

# EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING COMMITTEE 2024 ANNUAL REPORT & WORKPLAN



Submitted to the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection

Approved: MONTH XX, 2025

Dr. Liz Forsburg Pardi, Co-Chair  
California State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection

Drew Coe, Co-Chair  
California State Department of Forestry & Fire Protection

## Table of Contents

<b>EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING COMMITTEE</b> .....	1
<b>2024 ANNUAL REPORT &amp; WORKPLAN</b> .....	1
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	1
I. EMC PROCESS .....	1
II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF.....	3
III. EMC SUPPORTED MONITORING PROJECTS – 2015 to 2024 .....	5
IV. EMC PRIORITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS .....	11
2024 EMC Priorities and Accomplishments .....	11
2025 EMC Priorities .....	16
V. EMC PROJECT UPDATES AND PRODUCTS .....	17
EMC-2015-001 (8CA03650): Class II Large Watercourse Study: Multiscale investigation of perennial flow and thermal influence of headwater streams into fish bearing systems.....	17
EMC-2016-002: Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality on Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest .....	18
EMC-2016-003 (8CA03680): Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to Detect Landslides).....	18
EMC-2017-001 (8CA03685): Effects of Forest Stand Density Reduction on Nutrient Cycling and Nutrient Transport at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watershed .....	18
EMC-2017-002: Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird Recorders Study.....	19
EMC-2017-006 (9CA04020): Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada .....	19
EMC-2017-007 (9CA04019): The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management.....	20
EMC-2017-008 (9CA04087): Forest Practice Rules to Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Diseases .....	20
EMC-2017-012: Assessment of Night-Flying Forest Pest Predator Communities on Demonstration State Forests – with Monitoring across Seral Stages and Silvicultural Prescriptions.....	20
EMC-2018-003 (9CA04452): Alternative Meadow Restoration .....	21
EMC-2018-006 (9CA04453): Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, & Primary Productivity .....	21
EMC-2019-002 (9CA04801): Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County, CA.....	23
EMC-2019-003 (9CA04824): Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada .....	24
EMC-2019-005 (9CA04802): Sediment Monitoring and Fish Habitat – San Vicente Accelerated Wood Recruitment .....	24
EMC-2021-003 (9CA05659): Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in Managed Conifer Forests .....	24
EMC-2022-003 (9GA22700): Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study.....	25

*The Board’s mission is to lead California in developing policies and programs that serve the public interest in environmentally, economically, and socially sustainable management of forest and rangelands, and a fire protection system that protects and serves the people of the state.*

EMC-2022-004 (9GA22701): A Critical Evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation’s Capacity to Accommodate Forest Restoration and Resilience Targets ..... 26

EMC-2022-005 (9GA22702): Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods..... 27

EMC-2023-002 (9GA23701): Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California ..... 27

EMC-2023-003 (9GA23700): Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Development and Productivity ..... 29

VI. POTENTIAL EMC PROJECT IMPACTS TO REGULATIONS ..... 30

VII. REFERENCES CITED..... 31

### List of Figures and Tables

**Figure 1.** Sample Anticipated EMC Project Submission and Grant Processing Timeline ..... 2

**Table 1.** Current EMC Membership and Support Staff..... 4

**Table 2.** Ongoing EMC Projects with Continued Funding and/or Activity in Current (2024/2025) or Upcoming Fiscal Year(s)..... 6

*The Board’s mission is to lead California in developing policies and programs that serve the public interest in environmentally, economically, and socially sustainable management of forest and rangelands, and a fire protection system that protects and serves the people of the state.*

## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2 The Effectiveness Monitoring Committee (EMC) Annual Report and Workplan (Report) is updated and  
3 approved by the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection (Board) annually and is intended to catalogue the  
4 yearly accomplishments and status of ongoing EMC efforts. The Report summarizes EMC accomplishments,  
5 details EMC funding actions for the year, and provides an update of current EMC membership and staffing.  
6 For Fiscal Year (FY) 2024/2025, the EMC selected two proposed effectiveness monitoring projects to fund  
7 and support. Ongoing projects from prior years continued to be funded and supported; numerous project  
8 presentations were provided at four open public EMC meetings; the EMC revised its Charter (see [EMC 2020](#),  
9 [EMC 2024](#)); and the EMC welcomed three new members and reappointed three members.

### 10 I. EMC PROCESS

11 The EMC was formed to develop and implement an effectiveness monitoring program to address both  
12 watershed and wildlife concerns, and to provide an active feedback loop to policymakers, managers,  
13 agencies, and the public to better assist in decision-making and adaptive management. As an advisory body  
14 to the Board, the EMC helps implement an effectiveness monitoring program by soliciting robust scientific  
15 research that addresses the effectiveness of these laws at meeting resource objectives and ecological  
16 performance measures related to AB 1492 ([AB-1492 California Assembly 2011-2012](#)<sup>1</sup>). In particular, the  
17 EMC funds robust scientific research aimed at testing the efficacy of the California Forest Practice Rules  
18 (FPRs) and other natural resource protection statutes, laws, codes, and regulations.

19 Four formal documents guide the activities and goals of the EMC:

- 20 (1) Charter ([EMC 2024a](#));
- 21 (2) Strategic Plan ([EMC 2022](#)), which is updated approximately every three years;
- 22 (3) Annual Report and Work Plan (i.e., this report), which is updated every calendar year (see [EMC](#)  
23 [2024b](#) for the most recent past report); and,
- 24 (4) Research Themes and Critical Monitoring Questions (CMQs) ([EMC 2024g](#)), which may be updated  
25 annually as determined necessary by the EMC.

26 All four documents are linked and interact in varying ways to guide the direction and activities of the EMC.  
27 The EMC reports on its activities in a variety of ways. The EMC Strategic Plan lays out how the Committee  
28 intends to achieve the EMC goals and objectives. This Annual Report and Workplan tracks progress on  
29 individual projects, documents the Committee's ranking and selection of proposed monitoring projects, and  
30 details other annual accomplishments and ongoing EMC efforts. The EMC conducts open meetings a  
31 minimum of four times per year (quarterly) to conduct EMC business, during which progress reports, final  
32 reports, or other presentations on EMC-funded projects or other related research may be provided. The  
33 EMC Co-Chair that also serves on the Board, or Board staff, may also report on the EMC's activities via  
34 verbal updates at Board meetings throughout the year.

35

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201120120AB1492](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201120120AB1492)

36

37 EMC projects are solicited through an annual Request for Proposals (RFP) which is released following the  
 38 start of the new FY (see **Figure 1**; also see the most recent RFP, [EMC 2024c](#)). The RFP, ranking, and selection  
 39 process are detailed in the EMC’s Strategic Plan ([EMC 2022](#)).



**Figure 1. EMC Project Submission and Grant Processing Timeline – Example of Funding Cycle for Fiscal Year 2023/24. Key: RFP = Request for Proposals.**

40 For FY 2024/25, the EMC’s budget was reduced from the usual funding amount of \$425,000 from the  
 41 Timber Regulation and Forest Restoration Fund (TRFRF)—established by AB 1492—to \$389,700, a reduction  
 42 of \$35,300. As such, the original RFP reflected a greater amount of estimated funding available to new  
 43 projects. Of the allotted FY 2024/25 funds, \$257,710 was allocated to support ongoing, previously awarded  
 44 projects and \$131,990 remained for new projects starting in FY 2024/25 after the budget reductions were  
 45 considered (see **Table 2** in **Section III. EMC SUPPORTED MONITORING PROJECTS – 2015 to 2024** for a list of  
 46 active projects and funding status). The EMC anticipates an allocation of \$425,000 in FY 2025/26 and  
 47 subsequent years. EMC projects have an up to three-year performance period, and the EMC selected and  
 48 budgeted for the proposed projects with funding terms ending June 30, 2027 based on this anticipated  
 49 funding. This funding is allocated to projects through the Board/Department of Forestry & Fire Protection  
 50 (CAL FIRE) grants department.

## 51 II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF

52 The EMC has 17 mandated seats, including two co-chairs (one appointed from the Board), eight agency  
53 representatives, and seven monitoring community members. While not a mandated seat, one additional  
54 seat with a representative from the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) is also regularly occupied on the EMC.  
55 Additional staff support positions are provided by the Board, CAL FIRE, and other agencies (e.g., Water  
56 Boards). In 2024, the EMC welcomed three new members and three members were reappointed. Two seats  
57 remained unfilled on the EMC: one seat on the Monitoring Community, and one seat for a representative of  
58 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). One seat for a representative of the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) is  
59 currently filled by Member Dr. Drury and will be backfilled once an appropriate candidate can be found.  
60 More details on member terms and seats follows:

- 61 • The Board approved the EMC’s recommendations for three new members at its September 26<sup>th</sup>  
62 meeting.
  - 63 ○ Givonne G. Law, Fuels Reduction Coordinator for East Bay Regional Parks District, filled an  
64 empty seat on the Monitoring Community, bringing her background in forest ecology and  
65 forestry to the EMC.
  - 66 ○ Izaac Russo joined the EMC as an agency representative of the North Coast Regional Water  
67 Quality Control Board. Member Russo brings experience in geology and water quality to  
68 the EMC, filling the seat behind James Burke.
  - 69 ○ Marjan Ghotbizadeh filled Jessica Leonard’s seat as an agency representative of the State  
70 Water Resources Control Board, bringing a background in water quality and management.
- 71 • Terms for Members Dr. O’Connor, Dr. Love-Anderegg, and Chinnici—who sit on the Monitoring  
72 Community—expired in 2023 or 2024 and were reappointed by the EMC in 2024. The Board  
73 approved their recommended appointments at the March and July meetings.
- 74 • One seat on the Monitoring Community remains vacant as of July 2023. The agency representative  
75 seat for the USFWS has been vacant for many years, and Board staff continue to frequently reach  
76 out to this agency to recruit nominees. While currently filled, the USFS agency representative seat  
77 will be back-filled as soon as an appropriate candidate has been identified, nominated, and a public  
78 EMC vote can take place. Board staff reaches out to agency staff regularly to request nominees, and  
79 all seats are advertised frequently in the [Request for Applicants](#) (EMC 2024f), which is often  
80 updated and posted on the [EMC’s webpage](#) in the ‘News’ box, and on the [Board’s webpage](#)<sup>2</sup> under  
81 the ‘Latest’ header.
- 82 • Member Dr. Forsburg-Pardi’s term expires in January 2025, and if she will not be continuing with  
83 the EMC, Board staff will consult with the Board as to an appropriate replacement.

84 The updated Membership Roster is available online at [EMC Members and Term Expirations](#) (EMC 2024d).  
85 See **Table 1** for a list of current membership and support staff.

