution may be to run separate analyses on the two datasets that take
844 advantage of the unique characteristics of each. Furthermore, when looking at individual timber harvest
845 types, the acreage available for analysis varies significantly. The sample size is further limited by those
846 timber harvests that intersect WLPZs. Solutions may include providing sample size (acreage) values for
847 signal strength context or binning similar variables to increase sample size.

848 This project is beginning to shed light on how regulatory buffers—designed for water protection—may
849 interact with wildfire outcomes in managed forests. In 2025, the PIs will complete statistical modeling of
850 burn severity as a function of WLPZ presence, finish the burn and recovery vegetation analysis, finalize the
851 statewide fire analysis dashboard, and draft a final report for the EMC summarizing findings and policy
852 implications, including a Pre-harvest Fuels Summary Report. Additional work will assess whether specific
853 FPR guidance (e.g., WLPZ buffer width, harvest restriction) aligns with observed fire effects.

854

¹⁰ <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/experiencebuilder/experience/?id=16d2e70373fa40a2b007b42b48147b37&page=Plumas-County>

¹¹ <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/dashboards/75b9d9d44911440c871c61ba011cbab6>

855 **EMC-2023-003 (9GA23700): Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Development and**
856 **Productivity**

857 In partnership with Humboldt and Mendocino Redwood Companies, Oregon State University are
858 conducting a systematic pre-and post-harvest fuel loading study to understand how commonly applied
859 forest management regimes combined with prescribed fuels reduction treatments affect fuels loading and
860 associated wildfire hazard, tree regeneration, and site productivity/health. This study follows multiple
861 replicate harvest units from pre-harvest measurements to determine how site vegetation has been affected
862 by slash treatment and vegetation management conducted for wildfire hazard mitigation. Member Hostler
863 was assigned to be the Project Liaison at the January EMC meeting, and Member Sal Chinnicci provided a
864 status update at the July meeting, reporting that study sites were identified, and fieldwork would start the
865 following week. Graduate student Julia Wine began work in June 2024 as a summer technician. Humboldt
866 and Mendocino Redwood Companies assisted in site selection and field visit coordination for ten weeks of
867 sampling during 2024. Pre-harvest data was collected on 40 sites and post-harvest data on 3 sites.
868 Additional data will be collected and analyzed in 2025.

869 **VI. POTENTIAL EMC PROJECT IMPACTS TO REGULATIONS**

870 The EMC provides valuable insight to the Board on testing the effectiveness of the FPRs and associated
871 regulations by way of science-based research projects. EMC-funded studies may show that regulatory
872 modifications, either minor or major, need to occur to ensure the effectiveness of the FPRs (14 CCR § 895 et
873 seq.). The EMC moved findings from EMC-2018-003 (Alternative Meadow Restoration) to the Board for
874 consideration in 2024. This research project represents a partial validation of the current FPRs, particularly
875 [CFR § 933.4\[e\]](#) regarding Meadows and Wet Areas restoration, but generally incremental progress in our
876 understanding of how to balance meadow restoration ‘other goals of forest management’. The final CRA
877 ([O’Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024b](#)) for this project will also be presented to the Management
878 Committee in March 2025 to allow for discussion of potential impacts to regulations, and implications for
879 potential rule changes, if any.

880 The EMC anticipates sharing additional findings for the following EMC-supported studies with the Board for
881 consideration in 2025 or 2026:

- 882 • EMC-2016-003: Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to
883 Detect Landslides)
- 884 • EMC-2017-002: Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird
885 Recorders Study
- 886 • EMC-2017-006: Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada
- 887 • EMC-2017-007: The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management
- 888 • EMC-2018-003: Alternative Meadow Restoration
- 889 • EMC-2018-006: Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, &
890 Primary Productivity
- 891 • EMC-2019-002: Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction
892 Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County, CA
- 893 • EMC-2019-003: Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada

- 894 • EMC-2021-003: Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in
895 Managed Conifer Forests
- 896 • EMC-2022-004: A Critical Evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation’s Capacity to Accommodate
897 Forest Restoration and Resilience Targets
- 898 • EMC-2023-002 (9GA23701): Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse
899 and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California