---

<sup>22</sup> <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/>

86 **Table 1. Current EMC Membership and Support Staff.**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Specialty</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>	<b>Term End Date</b>
<b>Co-Chairs</b>			
Drew Coe RPF #2981	Hydrology and Forestry	CAL FIRE	06/14/2027
Elizabeth (“Liz”) Forsburg-Pardi, Ph.D.	Forest and Water Policy	Board of Forestry and Fire Protection (Board appointee) The Nature Conservancy	01/15/2025
<b>Monitoring Community</b>			
Michael Jones, Ph.D. RPF #3241	Forest Health and Disturbance Ecology	Forest Advisor Mendocino, Lake, and Sonoma Counties University of California Cooperative Extension	08/17/2026
Matthew Nannizzi	Aquatic Biology	Green Diamond Resource Company	11/02/2026
Sal Chinnici	Wildlife	Humboldt and Mendocino Redwood Companies	07/01/2024
Matthew O’Connor, Ph.D.	Geology and Geomorphology	Public, O’Connor Environmental	01/28/2028
Givonne G. Law	Forest Ecology and Forestry	East Bay Regional Parks District	09/26/2028
Leander Love- Anderegg, Ph.D.	Forest Ecology and Forestry	University of California, Santa Barbara	03/06/2028
<b>VACANT</b> <i>Formerly: Peter Freer- Smith, Ph.D.</i>	<i>Formerly: Plant Ecology and Environmental Policy</i>	<i>Formerly: University of California, Davis</i>	<i>Resigned 07/05/2023</i>
<b>Agency Representatives</b>			
<b>Pending Open Seat</b> Stacy Drury, Ph.D.	Fire Ecology	USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station	n/a
Ben Waitman, Ph.D.	Wildlife	California Department of Fish and Wildlife	n/a
Clesi Bennett	Climate Change, Environmental Justice, and Natural Resources Policy	California Natural Resources Agency	n/a
Marjan Ghotbizadeh	Water Quality and Management	State Water Resources Control Board	n/a
Jonathan Meurer	Geology, Hydrology, and Water Quality	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
Clarence Hostler	Fisheries	National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service	n/a
Bill Short	Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology	California Geological Survey	n/a
Izaak Russo	Geology and Water Quality	North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
<b>VACANT</b>		U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	n/a

Name	Specialty	Affiliation	Term End Date
<b>Support Staff</b>			
Edith Hannigan	Forestry and Fire Protection, Land Use Planning	Executive Officer, Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	n/a
Aaron Rachels	Geology, Engineering, Forest Activities, and Storm Water Management	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
Stacy Stanish RPF #3000	Biology and Fisheries	CAL FIRE	n/a
Dave Fowler	Geology and Water Quality	North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board	n/a
Kristina Wolf, Ph.D. CRM #122	Rangeland and Restoration Ecology	Environmental Scientist, Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	n/a

**Key:** CAL FIRE = California Department of Forestry & Fire Protection; CRM = Certified Rangeland Manager; RPF = Registered Professional Forester; USDA = United States Department of Agriculture.

### 87 III. EMC SUPPORTED MONITORING PROJECTS – 2015 to 2024

88 A comprehensive list of all EMC-supported monitoring projects and links to supporting materials—including  
 89 completed and closed projects—can be found on the Board’s [EMC webpage](#)<sup>3</sup> near the bottom of the  
 90 webpage. For a list of currently active projects, see **Table 1**, next page.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/board-committees/effectiveness-monitoring-committee/>

91 **Table 2. Ongoing EMC Projects with Continued Funding and/or Activity in Current (2024/2025) or Upcoming Fiscal Year(s)**

Project # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Funding Status or Remaining Budget
EMC-2015-001 \$ 221,271	Class II Large Watercourse Study: Multiscale investigation of perennial flow and thermal influence of headwater streams into fish bearing systems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Kevin Bladon, <i>Oregon State University</i></li> <li>• Dr. Catalina Segura, <i>Oregon State University</i></li> </ul>	Drew Coe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project Complete and Closed</li> <li>• Final project deliverables received</li> <li>• Anadromous Salmonid Protection Rule change resulted in 2022</li> <li>• Additional refereed publications anticipated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fully allocated</li> <li>• Project Complete</li> </ul>
EMC-2016-002 NA*	Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality on Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Joe Wagenbrenner, <i>Michigan Technological University</i></li> <li>• Kevin Bladon, <i>Oregon State University</i></li> <li>• Drew Coe, <i>CAL FIRE</i></li> <li>• Don Lindsay, <i>California Geological Survey</i></li> </ul>	None <sup>†</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project Complete and Closed</li> <li>• Final project deliverables received</li> <li>• Additional refereed publications anticipated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fully allocated via other funding streams outside of the EMC*</li> <li>• Project Complete</li> </ul>
EMC-2016-003 \$ 100,000	Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to Detect Landslides)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bill Short, <i>California Geological Survey</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Matthew O'Connor</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In progress and deliverables up-to-date</li> <li>• Final project deliverables and CRA anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	Fully allocated
EMC-2017-001 \$ 192,251	Effects of Forest Stand Density Reduction on Nutrient Cycling and Nutrient Transport at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watershed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Helen Dahlke, <i>University of California, Davis</i></li> <li>• Dr. Randy Dahlgren, <i>University of California, Davis</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drew Coe</li> <li>• Lance Leigh</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final project report and presentation received</li> <li>• Peer-reviewed publication(s) and CRA anticipated 2025 and beyond</li> </ul>	Fully allocated

Project # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Funding Status or Remaining Budget
EMC-2017-002 \$ 1,200	Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird Recorders Study	Stacy Stanish, <i>CAL FIRE</i>	Dr. Kristina Wolf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In progress</li> <li>• Final project deliverables and CRA anticipated 2025 and 2026</li> </ul>	Fully allocated
EMC-2017-006 \$ 114,844	Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada	Dr. Rob York, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Matthew O'Connor</li> <li>• Drew Coe</li> <li>• Matthew Nannizzi</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final project presentation received</li> <li>• Peer-reviewed publication and CRA anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	Fully allocated
EMC-2017-007 \$ 71,278	The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management	Dr. John Battles, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Michael Jones</li> <li>• Dr. Matthew O'Connor</li> <li>• Dr. Leander Love- Anderegg</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final project presentation and report received</li> <li>• CRA anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	Fully allocated
EMC-2017-008 \$ 108,986	Do Forest Practice Rules Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Disease and Bark Beetle Interactions	Dr. Richard Cobb, <i>California Polytechnic State University</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ben Waitman</li> <li>• Marjan Ghotbizadeh</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work completed and final project deliverables received</li> <li>• Three peer-reviewed publications anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	Fully allocated
EMC-2017-012 NA*	Assessment of Night- Flying Forest Pest Predator Communities on Demonstration State Forests – with Monitoring across Seral Stages and Silvicultural Prescriptions	Dr. Michael Baker, <i>California Department of Forestry &amp; Fire Protection</i>	Drew Coe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In progress and deliverables up-to-date</li> <li>• Final project deliverables anticipated 2025 and 2026</li> </ul>	Fully allocated via other funding streams outside of the EMC*

Project # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Funding Status or Remaining Budget
EMC-2018-003 \$ 101,802	Alternative Meadow Restoration	Dr. Christopher Surfleet, <i>California Polytechnic State University</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Leander Love-Anderegg</li> <li>• Dr. Matthew O'Connor</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final project deliverables received</li> <li>• Presentation to the Management Committee anticipated in early 2025</li> <li>• Two peer-reviewed publications anticipated in 2025</li> </ul>	\$ 172.78
EMC-2018-006 \$ 694,371	Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, & Primary Productivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Kevin Bladon, <i>Oregon State University</i></li> <li>• Dr. Catalina Segura, <i>Oregon State University</i></li> <li>• Matt House, <i>Green Diamond Resource Company</i></li> <li>• Drew Coe, <i>CAL FIRE</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ben Waitman</li> <li>• Matthew Nannizzi</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final report and presentation received</li> <li>• Peer-reviewed publication and CRA anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	\$ 324.19
EMC-2019-002 \$ 68,168	Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brad Graevs, <i>Feather River Resource Conservation District</i></li> <li>• Jason Moghaddas, <i>Spatial Informatics Group</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Stacy Drury</li> <li>• Drew Coe</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final project deliverables received</li> <li>• CRA and peer-reviewed publication anticipated in 2025</li> </ul>	Fully allocated

<b>Project # Award Amount</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)</b>	<b>Project Liaison(s)</b>	<b>Project Status</b>	<b>Funding Status or Remaining Budget</b>
EMC-2019-003 \$ 156,665	Fuel Treatments & Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Terri Hogue, <i>Colorado School of Mines</i></li> <li>• Dr. Alicia Kinoshita, <i>San Diego State University</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drew Coe</li> <li>• Givonne Law</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Final project deliverables received</li> <li>• CRA and downloadable user tools anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	\$ 45,539.60
EMC-2019-005 \$ 56,200	Sediment Monitoring and Fish Habitat – San Vicente Accelerated Wood Recruitment	Cheryl Hayhurst, <i>California Geological Society</i>	Bill Short	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Due to wildfire, contract term expired and remaining funding disencumbered</li> <li>• Field tour anticipated in 2025</li> <li>• Project plan revised and results anticipated in 2026 with alternate funding source</li> </ul>	Fully allocated and remaining funds disencumbered
EMC-2021-003 \$ 448,510.00	Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in Managed Conifer Forests	Dr. James Rivers, <i>Oregon State University</i>	Dr. Michael Jones	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In progress and deliverables up-to-date</li> <li>• Final results, theses, final report and presentation, and CRA anticipated 2025</li> <li>• Two peer-reviewed publications anticipated 2025 and beyond</li> </ul>	\$ 319,599
EMC-2022-003 \$ 207,876	Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study	Nadia Hamey, <i>Hamey Woods</i>	Jonathan Meurer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding awarded and work in progress</li> <li>• Spring field tour and final deliverables anticipated in 2025</li> </ul>	\$194,024

Project # Award Amount	Title	Primary Investigator(s), Affiliation(s)	Project Liaison(s)	Project Status	Funding Status or Remaining Budget
EMC-2022-004 \$ 85,000	A critical evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation's capacity to accommodate forest restoration and resilience targets	Dr. Rob York, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i>	Dr. Leander Love-Anderegg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In progress and deliverables generally up-to-date, with additional file submissions pending from 2022 and 2023</li> <li>Final project report, presentation and CRA anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	\$85,000
EMC-2022-005 \$ 91,278	Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tori Norville, <i>U.C. Cooperative Extension</i></li> <li>Dr. Michael Jones, <i>U.C. Cooperative Extension</i></li> </ul>	Drew Coe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In progress and deliverables up-to-date</li> <li>Final deliverables expected 2026</li> </ul>	\$91,278
EMC-2023-002 \$ 94,588	Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and riparian areas of California	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>David Saah, <i>Spatial Informatics Group</i></li> <li>Ryan Tompkins, <i>U.C. Cooperative Extension</i></li> </ul>	Jessica Leonard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In progress and deliverables up-to-date</li> <li>Project deliverables anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	\$94,588
EMC-2023-003 \$ 252,492	Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Productivity	Dr. John D. Bailey, <i>Oregon State University</i>	Clarence Hostler	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In progress</li> <li>Data collection and analysis anticipated 2025</li> </ul>	\$252,492

92 Key: CAL FIRE = California Department of Forestry & Fire Protection; CRA = Completed Research Assessment.

93 \* EMC-supported, but not EMC-funded

94 † project liaisons were introduced in late 2020, and the performance period (i.e., funding period) ended prior to assignment of liaisons.

## 95 IV. EMC PRIORITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

### 96 **2024 EMC Priorities and Accomplishments**

97 Annual priorities are developed by the EMC and the Board as needs arise and with input from the public  
98 and stakeholders via an annual call for input. The EMC's progress on its 2024 priorities (see EMC Priorities in  
99 the Annual Report, [EMC 2024b](#)) was as follows:

#### 100 **1. Meet at least four times per year in open meetings accessible to the public.**

101 The EMC met four times virtually and in person in open, webcast meetings to conduct business. Due to  
102 the State's travel freeze, meetings were conducted in a hybrid format to allow as many members to  
103 attend as possible without incurring travel costs; members attended from the closest location noticed  
104 on the EMC agenda, which is published online at least ten business days prior to the meeting. A quorum  
105 was present at all four public meetings.

#### 106 **2. Meet in the field at least once to observe active or proposed monitoring projects.**

107 The EMC did not conduct any field tours in 2024, as a Travel Freeze associated with reductions in the  
108 State budget were enacted in 2024.