900 VII. REFERENCES CITED

- 901 AB-1492. California Assembly 2011-2012. Forest resource management, Health & Safety Code 13009.2.
902 https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201120120AB1492. Accessed 28
903 Apr 2025.
- 904 Agreement for Shared Stewardship of California's Forests and Rangelands. 2020. The State of California and
905 the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region.
906 <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/8.12.20-CA-Shared-Stewardship-MOU.pdf>.
907 Accessed 28 Apr 2025.
- 908 Anderson, C., M. Sampognaro, and J. W. Rivers. 2024. Comparing passive trapping methods for sampling
909 forest pollinators. Presentation at the FORTE: Academic Onboarding for New-to-OSU Students,
910 Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. September 23, 2024. Available online: [https://calfire-
911 umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/ksbholsw/10-anderson-et-al-poster-2024-2025.pptx](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/ksbholsw/10-anderson-et-al-poster-2024-2025.pptx). Accessed 10
912 June 2025.
- 913 Battles, J. 2023. The Life Cycle of Standing Dead Trees: Implications for Forest Management in the Sierra
914 Nevada. Final project report on EMC-2017-007. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/Ozljn1th/3-
915 battles_emc_2017_007_revised_report.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/Ozljn1th/3-battles_emc_2017_007_revised_report.pdf). Accessed 27 Apr 2025.
- 916 Bladon, K. D. C. Segura, L. Miralha, J. Nicholas, A. D. Wissler, M. House, and D. Coe. 2024. Effectiveness of
917 Class II Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone (WLPZ) Prescriptions. Final Project Presentation for
918 Project EMC-2018-006 to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento, June 17, 2024.
919 Available online: <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/phajm1n0/13-final-presentation-june-2024.pdf>.
920 Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 921 Boden, K., D. Philippus, A. Sytsma, J. Kurzweil, J. Randell, A. M. Kinoshita, and T. S. Hogue. 2023. A multi-
922 scale assessment of forest treatment impacts on evapotranspiration and water yield in the Sierra
923 Nevada. *Ecohydrology* 16(5): e2548. Available online:
924 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/eco.2548>. Accessed 16 January 2025.
- 925 Brandow, C.A., and P.H. Cafferata. 2014. Forest Practice Rules Implementation and Effectiveness Monitoring
926 (FORPRIEM) Program: monitoring results from 2008 through 2013. Monitoring Study Group Final
927 Report prepared for the California State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento, CA.
928 121 p. plus Appendix. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ssinvmqr/brandow-and-
929 cafferata-2014-forpriem-report-final-2-27-15.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ssinvmqr/brandow-and-cafferata-2014-forpriem-report-final-2-27-15.pdf). Accessed 26 December 2024.
- 930 Chivhenge, E., D.G. Ray, A.R. Weiskittel, C.W. Woodall, and A. W. D'Amato. 2024. Evaluating the
931 Development and Application of Stand Density Index for the Management of Complex and
932 Adaptive Forests. *Current Forestry Reports* 10:133–152. Available online:
933 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-024-00212-w> and [https://calfire-
934 umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/5ebbungy/3-chivhenge-et-al-2024.pdf](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/5ebbungy/3-chivhenge-et-al-2024.pdf). Accessed 10 June 2025.
- 935 Cobb, R. 2023. Heterobasidion root disease emergence and impacts over fifty years in montane California
936 forests: A comparison of three host-pathogen systems. June 5–9, 2023. Western International
937 Forest Disease Work Conference, Rohnert Park, CA. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jgzdv5e/6-
938 wifdwc-poster-june-2023_ada.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jgzdv5e/6-wifdwc-poster-june-2023_ada.pdf). Accessed 12 March 2025.
- 939 Collins, B. 2023. Wildfire, forest management, and sensitive wildlife: Lessons from the Sierra Nevada. A
940 presentation to Marin Wildfire Authority, June 2023. Available online: EMC LINK. Accessed XX
941 MONTH 2025.

- 942 [EMC] Effectiveness Monitoring Committee. 2020. Charter of the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee.
943 California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Approved July 1, 2020. 8 p.
944 https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/10115/effectiveness-monitoring-committee-charter-7120_ada.pdf.
945 Accessed 24 December 2024.
- 946 EMC. 2021. Completed Research Assessment. Developed by the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee for
947 transmission of EMC-supported research results to the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection.
948 https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/lufd3n5t/emc-completed-research-assessment_final_ada.pdf.
949 Accessed 26 December 2024.
- 950 EMC. 2022. Effectiveness Monitoring Committee Strategic Plan. October 27, 2022.
951 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/vaffvb42/2022-emc-strategic-plan-final.pdf>. Accessed 24 December
952 2024.
- 953 EMC. 2024a. Charter of the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee. California Board of Forestry and Fire
954 Protection. Approved December 11, 2024. 8 p. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/avqci4do/2024-emc-
955 charter-final.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/avqci4do/2024-emc-charter-final.pdf). Accessed 24 December 2024.
- 956 EMC. 2024b. 2023 Annual Report and Workplan. California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Approved
957 September 26, 2024. 30 p. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/tqhbfoa4/emc-2023-annual-report-and-
958 workplan-final.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/tqhbfoa4/emc-2023-annual-report-and-workplan-final.pdf). Accessed 24 December 2024.
- 959 EMC. 2024c. Grant Guidelines Fiscal Year 2023–2024 Request For Proposals. California Board of Forestry
960 and Fire Protection. Released March 22, 2024. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/h5zbiacs/emc-grant-
961 guidelines-2024-25-final.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/h5zbiacs/emc-grant-guidelines-2024-25-final.pdf). Accessed 24 December 2024.
- 962 EMC. 2024d. Membership Roster. California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Updated November 12,
963 2024. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/orqocmls/emc-members-and-term-exp_Webpage.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/orqocmls/emc-members-and-term-exp_webpage.pdf).
964 Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 965 EMC. 2024e. Projects, Research Themes, and Critical Monitoring Questions Matrix. California Board of
966 Forestry and Fire Protection. Revised December 24, 2024.
967 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/142j0dpc/projects-and-cmq-matrix.pdf>. Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 968 EMC. 2024f. Request for Applicants. California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Updated October 1,
969 2024. <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/2ngpyzwh/call-for-emc-applicants.pdf>. Accessed 26 December
970 2024.
- 971 EMC. 2024g. Research Themes and Critical Monitoring Questions. Approved March 6, 2024 by the California
972 Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento. Available online:
973 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/nmfbkuub/research-themes-and-critical-monitoring-questions.pdf>.
974 Accessed 24 December 2024.
- 975 EMC. 2024h. Research Themes and Critical Monitoring Questions DRAFT - 2024 Tracked Changes Live Edits.
976 California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento. Available online:
977 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jrcjea1p/10-research-themes-and-critical-monitoring-questions-
978 2024-tc-live-edits.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jrcjea1p/10-research-themes-and-critical-monitoring-questions-2024-tc-live-edits.pdf). Accessed 12 June 2024.
- 979 Gutierrez, S. and M. Sampognaro. 2024. Specimen Collections: the Value in Preserving Specimens for Future
980 Scientific Research. Oregon State University Spring Poster Symposium, May 16, Corvallis. Available
981 online: <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/fttcfirj/4-gutierrez-and-sampognaro-2024.pdf>. Accessed 14
982 January 2025.
- 983 Hamey, N. 2024. Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study. EMC-2022-003 Project Progress
984 Report Presentation to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento, November 14, 2024.