#### 109 **3. Support projects related to the EMC Themes and CMQs, including funding new projects where 110 knowledge gaps exist.**

- 111 • The EMC received an allocation of \$389,700 from the Timber Regulation and Forest Restoration  
112 Fund in FY 2024/25, of which \$257,710 was allocated to previously awarded projects (see **Table 2**).
- 113 • For the third year, the EMC utilized a new grant program developed in 2021. Like in 2023, the  
114 release of the RFP was shifted earlier in the year to March 2024, rather than summer as in previous  
115 years. This has allowed for increased time to review applications, develop project and funding  
116 agreements, and encumber funds. This may also allow project PIs to begin work earlier in the FY  
117 than has been possible in previous years, as the time limitations of State funding agreements limit  
118 the period during which PIs can receive reimbursement for approved research expenses. Over the  
119 last two years, grant agreements have been finalized from 3–7 months sooner than contract  
120 agreements had previously been developed, and Board and grant department staff continue to  
121 refine methods to improve efficiency in developing grant agreements.
- 122 • Over the three fiscal years (starting in 2024/25) under consideration for funding in the 2024/25 RFP  
123 ([EMC 2024c](#)), and after consideration of previously allocated funds of \$307,550 over that same  
124 period, remaining funding available for newly proposed projects starting in 2024/25 totaled  
125 \$932,150, comprising \$131,660 in FY 2024/25; \$375,160 in FY 2025/26; and \$425,000 in FY 2026/27  
126 (assuming allocations in FY 2025/26 and beyond remain at \$425,000).
- 127 • The EMC reviewed seven Initial Concept Proposals (ICPs) at the EMC's open, public June meeting  
128 and requested Full Project Proposals (FPPs) from all four research teams; ICPs, FPPs, project  
129 rankings and notes, and meeting notes may be found on the [EMC's webpage](#) in the dropdown for  
130 the corresponding month below the Meeting Materials heading. Upon review and discussion at the  
131 August public meeting, the committee voted to recommend funding for two proposals, EMC-2024-  
132 001 and EMC-2024-004, with a request to the PIs to reduce their budgets in Year One to  
133 accommodate the State's reduced research funding allocation to the EMC. The Board approved the

- 134 recommended funding at its September meeting. The funded projects proposed research to test  
 135 the following CMQs (bold questions were prioritized in the 2024/25 RFP [EMC 2024c]):
- 136 ○ [EMC-2024-001: Balancing fuel considerations and rare carnivore habitat: an evaluation of risk  
 137 and reward \(Option 1\)](#):<sup>4</sup>
    - 138 ▪ Theme 6 Wildfire Hazard – Are the FPRs and associated regulations effective in (a) treating  
 139 post-harvest slash and slash piles to modify fire behavior?; (b) treating post-harvest slash  
 140 and retaining wildlife habitat structures, including snags and large woody debris?; **(c)**  
 141 **managing fuel loads, vegetation patterns, and fuel breaks for fire hazard reduction?**; and  
 142 **(d) managing forest structure and stocking standards to promote wildfire resilience?**;
    - 143 ▪ Theme 9 Wildlife Habitat: Cumulative Impacts – Are the FPRs and associated regulations  
 144 effective in (a) protecting wildlife habitat and associated ecological processes?; and (b)  
 145 avoiding significant adverse impacts to wildlife species?; and,
    - 146 ▪ Theme 10 Wildlife Habitat: Structures - Are the FPRs and associated regulations effective in  
 147 retaining (a) a mix of stages of snag development that maintain properly functioning levels  
 148 of wildlife habitat?
  - 149 ○ [EMC-2024-004: Establishing a Survey Protocol for Marbled Murrelet Using Passive Acoustic  
 150 Technology \(Phase 1\)](#):<sup>5</sup>
    - 151 ▪ Theme 7 Wildlife Habitat: Species and Nest Sites - Are the FPRs and associated regulations  
 152 effective in protection of nest sites (a) following general protection measures in 14  
 153 California Code of Regulations (CCR) § 919.2 [939.2, 959.2](b)?; and (b) following species  
 154 specific habitat and disturbance measures in 14 CCR § 919.3 [939.3, 959.3]?; and,
    - 155 ▪ Theme 9 Wildlife Habitat: Cumulative Impacts – Are the FPRs and associated regulations  
 156 effective in (a) protecting wildlife habitat and associated ecological processes?; (b) avoiding  
 157 significant adverse impacts to wildlife species?; and (c) protecting rare, threatened, or  
 158 endangered plants?
      - 159 ➤ Note that Question 9c had not been explicitly investigated in any EMC supported  
 160 research projects prior to 2024, so this is the first project proposing to address this  
 161 CMQ (see the [EMC Research Projects, Research Themes, and Critical Monitoring  
 162 Questions Matrix](#) [EMC 2024e]).
- 163 Board staff began working with the project PIs to obtain required documentation to develop  
 164 grant agreements on through the grants program in September 2024. The grant agreement for  
 165 EMC-2024-004 was signed and finalized on December 23, while additional budget details were  
 166 still being configured for project EMC-2024-001 at the end of 2024. Project PIs may begin work  
 167 on their projects as soon as grant agreements are signed.
- 168 **4. Monitor progress on EMC-funded or EMC-supported monitoring projects and share relevant  
 169 publications.**
- 170 • The EMC continued to utilize a new framework for processing completed EMC-funded projects—  
 171 established and utilized for the first time in 2021—to better facilitate EMC reporting to the Board.

<sup>4</sup> [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/uvof51er/11g-emc-2024-001-moriarty-full-proposal\\_redacted.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/uvof51er/11g-emc-2024-001-moriarty-full-proposal_redacted.pdf)

<sup>5</sup> [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1jtnfkky/11i-emc-2024-004-dotters-full-proposal\\_redacted.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1jtnfkky/11i-emc-2024-004-dotters-full-proposal_redacted.pdf)

- 172 This “Completed Research Assessment” (CRA; previously known as “Science to Policy Framework”)  
173 ([EMC 2021](#)) provides a step-by-step approach to guide EMC members in verifying scientific integrity  
174 and validity of the research, and interprets the results of the scientific research as to the  
175 implications for management and policy. Two EMC members volunteer to work with the PIs of each  
176 project to complete this document, which is then presented to the EMC and amended as necessary  
177 prior to presentation to the Board. This provides an easily understood narrative and synthesis for  
178 Board members to give context to study results and inform policy changes, if justified.
- 179 • Additional staff support was secured in 2023 via the Water Boards to assist with tracking EMC  
180 projects, taking notes during EMC meetings, and coordinating with Project Liaisons and PIs. In  
181 general, Water Boards staff have taken the notes during EMC meetings when they are able to  
182 attend and contribute to interactions with project PIs to ensure deliverables are received in a timely  
183 manner.
  - 184 • **Project deliverables** were submitted to the EMC in 2024 for the following projects (in addition to  
185 regular progress reports at EMC meetings):
    - 186 ○ EMC-2016-002: Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality  
187 on Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest – a previously unsubmitted peer-reviewed  
188 article, “Compaction and cover effects on runoff and erosion in post-fire salvage logged areas in  
189 the Valley Fire, California” was published in the journal *Wildfire and Hydrological Processes*  
190 ([Prats et al. 2020](#)) and submitted to the EMC in 2024.
    - 191 ○ EMC-2017-006: Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada – several  
192 tours and presentations were reported in 2024:
      - 193 ▪ Previously unreported presentation to the Forest Practice Committee (a Board standing  
194 committee) in March 2023
      - 195 ▪ Field tours were conducted with:
        - 196 ➤ Lake Tahoe Community College in February 2024
        - 197 ➤ Fire Forward in April 2024
        - 198 ➤ Future Fire Resource Professionals in April 2024
        - 199 ➤ Watershed Education Foundation in July 2023 and July 2024
        - 200 ➤ media outlet British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) in May 2024
        - 201 ➤ Forestry Institute for Teachers in June 2024
      - 202 ▪ In May 2024, a presentation was provided to the Forest Landowners of California in ([York](#)  
203 [2024a](#); also associated with EMC-2022-004)
    - 204 ○ EMC-2017-007: The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management – a final project  
205 report, “The Life Cycle of Standing Dead Trees: Implications for Forest Management in the  
206 Sierra Nevada” was submitted in 2023 and approved in 2024 ([Battles 2023](#))
    - 207 ○ EMC-2017-008: Forest Practice Rules to Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Diseases – a Western  
208 International Forest Disease Work Conference presentation given in June 2023 ([Cobb 2023](#))  
209 was submitted in 2024
    - 210 ○ EMC-2018-003: Alternative Meadow Restoration – several deliverables were received in 2024:
      - 211 ▪ Draft Completed Research Assessment ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024a](#))
      - 212 ▪ Final Completed Research Assessment ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024b](#))
      - 213 ▪ Master of Science in Environmental Sciences and Management thesis ([Ramirez 2024](#))
      - 214 ▪ Peer-reviewed publication, “Estimating and Modeling *Pinus contorta* Transpiration in a  
215 Montane Meadow Using Sap-Flow Measurements”, was published in the journal *Forests*

- 216 [\(Marks et al. 2024\)](#)
- 217 ○ EMC-2018-006: Effect of FPRS on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, & Primary  
218 Productivity – a final project presentation ([Bladon et al. 2024](#))
- 219 ○ EMC-2019-003: Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada – two a peer-  
220 reviewed publications were submitted in 2024:
- 221 ▪ “A multi-scale assessment of forest treatment impacts on evapotranspiration and water  
222 yield in the Sierra Nevada” in the journal *Ecohydrology* ([Boden et al. 2023](#))
- 223 ▪ “Water yield response to forest treatment patterns in a Sierra Nevada watershed” in the  
224 *Journal of Hydrology* ([Smith et al. 2024](#))
- 225 ○ EMC-2021-003: Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in  
226 Managed Conifer Forests – eight items were submitted in 2024:
- 227 ▪ “Comparing passive trapping methods for sampling forest pollinators”, a presentation at  
228 the FORTE Academic Onboarding for New-to-OSU Students, Oregon State University,  
229 Corvallis in September ([Anderson et al. 2024](#))
- 230 ▪ “Evaluating native bee community response to fuel reduction treatments in managed  
231 timber forests”, a presentation given at the Oregon State University Environmental Club in  
232 March ([Sampognaro et al. 2024a](#)), the Western Forest Graduate Research Symposium in  
233 April ([Sampognaro et al. 2024b](#)), the Lassen Field Station Research Symposium in June  
234 ([Sampognaro et al. 2024c](#)), the Oregon State University Spring Poster Symposium  
235 ([Gutierrez and Sampognaro 2024](#)), and to a forestry group at Collins Timber ([Rivers 2024a](#))
- 236 ▪ A field tour was conducted for CAL FIRE in July 2024 ([Rivers and Sampognaro 2024](#))
- 237 ▪ A project status presentation was given to the EMC in November 2024 ([Rivers 2024b](#))
- 238 ▪ Invited Talk ([Rivers 2024a](#))
- 239 ▪ Rivers and Sampognaro CalFire Bee Project Handout ([Rivers and Sampognaro 2024](#))
- 240 ○ EMC-2022-003: Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study – a progress report  
241 presentation was provided to the EMC in November 2024 ([Hamey 2024](#))
- 242 ○ EMC-2022-004: Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake  
243 Protection Zones (WLPZ) and riparian areas of California – several deliverables were received in  
244 2024, including previously unsubmitted items from 2022 and 2023, and Board staff seek to  
245 obtain additional deliverables from other presentations or products reported as completed in  
246 2024 and those unsubmitted items from previous years:
- 247 ▪ Presentations were provided to:
- 248 ➤ Forestry Forum in March 2022; the presentation file was shared with the EMC in 2024  
249 ([York 2022](#))
- 250 ➤ Marin Wildfire Authority in 2023
- 251 ➤ California Licensed Forester’s Association (CLFA) conference in March 2023 ([Tompkins](#)  
252 [2023](#))
- 253 ➤ Forest Landowners of California in May 2024 ([York 2024a](#))
- 254 ➤ Forest Landowners of California ([York 2024a](#); also associated with EMC-2017-006)
- 255 ➤ Master of Forestry student Connie Ryan gave a presentation in 2024 as part of the  
256 Master of Forestry finishing series
- 257 ▪ Written products included:

- 258                   ➤ A research brief, “Evaluating the Development and Application of Stand Density Index  
259                   for the Management of Complex and Adaptive Forests” was published in February  
260                   2024 in *Current Forestry Reports* ([Chivhenge et al. 2024](#))  
261                   ➤ Progress report in June 2024 ([York 2024b](#))
- 262                   ○ EMC-2022-005: Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods – two  
263                   products were received in 2024:  
264                   ▪ Annual Progress Report ([Norville 2024](#))  
265                   ▪ Progress Report Presentation ([Norville and Jones 2024](#))
- 266                   ○ EMC-2023-002: Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake  
267                   Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California – four items were received for 2024:  
268                   ▪ A progress report presentation was provided to the EMC in November 2024 ([Miley 2024](#))  
269                   ▪ A project summary detailed the work conducted in 2024 ([Saah and Moghaddas 2025](#))  
270                   ▪ Project map: [Calfire EMC Riparian Fire](#)<sup>6</sup>  
271                   ▪ Draft statewide WLPZ burn analysis dashboard (see [PC537 Hydro Lines vs Fires](#)<sup>7</sup>)
- 272                   ● To facilitate dissemination of EMC-relevant research, the EMC coordinates with CAL FIRE to post  
273                   and share selected publications and information relevant to the EMC’s efforts.
- 274                   ○ The EMC shared the [Forest Practice Rules Implementation and Effectiveness Monitoring](#)  
275                   [\(FORPRIEM\) Program 2008-2013 Monitoring Results](#) (Brandow and Cafferata 2014). This  
276                   report was previously removed from hosting on CAL FIRE’s website due to a lack of ADA  
277                   compliance, but Board staff converted the document to compliant, and the EMC is now hosting  
278                   this paper on its website. The California Forest Practice Act and Rules (Title 14, California Code  
279                   of Regulations) are designed in large part to protect water quality and aquatic habitat in non-  
280                   federal forested watersheds during and after silvicultural activities. The critical questions are  
281                   (1) what are the rates of proper implementation of the water quality-related Forest Practice  
282                   Rules (FPRs)?; and, (2) when properly implemented, how effective are they in protecting water  
283                   quality?. Forest Practice Rules Implementation and Effectiveness Monitoring (FORPRIEM)  
284                   addressed these two questions using forensic monitoring data collected on a random sample of  
285                   harvesting plans and sites within those plans. Overall, the study found that the rate of  
286                   compliance with FPRs designed to protect water quality and aquatic habitat is generally high,  
287                   and that they are effective in preventing erosion, sedimentation, and sediment transport to  
288                   channels when properly implemented.
- 289                   ○ Member Coe noted that the Board approved the Forest Fire Prevention Monitoring Report at  
290                   the January meeting; the draft has now moved to agency for further review. This extensive  
291                   report looks at outcomes following implementation of forest fire prevention exemptions, and  
292                   recommendations do call for the potential need for statutory change.
- 293                   **5. Review and update EMC Research Themes and CMQs as needed.**
- 294                   ● One new CMQ was added to Research Theme 9, Wildlife Habitat - Cumulative Impacts, along with  
295                   few minor, non-substantive changes made for clarification (see all revisions in the DRAFT Research  
296                   Themes and CMQs 2024, [EMC 2024h](#)).

---

<sup>6</sup> <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/experiencebuilder/experience/?id=16d2e70373fa40a2b007b42b48147b37&page=Plumas-County>

<sup>7</sup> <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/dashboards/75b9d9d44911440c871c61ba011cbab6>

- 297 • The Research Themes and CMQs were revised by the EMC and approved in January 2024 for the  
298 2024/25 RFP ([EMC 2024c](#)). The final version of the Research Themes and CMQs for 2024 were  
299 approved by the Board in March, posted on the EMC and Board webpages, and disseminated to  
300 various listservs ([EMC 2024g](#)).
- 301 **6. Identify up to five themes/CMQs for priority research funding in the 2024/25 RFP.**  
302 Four CMQs were prioritized for funding in the 2024/25, but not to the exclusion of projects focusing on  
303 the remaining CMQs or other research needs related to the FPRs and associated regulations (see the  
304 2024/25 RFP, [EMC 2024c](#)).
- 305 **7. Use an Adaptive Management approach to provide research results that inform management and  
306 policy development.**  
307 Findings from EMC-2018-003 were presented to the EMC in a draft CRA ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg  
308 2024a](#)). After EMC input, the CRA was revised and approved by the EMC at a subsequent meeting for  
309 transmission to the Board. The Board approved the final version on December 11, 2025 ([O'Connor and  
310 Love-Anderegg 2024b](#)) Results from this research will be presented to the Management Committee in  
311 early 2025.
- 312 **8. Revisit the EMC's Charter to assess need for changes, and begin process of revision, if needed.**  
313 The EMC proposed revisions to the Charter, which was updated and approved by the Board at its  
314 November meeting ([EMC 2024a](#)). The updated Charter highlights the priorities of the EMC and the  
315 primary changes are as follows:
- 316 • Added a Values section to highlight the EMC's focus on adaptive management, sustainable  
317 solutions, and public transparency.
  - 318 • Clarified the EMC's priority to develop and disseminate information (e.g., literature reviews,  
319 internal analyses, publications, grey/white literature) relevant to the Forest Practice Rules and  
320 related regulations.
- 321 The revised Charter reflects the current needs and priorities of the EMC, the Board, stakeholders, and  
322 the public.
- 323 **9. Fill currently open and pending open EMC seats, as well as any seats for which terms expire in 2023,  
324 filling gaps in expertise and agency representation as needed.**  
325 Three new members were welcomed to the EMC in 2024, and three members were reappointed. The  
326 updated Membership Roster is available online at EMC Members and Term Expirations ([EMC 2024d](#)).  
327 See **Section II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF** for further details.

### 328 **2025 EMC Priorities**

329 In 2025, the  priorities are as follows:

- 330 **1. Meet at least four times per year in open meetings accessible to the public.**
- 331 **2. Meet in the field at least once to observe active or proposed monitoring projects.**
- 332 **3. Support projects related to the EMC Themes and CMQs, including funding new projects where  
333 knowledge gaps exist.**
- 334 **4. Monitor progress on EMC-funded or EMC-supported monitoring projects.**
- 335 **5. Review and update EMC Research Themes and CMQs as needed.**

- 336 **6. Identify themes/CMQs for priority research funding in the 2025/26 RFP.**
- 337 **7. Use an Adaptive Management approach to provide research results that inform management and**
- 338 **policy development.**
- 339 **8. Review EMC Guidance Documents and revise as needed, including the Strategic Plan.**
- 340 Update the EMC's Strategic Plan, which is to be updated on a bi-annual cycle (last updated in 2022) and
- 341 other guiding and reporting documents as needed (e.g., Project Liaison Guide, Completed Research
- 342 Assessment).
- 343 **9. Fill currently open and pending open EMC seats, as well as any seats for which terms expire in 2024,**
- 344 **filling gaps in expertise and agency representation as needed.**
- 345 Member Dr. Forsburg-Pardi's term expires in January 2025, and if she will not be continuing with the
- 346 EMC, Board staff will consult with the Board as to an appropriate replacement. Board staff will continue
- 347 to encourage EMC members and partnering agencies to fill one open seat on the Monitoring
- 348 Community and one agency representative for the USFWS, and to find an appropriate candidate to
- 349 backfill an agency representative for the USFS. See **Section II. EMC MEMBERSHIP AND STAFF** for more
- 350 details.

## 351 **V. EMC PROJECT UPDATES AND PRODUCTS**

352 The following project summaries provide more information on reported activities in 2024 (or prior years, if

353 previously unreported), including details on project deliverables provided in 2024 or that are anticipated in

354 future years.

### 355 ***EMC-2015-001 (8CA03650): Class II Large Watercourse Study: Multiscale investigation of perennial flow***

### 356 ***and thermal influence of headwater streams into fish bearing systems***

357 This project investigated the variability of relationships between drainage area, active channel width, and

358 perennial flow extent across the Anadromous Salmonid Protection (ASP) area (broad-scale study on flow

359 permanence and network connectivity); compared these relationships to the rule criteria for Class II-L

360 identification in terms of both drainage area and average active channel width (i.e., 14 CCR §916.9 [936.9,

361 956.9] (g)(1)(a)(1 and 2)); determined if those criteria were effective in identifying perennial Class II-L

362 watercourses in different lithologies, or if rule modifications are needed (broad-scale study on flow

363 permanence and network connectivity); and conducted a pilot study to investigate the downstream

364 propagation of water temperature from Class II-L systems in sites with contrasting lithology (longitudinal

365 stream temperature study).

366 A final project report and presentation to the EMC, Board, and Forest Practice Committee, as well as a final

367 CRA were provided in 2021, and a presentations was provided at a California Fire Science seminar in. Two

368 peer-reviewed publications were developed out of this research and accepted in 2021 and 2022. A rule

369 revision related to the ASP Rules resulted from this research in 2022, such that the rule language was

370 simplified for identification of Class II Large (II-L) watercourses (i.e., 14 CCR § 916.9 [936.9, 956.9] (g)(1)(A)(

371 2) was removed, as was the sunset language in 14 CCR § 916.9 [936.9, 956.9] (g)(1)(C)] which mandated an

372 assessment of the effectiveness of the various Class II-L identification methods). No additional products are

373 anticipated, and the project is complete and closed.

374

375 ***EMC-2016-002: Post-fire Effectiveness of the Forest Practice Rules in Protecting Water Quality on Boggs***  
376 ***Mountain Demonstration State Forest***

377 This study measured the effects of post-fire salvage logging and post-salvage site preparation techniques on  
378 runoff, erosion, carbon flux rates, and vegetative recovery on the Boggs Mountain Demonstration State  
379 Forest (BMDSF), which burned in the 2015 Valley Fire. This project also planned to develop and  
380 demonstrate alternative Best Management Practices (BMPs) for post-fire operations. The results may have  
381 implications for the FPRs (14 CCR § 913 (933, 953), 14 CCR § 914.2 [934.2, 954.2](a), 14 CCR § 915[935,  
382 955]). This research was funded from sources outside the EMC, but was otherwise supported by the EMC.

383 Two Masters of Science theses were developed out of this research in 2016 and 2020; two peer-reviewed  
384 publications were accepted in 2019 and 2020; a poster was presented at the American Geophysical Union  
385 2020 fall meeting; and a presentation was provided at a CA Fire Science seminar in 2021. To date, three  
386 peer-reviewed manuscripts have been accepted centered around hillslope plot scale erosional response to  
387 various salvage logging treatments, a series of field and lab-based rainfall simulation studies that isolate the  
388 causal mechanisms for post-fire, and post-salvage erosional response (previously submitted publications  
389 were received in accepted in 2019 and 2020; one additional article was previously published and received in  
390 2024: [Prats et al. 2020](#)). In 2023, a guidance document was published as California Forestry Report #7:  
391 Mitigating potential sediment delivery from post-fire salvage logging. The project is completed, but at least  
392 three additional manuscripts will be submitted: 1) catchment scale runoff and sediment delivery across a  
393 range of soil burn severities; 2) long term recovery of salvage logged hillslope plots across a range of  
394 management treatments; and 3) runoff simulations detailing the effectiveness of skid trail BMPs on  
395 sediment delivery.

396 ***EMC-2016-003 (8CA03680): Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to***  
397 ***Detect Landslides)***

398 This project compares two LiDAR acquisitions that bracket stressing event(s) (i.e., storm events that trigger  
399 mass wasting events) to determine the activity and characteristics of mass wasting features (e.g., unstable  
400 areas and unstable soils). This study was designed to test the effectiveness of repeat surveys in assessing  
401 landslide movement in harvested and unharvested forests as a proof-of-concept that repeated surveys  
402 could be used following large storm events rather than requiring on-the-ground assessments and aerial  
403 photographs. The research relates to the FPRs and related regulations (14 CCR § 916 [936, 956]), (14 CCR §  
404 916.9 [936.9, 956.9](v)), (14 CCR § 913 [933, 953]), (14 CCR § 914 [934, 954]), (14 CCR § 923 [943, 953]), (14  
405 CCR § 923 [943, 953]), s (14 CCR § 923 [943, 953]), [14 CCR § 916 (936, 956), 14 CCR § 916.4 (936.4, 956.4)  
406 (b)].

407 A project status update and presentation at the Geological Society of America meeting occurred in 2022.  
408 Member Short reported at the July 2024 EMC meeting that the final analysis and report were pending  
409 completion and expected at the end of 2024; however, illness prevented completion of these products.  
410 Thus, the final project report, presentation, and CRA are expected in 2025.