- 985 Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/niofiw2i/progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/niofiw2i/progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-003-nov-2024.pdf)
986 [003-nov-2024.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/niofiw2i/progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-003-nov-2024.pdf). Accessed 02 April 2025.
- 987 Marks, S., C. Surfleet, and B. Malama. 2024. Estimating and Modeling Pinus contorta Transpiration in a
988 Montane Meadow Using Sap-Flow Measurements. *Forests* 15(10):1786.
989 <https://doi.org/10.3390/f15101786> and [https://calfire-](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/yd2owg41/10-marks-et-al-2024-forests.pdf)
990 [umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/yd2owg41/10-marks-et-al-2024-forests.pdf](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/yd2owg41/10-marks-et-al-2024-forests.pdf). Accessed 10 June
991 2025.
- 992 Miley, N. 2024. Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection
993 Zones and riparian areas of California. EMC-2022-004 Project Progress Report Presentation to the
994 Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento, November 14, 2024. Available online:
995 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/x4ramgj5/5-progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-004.pdf>.
996 Accessed 16 January 2025.
- 997 North, M.P., R.E. Tompkins, A.A. Bernal, B.M. Collins, S.L. Stephens, and R.A. York. 2022. Operational
998 resilience in western US frequent-fire forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 507:120004.
999 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112721010975>. EMC LINK. Accessed 10
1000 June 2025.
- 1001 Norville, T. 2024. EMC-2022-005: Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods –
1002 Annual Progress Report. June 2024. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1gilnaid/june-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1gilnaid/june-2024-update.pdf)
1003 [2024-update.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1gilnaid/june-2024-update.pdf). Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 1004 Norville, T., and M. Jones. 2024. Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods.
1005 Project Progress Report Presentation to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento.
1006 November 14, 2024. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ayip3gcx/11-progress-report-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ayip3gcx/11-progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-005.pdf)
1007 [presentation-emc-2022-005.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ayip3gcx/11-progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-005.pdf). Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 1008 O'Connor, M. and L. Love-Anderegg. 2024a. Draft Completed Research Assessment for EMC-2018-003:
1009 Alternative Meadow Restoration. August 20, 2024. Available online:
1010 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/cmehapz/8-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/cmehapz/8-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003-draft.pdf)
1011 [draft.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/cmehapz/8-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003-draft.pdf). Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 1012 O'Connor, M. and L. Love-Anderegg. 2024b. Final Completed Research Assessment for EMC-2018-003:
1013 Alternative Meadow Restoration. Approved by the California Board of Forestry & Fire Protection
1014 December 11, 2024, Sacramento. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/msfh1bjs/9-final-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/msfh1bjs/9-final-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003.pdf)
1015 [completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/msfh1bjs/9-final-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003.pdf). Accessed 28 Apr 2025.
- 1016 Olsen, W., D. Coe, and R. Lim. Forest Fire Prevention, or Forest Resiliency? Monitoring Report on the §1038
1017 Forest Fire Prevention Exemption. DRAFT. Prepared for the Department of Forestry & Fire
1018 Protection and the California Board of Forestry & Fire Protection, January 18, 2023, Sacramento.
1019 Available online:
1020 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368277291_Forest_Fire_Prevention_or_Forest_Resilien](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368277291_Forest_Fire_Prevention_or_Forest_Resiliency_Monitoring_Report_on_the_1038_Forest_Fire_Prevention_Exemption)
1021 [cy_Monitoring_Report_on_the_1038_Forest_Fire_Prevention_Exemption](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368277291_Forest_Fire_Prevention_or_Forest_Resiliency_Monitoring_Report_on_the_1038_Forest_Fire_Prevention_Exemption). EMC LINK. Accessed 10
1022 June 2025.
- 1023 Prats, S.A., Malvar, M.C. and J.W. Wagenbrenner. 2020. Compaction and cover effects on runoff and
1024 erosion in post-fire salvage logged areas in the Valley Fire, California. *Wildfire and Hydrological*
1025 *Processes*, 35(1), p.e13997. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13997> and [https://calfire-](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/hkjcr0r/3-3-iii-ca-forestry-report-post-fire-salvage-logging_ada.pdf)
1026 [umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/hkjcr0r/3-3-iii-ca-forestry-report-post-fire-salvage-](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/hkjcr0r/3-3-iii-ca-forestry-report-post-fire-salvage-logging_ada.pdf)
1027 [logging_ada.pdf](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/hkjcr0r/3-3-iii-ca-forestry-report-post-fire-salvage-logging_ada.pdf). Accessed 10 June 2025.