411 ***EMC-2017-001 (8CA03685): Effects of Forest Stand Density Reduction on Nutrient Cycling and Nutrient***  
412 ***Transport at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watershed***

413 This research examined how forest harvesting affects ecohydrological and biogeochemical processes and  
414 nutrient cycling within the South Fork of Caspar Creek. This work complements several other sub-studies in  
415 the South Fork. The response of biogeochemical processes to disturbance may also provide useful

416 information when evaluating the impacts of emerging land uses. This project relates to the FPRs and  
417 regulations (14 CCR §§ 916.9 (936.9, 956.9)(a)(7)(b); [TRA#2](#)).

418 A detailed study plan and report on sampling methods were provided in 2017, and two progress reports  
419 were submitted in 2018. A comprehensive final project report and presentation were received in 2021 and  
420 2022, respectively, but these deliverables do not represent the full suite of products that are likely to come  
421 out of these experiments. Additional analyses are needed before a CRA can be produced on this project.  
422 Additional publications are expected, although these will likely take at least an additional year to produce.  
423 Lance Leigh of the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board was assigned to work with Member  
424 Coe on drafting the CRA for this project.

425 ***EMC-2017-002: Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird***  
426 ***Recorders Study***

427 This study examines how fire and salvage harvesting affect bird presence and diversity in the post-fire  
428 setting of BMDSF. The objectives of this study include establishing the baseline presence and diversity of  
429 bird species in a post-fire setting, comparing the occupancy of different habitats by bird species, and  
430 comparing occupancy results to the larger statewide data being collected by the Department of Fish &  
431 Wildlife (CDFW). This work is associated with 14 CCR § 1052. This research was funded from sources outside  
432 the EMC but was otherwise supported by the EMC.

433 A progress report presentation and tour of the study site were given in 2023. At the July 2025 EMC meeting,  
434 Member Coe reported that PI Stacy Stanish was working to leverage habitat data but was waiting on the  
435 Fire and Resource Assessment Program (FRAP) to complete its assessment and the timeline is still to be  
436 determined. Other presentations and/or posters have been made at conferences or other events in prior  
437 years, but the EMC does not have copies of all deliverables for this project, although Board staff continue to  
438 work on obtaining those documents. A final project presentation, report, and CRA are expected in 2025 or  
439 2026.

440 ***EMC-2017-006 (9CA04020): Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada***

441 This research aims to better understand the ecological role that fire plays in sustaining Sierra Nevada Forest  
442 structure and composition, including interactions of forest management and fire behavior on private lands  
443 (14 CCR 937.2, 957.2 and 14 CCR 1038(c)). The objective of this project was to establish a network of  
444 locations that will be maintained as long-term study sites, periodically providing information relevant to  
445 policy and management for decades.

446 A presentation to the CLFA was given in 2019, and a white paper on partial harvest in WLPZs was also  
447 developed. An EMC field tour was conducted in 2020, and a blog post for UCANR was developed for Forest  
448 and Research Outreach in 2021. A variety of deliverables were completed in 2023, including a final project  
449 presentation provided to the EMC and a field tour hosted for the EMC. A variety of tours and presentations  
450 at other venues were reported to the EMC in 2024, although the EMC has not received files for these  
451 events, and will continue to seek associated deliverables: a presentation was given to the Forest Practice  
452 Committee (a Board standing committee) in March 2023; field tours were conducted with the Lake Tahoe  
453 Community College in February 2024, Fire Forward in April 2024, Future Fire Resource Professionals in April  
454 2024, the Watershed Education Foundation in July 2023 (and in July 2024 as well), media outlet British  
455 Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) in May 2024, and Forestry Institute for Teachers in June 2024. In May 2024,  
456 a presentation was provided to the Forest Landowners of California in ([York 2024a](#)); this presentation is also

457 associated with EMC-2022-004. A final project report was anticipated in 2024 but was not received.  
458 Members Nannizzi and Dr. O'Connor will develop a CRA in 2025. A peer-reviewed publication is also  
459 anticipated on this project.

460 ***EMC-2017-007 (9CA04019): The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management***

461 The goal of this project was to quantify the life cycle of standing dead trees (snags) to inform forest  
462 management and policy development. This study is associated with 14 CCR § 1038(k)(5), [916.4, 936.4,  
463 956.4 Watercourse and Lake Protection (b).g.6], and s [14 CCR § 919.1 (939.1, 959.1)]. The objectives of this  
464 project include:

- 465 1. Extend the record in Compartment 160 to 2018 by repeating the snag inventory and evaluation.
- 466 2. Establish a new monitoring protocol that tracks cohorts of new snags on an annual basis to quantify  
467 development of cavities and other important habitat elements.
- 468 3. Establish a long-term study of downed woody decay rates.

469 The final project presentation and report was received in 2023 and approved for publication in 2024  
470 ([Battles 2023](#)). All project deliverables have been received, and Members Dr. Jones and Dr. Anderegg will  
471 develop a CRA in 2025.

472 ***EMC-2017-008 (9CA04087): Forest Practice Rules to Minimize Fir Mortality from Root Diseases***

473 This project sought to evaluate several sections of the FPRs for their effectiveness in controlling fuels  
474 accumulation in the face of devastating bark beetle outbreaks in true fir stands. The combined results might  
475 be used in an evaluation 14 CCR § 1038 (b). The study may also provide insight into application of 14 CCR §  
476 917.9/937.9/957.9(b) and 14 CCR § 913.3/933.3/953.3(b)), to evaluate the need for rule modifications in  
477 light of treatment efficacy and the magnitude of the current bark beetle outbreak. Two peer-reviewed  
478 publications were produced from this research in 2021 and 2023; a final presentation was provided to the  
479 EMC and a CRA was finalized in 2022; and a poster was presented at the Western International Forest  
480 Disease Work Conference in 2023 and submitted to the EMC in 2024 ([Cobb 2023](#)). While the EMC  
481 ultimately determined that the results of this research did not directly address specific targets or  
482 prescriptions in the FPRs, this work addressed an important disease affecting commercial timber species  
483 and identified important practices that can aid the timber industry in maintaining susceptible stands. All  
484 project deliverables have been received, and three additional peer-reviewed publications are anticipated in  
485 2025.

486 ***EMC-2017-012: Assessment of Night-Flying Forest Pest Predator Communities on Demonstration State  
487 Forests – with Monitoring across Seral Stages and Silvicultural Prescriptions***

488 This study explores bat communities in 50+ year old forest stands and asks, “Are the FPRs effective in  
489 promoting habitats suitable for bat survival?” which is related to the following regulations: 14 CCR § 897, 14  
490 CCR § 912.9 (932.9, 952.9), 14 CCR § 913.4 (939.4, 959.4), and 14 CCR § 919 (939, 959). The research relates  
491 to EMC Research Theme 7 (Wildlife Habitat: Species and Nest Sites), Theme 8 (Wildlife Habitat: Seral  
492 Stages), and Theme 10 (Wildlife Habitat: Structures). This research was funded from sources outside the  
493 EMC but was otherwise supported by the EMC.

494 Sampling for this project has occurred at Jackson Demonstration State Forest (DSF), Mountain Home DSF,  
495 Sequel DSF, and Latour DSF in the summers of 2019, 2022, 2023, and 2024, respectively.

496 Several deliverables have been provided over the years: a progress report to the EMC in 2022; a poster at  
497 the Northeastern Bat Working Group in 2022; a poster at the North American Symposium on Bat Research  
498 in 2023; a peer-reviewed publication in 2023; and a dataset in 2023. The final project report and  
499 presentation to the EMC will provide analyses of bat species' presence relative to silvicultural history and  
500 local/landscape level habitat measurements with discussion of aggregate results from all four DSFs.  
501 Individual reports and presentations detailing each of the JDSF and MHDSF field seasons will be completed  
502 in 2025, with reports and presentations detailing each of the SDSF and LDSF field seasons to be completed  
503 in 2026. Members Waitman and Nannizzi will develop the CRA once the final presentation and report have  
504 been provided to the EMC.

505 ***EMC-2018-003 (9CA04452): Alternative Meadow Restoration***

506 This study evaluates the application of CCR § 933.4[e] for removal of encroached conifer trees in a  
507 northern Sierra meadow. A final project report and presentation were received for this project in 2023.  
508 A Master of Science in Environmental Sciences and Management thesis was produced for Rock Creek  
509 Meadow and included one additional year of data collection beyond the EMC-funded project ([Ramirez  
2024](#)). Final findings for this research were presented to the EMC in a draft CRA ([O'Connor and Love-  
Anderegg 2024a](#)) at the November 2024 EMC meeting. After EMC input, the CRA was revised and approved  
512 by the EMC at a subsequent meeting for transmission to the Board ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024b](#));  
513 the Board approved the final version on December 11, 2024. A peer-reviewed publication, "Estimating and  
514 Modeling *Pinus contorta* Transpiration in a Montane Meadow Using Sap-Flow Measurements", was  
515 published in the journal *Forests* ([Marks et al. 2024](#)). Results from this research will be presented to the  
516 Management Committee in 2025, although it is not anticipated that any rule change(s) would be proposed.  
517 Additional publications expected include a peer-reviewed journal article on the 10 years of data collected at  
518 all the research meadows for the journal *Forest Ecology and Management*, and an article on the vegetation  
519 response of Rock Creek Meadow following lodgepole pine removal will be submitted to the journal  
520 *Restoration Ecology*.

521 ***EMC-2018-006 (9CA04453): Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water  
522 Temperature, & Primary Productivity***

523 This project seeks to tackle key factors regarding the effectiveness of protecting key quality elements in the  
524 WLPZ, and in particular, aims to answer how current ASP FPRs and pre-ASP requirements for Class II  
525 watercourses influence canopy closure, solar radiation, stream temperature, and streamflow. The PIs  
526 investigated the importance of different drivers in influencing variability around stream temperature  
527 dynamics and primary productivity across different Class II riparian prescriptions.

528 A variety of deliverables have been produced from this research: a progress report presentation was given  
529 to the EMC in 2021; three theses were developed, including one in 2021 and two in 2022; two peer-  
530 reviewed articles were published in 2022 and 2023; and a final project report was provided in 2023. Project  
531 updates were given at the January and November 2024 EMC meetings. Dr. Kevin Bladon, Associate  
532 Professor of Forest Ecohydrology and Watershed Science at Oregon State University, provided a final  
533 project presentation, "Effectiveness of Class II Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone (WLPZ) FPRs and  
534 Aquatic Habitat Conservation Plan (AHCP) Riparian Prescriptions at Maintaining or Restoring Canopy  
535 Closure, Stream Water Temperature, Primary Productivity, and Terrestrial Habitat", to the EMC at the July  
536 2024 EMC meeting ([Bladon et al. 2024](#)).

537 Multiple riparian prescriptions (i.e., treatments) were compared in the 100 feet outside watercourses,  
538 including 6 reference sites and 4 in each of three different treatments, and monitoring occurred pre- and  
539 post-harvest across 18 watersheds from north of McKinleyville, grouped into northern and southern areas.  
540 Treatments were classified as follows:

- 541 1) **REF** (Reference) – unharvested untreated, 6 reference sites;
- 542 2) **ASP** – Current CA rules for Class II watercourses in ASP Zones (e.g., no harvest allowed within 30  
543 inner feet (Inner Core Zone), 80% overstory canopy retention in the next 70 ft (outer portion of the  
544 100 ft riparian buffer);
- 545 3) **GRDC AHCP** – Green Diamond customized riparian prescription, which is a modification of the  
546 above treatment = GDRC AHCP (85% overstory in inner 30 feet, and 70% in next 70 ft); and,
- 547 4) **PRE** (Pre-ASP) – for the old rules prior to the ASP rules being in effect (50% overstory within 100 ft).

548 Slopes were relatively comparable across the different watersheds, as were vegetation type (i.e., second  
549 generation, ready for harvest), slope, and aspect. There was a little more variability in the catchment area  
550 harvested and elevation. Precipitation was a factor in this study, as there were some very dry years. All  
551 periods (pre, post-harvest Year 1, and post-harvest Year 2) were drier than normal, and some streams even  
552 went dry during the study. Substantial intra-annual variability in timing of precipitation was accounted for in  
553 the statistical analysis.

554 Key data were collected in six fixed plots within each 18 of the watershed sites (108 plots, monitored a total  
555 of ~ 1800 trees), and data collected included tree species, diameter, basal area, canopy class, mortality  
556 agents of decay class, and photos for canopy closure. Pre-harvest data were collected from 2019–2020, and  
557 post-harvest data were collected in 2021–2022. For canopy, the researchers utilized hemispherical  
558 photography to determine canopy closure and effective shade. To determine stage and discharge, they  
559 instrumented pressure transducers at outlets to measure stage of the water (elevation of the water) every  
560 15 min over the course of the study and used salt dilution gauging to develop curves for each stream.  
561 Twelve stream temperature sensors were placed longitudinally along the ~1000 feet of each stream, with 4  
562 co-located air temperature sensors, for a total of 288 sensors.

563 Results were as follows:

- 564 • **Basal Area** – While the PIs assumed basal area would decrease in the ASP and HCP sites, there was  
565 actually a slight increase, although there was no statistical difference across the four different  
566 treatments. This could be due to a variety of introduced factors (e.g., users, monitoring groups), but  
567 it could also be partially due to inherent variability. The biggest decline was in the Pre-ASP group,  
568 which was expected, and the target was reached in terms of basal area reduction.
- 569 • **Overstory** – in the pre-harvest period sites were very comparable, and in post-harvest, there was  
570 little difference in effective shade in the REF and ASP and HCP sites; however, there was a large  
571 decline in overstory in the PRE (older prescription) sites.
- 572 • **Stage and Discharge** – volumetric flow can have impacts on stream temperature, and capturing  
573 very high flow events in rating curves is a challenge, so there is less confidence at those data. The  
574 PIs built a picture of continuous volumetric flow over the course of the study.
- 575 • **Daily Streamflow** – the PIs were more confident in summer data when high flow events were not a  
576 challenge. However, the summer harvest area was below where the stream was instrumented, so  
577 was accounted for in the analyses. Daily streamflow in the summer generally followed  
578 precipitation, and post-harvest streamflow was higher, as was expected.

- 579 • **Change in Daily Streamflow** – statistically there were differences in the PRE, ASP, and the HCP  
580 streamflow post-harvest, but it was small (1–1.5 milliliters), and likely to have little impact on water  
581 quality and habitats.
- 582 • **Change in Diel Streamflow (max vs. min streamflow)** – there was a small change in diel  
583 streamflow.
- 584 • **Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR) and Dissolved Oxygen (DO)** – there was no statistical  
585 difference in the amount of radiation reaching the stream, except for the pre-ASP treatment. While  
586 only the pre-ASP doesn't appear to have a substantial change, there was significantly greater  
587 radiation reaching the stream compared to the other treatments. There was no significant  
588 difference for the DO treatments.
- 589 • **Stream and Air temperature:** the streams themselves seemed to buffer against additional radiation  
590 coming through in some treatments, and in general, the streams were well-buffered from changes  
591 in radiation, even in the pre-ASP site.
- 592 • **Chemical Water Quality** – very little differences in nitrogen and phosphorus related to treatment.  
593 Differences in nitrogen were primarily related to the different regions, and to watershed area  
594 harvested, catchment slopes, and alder cover.
- 595 • **Primary Productivity** – no significant differences pre- or post-harvest.

596 The PIs concluded that:

- 597 • The strongest change in riparian canopy characteristics was in pre-ASP sites, but no significant  
598 changes occurred in stream temperatures. Stream temperatures did not exceed anything that  
599 would indicate potential negative impacts to salmonids in these systems, even in the PRE sites.  
600 There was little evidence of downstream warming or cooling, or discrete locations of groundwater  
601 discharge.
- 602 • Increased streamflow during summer low flows appeared to be related to catchment area  
603 harvested.
- 604 • There was no evidence for significant impacts to nutrients or primary productivity.
- 605 • There was no significant evidence that riparian management prescription was a major driver of  
606 seasonal temperature responses.
- 607 • Thermal regimes were most strongly related to climatic variability and catchment topography  
608 related to regional differences.

609 Members Dr. Waitman and Nannizzi anticipate developing the CRA for this project in early 2025.

610 ***EMC-2019-002 (9CA04801): Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction***  
611 ***Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County, CA***

612 This study assessed the current maintenance needs for all projects funded, implemented, and or supported  
613 by the Plumas Fire Safe Council (FSC) to determine treatment longevity and maintenance needs. The goal of  
614 this work was to inform Plumas FSC on its treatment life cycle to ensure better planning for funding future  
615 treatment maintenance and substantiate that the FSC has and continues to utilize accurate scientific  
616 resources in their treatment design and long-term maintenance strategy. This study is related to 14 CCR §  
617 912.7 [932.7, 952.7]), 14 CCR § 961, 14 CCR § 913 [933, 953], 14 CCR § 917 [937, 957], 14 CCR § 1038, 14  
618 CCR § 1052, and 14 CCR § 1051.

619 A final project report was provided in 2021, and the final project presentation was provided to the EMC in  
620 2022. An initial CRA was developed in 2024, but this draft will not be completed until 2025, and an  
621 additional peer-reviewed publication is expected in 2025.

622 ***EMC-2019-003 (9CA04824): Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada***

623 In the context of the Sagehen experimental watershed in the Sierra Nevada, researchers in this project  
624 investigated how and to what spatial extent scale forest treatments impact annual runoff (i.e., water yield)  
625 and annual evapotranspiration. Several unexpected setbacks, including the pandemic, resulted in delays  
626 with completing the work, and a time extension was processed on April 25, 2022, allowing the PIs up to one  
627 additional year (to June 30, 2023) to develop the final deliverables.

628 A presentation was given at the American Geophysical Union in 2021, and a progress report presentation  
629 was provided to the EMC in 2022. Two peer-reviewed publications were submitted to the EMC in 2024: “A  
630 multi-scale assessment of forest treatment impacts on evapotranspiration and water yield in the Sierra  
631 Nevada” in the journal *EcoHydrology* ([Boden et al. 2023](#)), and “Water yield response to forest treatment  
632 patterns in a Sierra Nevada watershed” in the *Journal of Hydrology* ([Smith et al. 2024](#)). These publications  
633 serve as the final project deliverables, and Members Law and Dr. O'Conner will develop a CRA in 2025.  
634 Additional project deliverables expected include a downloadable integrated package including models and  
635 statistical framework for use by stakeholders, resource managers and decision-makers.

636 ***EMC-2019-005 (9CA04802): Sediment Monitoring and Fish Habitat – San Vicente Accelerated Wood***  
637 ***Recruitment***

638 This project was severely impacted at several points by wildfire, the COVID-19 pandemic, and other factors  
639 outside of the researchers' control. Two watersheds to be studied in Santa Cruz County burned in the CZU  
640 Lightning Complex and the Timber Harvest Plan (THP), a critical component of the research, could no longer  
641 be efficiently pursued. It was determined that the project could not be completed within the timeframe  
642 allowed by the contract, and approximately \$9000 was distributed for equipment, with the remaining funds  
643 reverting on June 30, 2022. The California Geological Survey (CGS) planned to continue work going forward  
644 with a modified research plan.

645 Additional work was conducted from 2022 through 2024. Data collected included study reach large wood  
646 inventories, cross-section surveys, thalweg profile surveys, pebble counts, and data collection from photo  
647 points, time-lapse game cameras, pressure transducers, and a rain gauge. The raw, unprocessed drone  
648 LiDAR and photogrammetry data collected in 2022 and received in Spring 2023 are undergoing processing  
649 and analysis. Preparations are in progress for the third annual monitoring event scheduled for 2025,  
650 including drone LiDAR data collection, with final results anticipated in 2026. A field tour showcasing results  
651 from the revised study is anticipated in 2025.

652 ***EMC-2021-003 (9CA05659): Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments***  
653 ***in Managed Conifer Forests***

654 This research aims to determine how wild bee communities respond to widespread fuel-reduction  
655 treatments in managed forests that are commonly implemented under current FPRs. Encompassing EMC  
656 Research Theme 6 (Wildfire Hazard) and Theme 9 (Wildlife Habitat: Cumulative Impacts) together, these  
657 themes cover a range of FPRs. This work combines these two themes to quantify and evaluate whether  
658 current FPRs and associated regulations for reducing fire risk that that arise from timber harvesting plans  
659 (14 California Code of Regulations [CCR] 2 § 1038, 1051.4, 1052.4), special prescriptions (14 CCR§ 913.4

660 [933.4, 953.4]), and hazard reductions (14 CCR§ 917 (937, 957) are effective in providing suitable protection  
661 practices for wildlife (14 CCR§ 919, 939, 959).

662 Principal Investigator Dr. James Rivers reported that lead graduate student Megan Sampognaro (Master of  
663 Science, College of Forestry, Oregon State University) completed the first field season in 2023. With over  
664 10,000 specimens collected, preliminary results indicate more bee diversity in shaded fuel breaks relative to  
665 untreated areas. Specimens are currently being processed and identified by project collaborators in Utah.  
666 The 2024 field season started in late May and bee phenology appeared to be lagging behind the previous  
667 year.

668 Six posters or presentations were provided in 2024: “Comparing passive trapping methods for sampling  
669 forest pollinators” at the FORTE Academic Onboarding for New-to-OSU Students, Oregon State University,  
670 Corvallis in September ([Anderson et al. 2024](#)); “Evaluating native bee community response to fuel reduction  
671 treatments in managed timber forests” at the Oregon State University Environmental Club in March  
672 ([Sampognaro et al. 2024a](#)), at the Western Forest Graduate Research Symposium in April ([Sampognaro et  
673 al. 2024b](#)), at the Lassen Field Station Research Symposium in June ([Sampognaro et al. 2024c](#)), at the  
674 Oregon State University Spring Poster Symposium ([Gutierrez and Sampognaro 2024](#)), and to a forestry  
675 group at Collins Timber ([Rivers 2024a](#)). A field tour was conducted for CAL FIRE in July 2024 ([Rivers and  
676 Sampognaro 2024](#)), and a project status presentation was given to the EMC in November 2024 ([Rivers  
677 2024b](#)).

678 The M.S. thesis defense for Megan Sampognaro is scheduled for July 2025, and she will also give a talk at  
679 the 2025 Entomological Society of America conference in Portland in November 2025 as part of a forest  
680 pollinator research symposium. Preliminary results and project deliverables are expected in 2025, including  
681 a master’s thesis and data products, submission of two manuscripts, final project report and presentation,  
682 and a draft CRA.

### 683 **EMC-2022-003 (9GA22700): Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study**

684 This project investigates how post-fire measurements correlate with the amount of defect in individual  
685 coast redwood trees and will seek to understand the relationships between fire damage and bole decay  
686 from fire indicators and post-fire effects on live redwood. The study addresses the CMQs for Research  
687 Theme 6 (Wildfire Hazard), and may influence the following standards: Minimum stocking standards (14  
688 CCR § 912.7 [932.7, 952.7]); Silvicultural methods and stocking requirements (14 CCR § 913.8); Silvicultural  
689 objectives and regeneration methods (14 CCR § 913 [933, 953]); Exemptions which facilitate removal of  
690 dead, dying or diseased trees (14 CCR § 1038); and Emergency notices which also facilitate removal of  
691 burned, dead, dying or diseased trees (14 CCR § 1052). The study will also address the CMQs for Theme 7  
692 (Wildlife habitat: species and nest sites) by helping land managers make informed decisions on tree  
693 selection during a salvage harvest, which affects wildlife habitat; and CMQs for Theme 8 (Wildlife habitat:  
694 seral stages), as it will assist foresters in determining tree health and potential longevity, which may allow  
695 for more trees to remain, thereby accelerating the return of late seral stage features. Finally, the study will  
696 also shed light on the CMQs for Theme 10 (Wildlife habitat: structures), as wildlife habitat is created in  
697 redwoods via repeated fires that introduce rot and burn out basal hollows. Therefore, this study has  
698 implications for timber production as well as management for overall forest health and wildlife habitat.  
699 Member Jonathan Meurer volunteered to act as the project liaison at the January 2024 EMC meeting.