- 1028 Ramirez, O. 2024. Hydrologic response of meadow restoration following the removal of encroached
1029 conifers. June 2024, 76 p. Master's thesis, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.
1030 Available online: https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/vfvl105c/oramirez_2024june.pdf. Accessed 14
1031 January 2025.
- 1032 Rivers, J. 2024a. Ecology and conservation of native bees in working forest landscapes. Invited talk. Available
1033 online: <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/wreb01kc/5-rivers-2024.pdf>. Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 1034 Rivers, J. 2024b. Evaluating the response of native bees to fuel-reduction treatments in managed conifer
1035 forests. Project Progress Report Presentation to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee,
1036 Sacramento. November 14, 2024. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/2psjwukl/7-
1037 progress-report-presentation-emc-2021-003-11-2024.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/2psjwukl/7-progress-report-presentation-emc-2021-003-11-2024.pdf). Accessed 01 April 2025.
- 1038 Rivers, J. and M. Sampognaro. 2024. Evaluating native bee response to fuel-reduction treatments in
1039 managed conifer forests. Handout for presentation to CAL FIRE, July 2024. Available online:
1040 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/gqna1caa/6-rivers-and-sampognaro-07-2024-calfire-bee-project-
1041 handout.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/gqna1caa/6-rivers-and-sampognaro-07-2024-calfire-bee-project-handout.pdf). Accessed 01 April 2025.
- 1042 Saah, D., and J. Moghaddas. 2025. Project Summary for Annual Report. EMC-2023-002: Assessing Fire
1043 Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and
1044 riparian areas of California. Reporting Period: January–December 2024. Available online:
1045 [https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/vowmj3ak/4-project-summary-for-2024-annual-
1046 report-emc-2023-002.pdf](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/vowmj3ak/4-project-summary-for-2024-annual-report-emc-2023-002.pdf). Accessed 10 June 2025.
- 1047 Sampognaro, M., K. Moriarty, J. Verschuyll, and J. W. Rivers. 2024a. Evaluating native bee community
1048 response to fuel reduction treatments in managed timber forests. Outreach presentation for
1049 Oregon State University Environmental Club, Corvallis, OR. March 14th, 2024. Available online:
1050 <https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/t2ygecyll/6-sampognaro-osu-enviro-club-2024.pdf>.
1051 Accessed 10 June 2025.
- 1052 Sampognaro, M., K. Moriarty, J. Verschuyll, and J. W. Rivers. 2024b. Evaluating native bee community
1053 response to fuel break treatments in managed forests. Presentation at the Western Forest
1054 Graduate Research Symposium, Corvallis OR. April 12th, 2024. Available online: [https://calfire-
1055 umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/4mcjiasv/7-sampognaro-wfgrs-2024.pdf](https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/4mcjiasv/7-sampognaro-wfgrs-2024.pdf). Accessed 10 June 2025.
- 1056 Sampognaro, M., K. Moriarty, J. Verschuyll, and J. W. Rivers. 2024c. Evaluating native bee community
1057 response to fuel reduction treatments in managed timber forests. Invited Talk: Presentation at the
1058 Lassen Field Station Research Symposium, Mineral, CA. June 19th, 2024. Available online:
1059 <https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/media/jhrch3nl/8-sampognaro-lassen-2024.pdf>. Accessed
1060 10 June 2025.
- 1061 Smith, K. A., Schneider, K. E., Kinoshita, A. M., Kurzweil, J., Prucha, B., & Hogue, T. S. (2024). Water yield
1062 response to forest treatment patterns in a Sierra Nevada watershed. *Journal of Hydrology Regional
1063 Studies*, 53, 101762–101762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2024.101762>. EMC LINK. Accessed 26
1064 Apr 2025.
- 1065 Tompkins, R. 2023. Operational resilience in western US frequent-fire forests: What is forest resilience &
1066 how do we restore it? California Licensed Forester's Association, March 2–3, Anderson, CA.
1067 Available online: EMC LINK. Accessed XX MONTH 2025.
- 1068 York, R. 2022. Managing density to resist multiple stressors in mixed conifer forests. Presentation to the
1069 Forestry Forum, March 2022. Available online: EMC LINK. Accessed XX MONTH 2025.

- 1070 York, R. 2024a. Fuel treatment alternatives in riparian zones of the Sierra Nevada. May 2024. A presentation
1071 to the Forest Landowners of California. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/mxqpjsrz/8-
presentation-forest-landowners-of-california-may-2024.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/mxqpjsrz/8-
1072 presentation-forest-landowners-of-california-may-2024.pdf). Accessed 14 January 2025.
- 1073 York, R. 2024b. Progress report for EMC-2022-004: A critical evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation's
1074 capacity to accommodate forest restoration and resilience targets. June 21, 2024. Available online:
1075 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/b43pmaus/4-progress-report-june-2024-emc-2022-004.pdf>.
1076 Accessed 28 Apr 2025.