700 Three written progress reports were provided to the EMC in 2023. A progress report presentation was  
701 provided at the November 14, 2024 EMC meeting ([Hamey 2024](#)). The study occurs in the footprint of the

702 CZU (Santa Cruz-San Mateo Unit) Lightning Complex Fire on the San Vicente Redwoods property and  
703 California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo's Swanton Pacific Ranch, including approximately  
704 160 trees in total. About 43% of the property burned with high severity. Field work conducted thus far  
705 includes post-fire effects data collection and cambium checks on target trees in both study areas and scaling  
706 plus sonic tomography to assess the structural integrity across a cross-section of each tree. Electrical  
707 impedance was also used to show the water content across the same cross-section of each tree.

708 Researchers asked the following questions:

- 709 • How much decay is present?
- 710 • Can we predict the level of defect caused by a fire by looking at post-fire effects of Coast  
711 redwood?
- 712 • Is sonic tomography and electrical impedance an accurate method to detect decay and water  
713 content when compared to the cross section of a Coast redwood?

714 Preliminary results showed that the majority of logs displayed discoloration of the sapwood. The large end  
715 of the first log (16' log lengths) had an average circumference decay of 34%, while average volume  
716 reduction was 21%. An inverse relationship was observed between Diameter at Breast Height and percent  
717 decay. Merchantable tops increased in size for acceptable material from approximately 6 inches to 9 inches.

718 Data collection is ongoing on burned redwood trees that will be cut and salvaged in summer 2025. The trees  
719 will be scaled in the project area before the merchantable logs are removed from the woods to capture the  
720 current condition of wood decay approximately 4.5 years post-fire. Post-fire effects will be analyzed to  
721 determine which indicators more accurately predict the introduction of decay in the tree's bole. Future  
722 work will include more measurements of post-fire effects and internal decay progression on trees that have  
723 not yet been cut.

724 A conference presentation is anticipated in spring 2025, along with an EMC field tour and at least two  
725 additional workshops showcasing the project in early 2025. A final project report and final presentation are  
726 expected in Spring 2026. Hamey Woods is working on a field guide that shows visible indicators of burn  
727 damage to help land managers make informed decisions on post-fire tree selection and acceptable levels of  
728 burn damage.

729 ***EMC-2022-004 (9GA22701): A Critical Evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation's Capacity to***  
730 ***Accommodate Forest Restoration and Resilience Targets***

731 The FPRs rely heavily on basal areas as the primary metric for retention requirements during any selection  
732 or thinning harvest (e.g., Title 14 CCR 913.2(a)(2)(A); CCR 913.3(a)(1)(A)). However, historic basal areas are  
733 often far below those currently recommended in the FPR's (Collins et al. 2015), which intend to maintain  
734 high levels of growth and yield for timber production (Title 14 CCR 913.11), and recent studies (Goodwin et  
735 al. 2020; Bernal et al. 2022) have suggested that stocking targets may still be too high given climatic stress.

736 This project investigates how current FPRs can facilitate or preclude meeting condition targets for forest  
737 restoration and resilience by compiling the range of historical forest stocking measures from the best  
738 available research for these ecosystems, compare this range to current FPRs for the dry mixed conifer  
739 forests in California, and explore the silvicultural methods to reach these restoration and resilience targets.  
740 The Shared Stewardship agreement between the State of California and the USDA Forest Service  
741 ([Agreement for Shared Stewardship of California's Forests and Rangelands 2020](#)) acknowledges the need  
742 to reduce forest density and sets forth a plan wherein forests regulated by the state would be restored to  
743 improve resilience. This project explores how certain FPR guidance for silvicultural techniques such as

744 shelterwood, group selection, and selection thinning may or may not facilitate resilience restoration targets;  
745 how contemporary FPR guidance may or may not be aligned with mid-century projections of forest  
746 sustainability and how past and present levels of stocking will compare with the coming future; and how  
747 post-fire measurements correlate with the amount of defect in individual coast redwood trees to elucidate  
748 the relationships between fire damage and bole decay from fire indicators and post-fire effects on live  
749 redwood.

750 Board staff seek to obtain additional deliverables from other presentations or products completed in 2023  
751 and 2024. Principal Investigator Dr. Rob York provided a presentation to the Forestry Forum in March 2022,  
752 and the presentation file was shared with the EMC in 2024 ([York 2022](#)); Ryan Tompkins gave a presentation  
753 at the California Licensed Forester’s Association (CLFA) conference in March 2023 ([Tompkins 2023](#)); and  
754 Brandon Collins gave a presentation to Marin Wildfire Authority in 2023 and Dr. York is seeking a copy of  
755 this deliverable. While the research plan had hoped to develop a demonstration site at Flatwoods in Shasta  
756 County, due to uncertainty in designating Flatwoods Research Forest as an experimental forestland, it is  
757 assumed that Baker Forest, a UC research property in Plumas County, will be used instead.

758 Field demonstrations of marking for tree vigor with Stand Density Index (SDI) occurred over the calendar  
759 year, with Dr. York leading this effort at Blodgett Forest; a research brief titled “Evaluating the Development  
760 and Application of Stand Density Index for the Management of Complex and Adaptive Forests “ was  
761 published in February 2024 in *Current Forestry Reports* ([Chivhenge et al. 2024](#)). In May 2024, a presentation  
762 was provided to the Forest Landowners of California in ([York 2024a](#)); this presentation is also associated  
763 with EMC-2017-006. A written progress report was provided in June 2024 ([York 2024b](#)). Master of Forestry  
764 student Connie Ryan gave a presentation in 2024 as part of the Master of Forestry finishing series, and while  
765 the EMC has not received that deliverable, Dr. York is seeking any associated files. The project is progressing  
766 as planned, with a final project report and presentation, yield impacts analysis, peer-reviewed publication,  
767 and CRAs anticipated in 2025.

768 ***EMC-2022-005 (9GA22702): Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods***

769 This research investigates the effectiveness of the current FPRs in mitigating the wildfire hazard and risks for  
770 “normal” fire scenarios (i.e., conditions in which an initial attack is more likely to be successful) or in which  
771 fuel treatments have a higher likelihood of being effective. To that end, this study focuses on at industrial  
772 timberland slash treatments (e.g., lop and scatter) along public roads, specifically targeting Title 14 CCR  
773 917.2 and Technical Addendum #2 – Cumulative Impacts, H. Wildfire risk and hazard (2-4) to determine if  
774 the rules are adequate to decrease fire behavior.

775 The project is progressing as planned, with a written status update provided to the EMC in June 2024  
776 ([Norville 2024](#)), and a progress report presentation given at the November EMC meeting ([Norville and  
777 Jones 2024](#)). A second season of treatments and data collection continued in 2024. A final project report  
778 and presentation, a potential field tour, and CRAs are anticipated in early 2026, with submission of  
779 publications expected to occur in fall 2026. Outreach and engagement efforts, including conference  
780 presentations, UCCE-hosted field tours, and creation of a factsheet, are anticipated to begin in spring 2026.

781 ***EMC-2023-002 (9GA23701): Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake  
782 Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California***

783 The project is conducting several assessments on past fire history, current fire hazard, and county level  
784 vegetation recovery for WLPZ areas of California. Fire history will include an assessment of total acres  
785 burned by severity for all fires back to 1984 using available vegetation burn severity data. Current fire

786 hazard (flame length and fire type) will be assessed using statewide fire hazard data updated for 2022.  
 787 Within Plumas County, the dominant vegetation cover of all WLPZ areas will be assessed using a time series  
 788 analysis to compare changes or no changes in forest, shrub, herbaceous, and barren cover types across all  
 789 land ownerships and burn severities. The project will answer the following questions:

- 790 • What is the extent of total acres burned and acres burned by severity class (low, moderate, high)  
 791 for all WLPZ areas in California since 1984?
- 792 • At the HUC 12 level, which individual watersheds have experienced the greatest percentage of high  
 793 severity fire since 1984?
- 794 • What is the current fire hazard in all WLPZ areas, including potential flame length and fire type  
 795 (surface fire, passive crown fire, active crown fire)?
- 796 • Within Plumas County, what are the trends in forest, shrub, grassland, and barren cover for all  
 797 WLPZ areas since 1984?
- 798 • How is current vegetation cover type influenced by past fire severity, pre-wildfire management  
 799 actions (fuels reduction), and post wildfire reforestation and recovery?

800 To date, project work has progressed as planned, and Nick Miley of Spatial Informatics Group provided a  
 801 progress report presentation was provided to the EMC in November 2024 ([Miley 2024](#)). A project summary  
 802 summarizes the work conducted in 2024 ([Saah and Moghaddas 2025](#)). The data used in this project are  
 803 neither centrally located, nor complete. For example, WLPZ TA83 hydrology data are split into separate  
 804 datasets for lakes and streams. Similarly, data gathering for THPs, HUC-12, land ownership, and burn  
 805 severity required aggregation and integration measures. Harvest exclusion buffers were added to TA83 data  
 806 with overlaps removed deferring to higher order WLPZ classification; similar actions were applied to  
 807 HUC/CDFW hydrology layers for statewide analysis. Data were clipped to the project area, mapped, and  
 808 evaluated for quality and accuracy.

809 The Post Fire Vegetation Monitoring Tool (PFVMT) was updated to ensure implementation of the most  
 810 recent version of the data for analysis. Using the PFVMT data, exploratory analysis of vegetation was  
 811 conducted within WLPZs in Plumas County. Methodology was refined and applied to five fires in Plumas  
 812 County (Storrie, Chips, Moonlight, North Complex, and Dixie Fires) and various timber harvest types (see  
 813 project map: [Calfire EMC Riparian Fire](#)<sup>8</sup>). Exploratory statistical analysis is ongoing, including spatially  
 814 continuous analysis in and around WLPZs in Plumas County for Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity (MTBS),  
 815 annual burn probability, and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). A statewide WLPZ burn  
 816 analysis dashboard is being developed county by county to explore fire statistics (e.g., acres burned,  
 817 severity) in WLPZs since 1970 (see [PC537 Hydro Lines vs Fires](#)<sup>9</sup>).

818 Early exploratory analyses suggest there may be detectable differences in fire outcomes (i.e., burn severity)  
 819 in WLPZs adjacent to timber harvest as compared to WLPZs that lack nearby timber harvest, though effect  
 820 sizes are relatively small and variable. Harvest type (e.g. even-aged vs not even-aged), WLPZ classification,  
 821 and fire size appear to be significant variables that correlate with burn severity outcomes within WLPZs. A  
 822 significant percentage of WLPZs have experienced wildfire in the last 50 years—the majority occurring in the

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/experiencebuilder/experience/?id=16d2e70373fa40a2b007b42b48147b37&page=Plumas-County>

<sup>9</sup> <https://gsal.sig-gis.com/portal/apps/dashboards/75b9d9d44911440c871c61ba011cbab6>

823 last 10 years. For example, in 2020, 435,000 acres of WLPZ burned, and 34% of those WLPZs affected by fire  
824 burned at high severity.

825 A key challenge has been signal detection given coarse remote sensing resolution (30 meters) and  
826 patchwork of harvests and harvest types within the study area, along with the narrow band of interest (no  
827 greater than 150 ft on either side) that demarcates a WLPZ. Solutions may include the use of spatially  
828 continuous analysis techniques moving from stream center/lake shore out or binning similar variables such  
829 as WLPZ classes (I and II, III and IV) and certain timber harvest types. Moreover, the complexity of variables  
830 contributing to wildfire spread and severity dilute signal detection of discrete variables such as timber  
831 harvest type, year of harvest completion, and WLPZ classification. Solutions may include binning similar  
832 variables to increase sample size or focusing on subtle differences in fire outcome. The lack of a  
833 comprehensive, statewide dataset for WLPZ classification is also a challenge. Solutions may include splitting  
834 the dataset by WLPZs that intersect timber harvest with those that do not to create a pseudo-control  
835 treatment.

836 Additional challenges have been encountered. The CAL FIRE TA83 dataset is not spatially aligned with the  
837 HUC/CDFW watershed datasets. A solution may be to run separate analyses on the two datasets that take  
838 advantage of the unique characteristics of each. Furthermore, when looking at individual timber harvest  
839 types, the acreage available for analysis varies significantly. The sample size is further limited by those  
840 timber harvests that intersect WLPZs. Solutions may include providing sample size (acreage) values for  
841 signal strength context or binning similar variables to increase sample size.

842 This project is beginning to shed light on how regulatory buffers—designed for water protection—may  
843 interact with wildfire outcomes in managed forests. In 2025, the PIs will complete statistical modeling of  
844 burn severity as a function of WLPZ presence, finish the burn and recovery vegetation analysis, finalize the  
845 statewide fire analysis dashboard, and draft a final report for the EMC summarizing findings and policy  
846 implications, including a Pre-harvest Fuels Summary Report. Additional work will assess whether specific  
847 FPR guidance (e.g., WLPZ buffer width, harvest restriction) aligns with observed fire effects.

848 ***EMC-2023-003 (9GA23700): Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Development and***  
849 ***Productivity***

850 In partnership with Humboldt and Mendocino Redwood Companies, Oregon State University are  
851 conducting a systematic pre-and post-harvest fuel loading study to understand how commonly applied  
852 forest management regimes combined with prescribed fuels reduction treatments affect fuels loading and  
853 associated wildfire hazard, tree regeneration, and site productivity/health. This study follows multiple  
854 replicate harvest units from pre-harvest measurements to determine how site vegetation has been affected  
855 by slash treatment and vegetation management conducted for wildfire hazard mitigation. Member Hostler  
856 was assigned to be the Project Liaison at the January EMC meeting, and Member Sal Chinnicci provided a  
857 status update at the July meeting, reporting that study sites were identified, and fieldwork would start the  
858 following week. Graduate student Julia Wine began work in June 2024 as a summer technician. Humboldt  
859 and Mendocino Redwood Companies assisted in site selection and field visit coordination for ten weeks of  
860 sampling during 2024. Pre-harvest data was collected on 40 sites and post-harvest data on 3 sites.  
861 Additional data will be collected and analyzed in 2025.

**862 VI. POTENTIAL EMC PROJECT IMPACTS TO REGULATIONS**

863 The EMC provides valuable insight to the Board on testing the effectiveness of the FPRs and associated  
864 regulations by way of science-based research projects. EMC-funded studies may show that regulatory  
865 modifications, either minor or major, need to occur to ensure the effectiveness of the FPRs (14 CCR § 895 et  
866 seq.). The EMC moved findings from EMC-2018-003 (Alternative Meadow Restoration) to the Board for  
867 consideration in 2024. This research project represents a partial validation of the current FPRs, particularly  
868 CFR § 933.4[e] regarding Meadows and Wet Areas restoration, but generally incremental progress in our  
869 understanding of how to balance meadow restoration ‘other goals of forest management’. The final CRA  
870 ([O'Connor and Love-Anderegg 2024b](#)) for this project will also be presented to the Management  
871 Committee in March 2025 to allow for discussion of potential impacts to regulations, and implications for  
872 potential rule changes, if any.

873 The EMC anticipates sharing additional findings for the following EMC-supported studies with the Board for  
874 consideration in 2025 or 2026:

- 875 • EMC-2016-003: Road Rules Effectiveness at Reducing Mass Wasting (Repeat LiDAR Surveys to  
876 Detect Landslides)
- 877 • EMC-2017-002: Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest (BMDSF) Post-Fire Automated Bird  
878 Recorders Study
- 879 • EMC-2017-006: Fuel Treatment Alternatives in Riparian Zones of the Sierra Nevada
- 880 • EMC-2017-007: The Life Cycle of Dead Trees and Implications for Management
- 881 • EMC-2018-003: Alternative Meadow Restoration
- 882 • EMC-2018-006: Effect of Forest Practice Rules on Restoring Canopy Closure, Water Temperature, &  
883 Primary Productivity
- 884 • EMC-2019-002: Evaluating Treatment Longevity and Maintenance Needs for Fuel Reduction  
885 Projects Implemented in the Wildland Urban Interface of Plumas County, CA
- 886 • EMC-2019-003: Fuel Treatments and Hydrologic Implications in the Sierra Nevada
- 887 • EMC-2021-003: Evaluating the Response of Native Pollinators to Fuel-Reduction Treatments in  
888 Managed Conifer Forests
- 889 • EMC-2022-004: A Critical Evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation’s Capacity to Accommodate  
890 Forest Restoration and Resilience Targets
- 891 • EMC-2023-002 (9GA23701): Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse  
892 and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and Riparian Areas of California

## 893 VII. REFERENCES CITED

- 894 AB-1492. California Assembly 2011-2012. Forest resource management, Health & Safety Code 13009.2.  
895 [https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201120120AB1492](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201120120AB1492). Accessed 28  
896 Apr 2025.
- 897 Agreement for Shared Stewardship of California's Forests and Rangelands. 2020. The State of California and  
898 the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region.  
899 <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/8.12.20-CA-Shared-Stewardship-MOU.pdf>.  
900 Accessed 28 Apr 2025.
- 901 Anderson, C., M. Sampognaro, and J. W. Rivers. 2024. Comparing passive trapping methods for sampling  
902 forest pollinators. Presentation at the FORTE: Academic Onboarding for New-to-OSU Students,  
903 Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. September 23, 2024. [LINK](#). Accessed 2025 Apr 28.
- 904 Battles, J. 2023. The Life Cycle of Standing Dead Trees: Implications for Forest Management in the Sierra  
905 Nevada. Final project report on EMC-2017-007. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/0zljn1th/3-  
906 battles\\_emc\\_2017\\_007\\_revised\\_report.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/0zljn1th/3-battles_emc_2017_007_revised_report.pdf). Accessed 27 Apr 2025.
- 907 Bladon, K. D. C. Segura, L. Miralha, J. Nicholas, A. D. Wissler, M. House, and D. Coe. 2024. Effectiveness of  
908 Class II Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone (WLPZ) Prescriptions. Final Project Presentation for  
909 Project EMC-2018-006 to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento, June 17, 2024.  
910 Available online: <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/phajm1n0/13-final-presentation-june-2024.pdf>.  
911 Verified 14 January 2025.
- 912 Boden, K., D. Philippus, A. Sytsma, J. Kurzweil, J. Randell, A. M. Kinoshita, and T. S. Hogue. 2023. A multi-  
913 scale assessment of forest treatment impacts on evapotranspiration and water yield in the Sierra  
914 Nevada. *Ecohydrology* 16(5): e2548. Available online:  
915 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/eco.2548>. Verified 16 January 2025.
- 916 Brandow, C.A., and P.H. Cafferata. 2014. Forest Practice Rules Implementation and Effectiveness Monitoring  
917 (FORPRIEM) Program: monitoring results from 2008 through 2013. Monitoring Study Group Final  
918 Report prepared for the California State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento, CA.  
919 121 p. plus Appendix. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ssinvmgr/brandow-and-  
920 cafferata-2014-forpriem-report-final-2-27-15.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ssinvmgr/brandow-and-cafferata-2014-forpriem-report-final-2-27-15.pdf). Verified 26 December 2024.
- 921 Chivhenge, E., D.G. Ray, A.R. Weiskittel, C.W. Woodall, and A. W. D'Amato. 2024. Evaluating the  
922 Development and Application of Stand Density Index for the Management of Complex and  
923 Adaptive Forests. *Current Forestry Reports* 10:133–152. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-024-  
924 00212-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-024-00212-w). [EMC Link](#). Verified 28 Apr 2025.
- 925 Cobb, R. 2023. Heterobasidion root disease emergence and impacts over fifty years in montane California  
926 forests: A comparison of three host-pathogen systems. June 5–9, 2023. Western International  
927 Forest Disease Work Conference, Rohnert Park, CA. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jgzdvv5e/6-  
928 wifdwc-poster-june-2023\\_ada.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jgzdvv5e/6-wifdwc-poster-june-2023_ada.pdf). Accessed 12 March 2025.
- 929 Effectiveness Monitoring Committee [EMC]. 2020. Charter of the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee.  
930 California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Approved July 1, 2020. 8 p.  
931 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/10115/effectiveness-monitoring-committee-charter-7120\\_ada.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/10115/effectiveness-monitoring-committee-charter-7120_ada.pdf).  
932 Verified 24 December 2024.
- 933 EMC. 2021. Completed Research Assessment. Developed by the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee for  
934 transmission of EMC-supported research results to the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection.

- 935 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/lufd3n5t/emc-completed-research-assessment\\_final\\_ada.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/lufd3n5t/emc-completed-research-assessment_final_ada.pdf).  
936 Verified 26 December 2024.
- 937 EMC. 2022. Effectiveness Monitoring Committee Strategic Plan. October 27, 2022.  
938 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/vaffvb42/2022-emc-strategic-plan-final.pdf>. Verified 24 December  
939 2024.
- 940 EMC. 2024a. Charter of the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee. California Board of Forestry and Fire  
941 Protection. Approved December 11, 2024. 8 p. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/avqci4do/2024-emc-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/avqci4do/2024-emc-charter-final.pdf)  
942 [charter-final.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/avqci4do/2024-emc-charter-final.pdf). Verified 24 December 2024.
- 943 EMC. 2024b. 2023 2024 Annual Report and Workplan. California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection.  
944 Approved September 26, 2024. 30 p. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/tqhbfoa4/emc-2023-annual-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/tqhbfoa4/emc-2023-annual-report-and-workplan-final.pdf)  
945 [report-and-workplan-final.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/tqhbfoa4/emc-2023-annual-report-and-workplan-final.pdf). Verified 24 December 2024.
- 946 EMC. 2024c. Grant Guidelines Fiscal Year 2023–2024 Request For Proposals. California Board of Forestry  
947 and Fire Protection. Released March 22, 2024. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/h5zbi axs/emc-grant-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/h5zbi axs/emc-grant-guidelines-2024-25-final.pdf)  
948 [guidelines-2024-25-final.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/h5zbi axs/emc-grant-guidelines-2024-25-final.pdf). Verified 24 December 2024.
- 949 EMC. 2024d. Membership Roster. California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Updated November 12,  
950 2024. [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/orqocmls/emc-members-and-term-exp\\_](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/orqocmls/emc-members-and-term-exp_webpage.pdf)  
951 [webpage.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/orqocmls/emc-members-and-term-exp_webpage.pdf). Verified  
14 January 2025.
- 952 EMC. 2024e. Projects, Research Themes, and Critical Monitoring Questions Matrix. California Board of  
953 Forestry and Fire Protection. Revised December 24, 2024.  
954 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/142j0dpc/projects-and-cmq-matrix.pdf>. Verified 14 January 2025.
- 955 EMC. 2024f. Request for Applicants. California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Updated October 1,  
956 2024. <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/2ngpyzwh/call-for-emc-applicants.pdf>. Verified 26 December  
957 2024.
- 958 EMC. 2024g. Research Themes and Critical Monitoring Questions. Approved March 6, 2024 by the California  
959 Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento. Available online:  
960 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/nmfbkuub/research-themes-and-critical-monitoring-questions.pdf>.  
961 Verified 24 December 2024.
- 962 EMC. 2024h. Research Themes and Critical Monitoring Questions DRAFT - 2024 Tracked Changes Live Edits.  
963 California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento. Available online:  
964 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jrcjea1p/10-research-themes-and-critical-monitoring-questions-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jrcjea1p/10-research-themes-and-critical-monitoring-questions-2024-tc-live-edits.pdf)  
965 [2024-tc-live-edits.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/jrcjea1p/10-research-themes-and-critical-monitoring-questions-2024-tc-live-edits.pdf). Verified 12 June 2024.
- 966 Gutierrez, S. and M. Sampognaro. 2024. Specimen Collections: the Value in Preserving Specimens for Future  
967 Scientific Research. Oregon State University Spring Poster Symposium, May 16, Corvallis. Available  
968 online: <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/fttcfirj/4-gutierrez-and-sampognaro-2024.pdf>. Accessed 14  
969 January 2025.
- 970 Hamey, N. 2024. Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study. EMC-2022-003 Project Progress  
971 Report Presentation to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento, November 14, 2024.  
972 Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/niofjw2i/progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/niofjw2i/progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-003-nov-2024.pdf)  
973 [003-nov-2024.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/niofjw2i/progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-003-nov-2024.pdf). Accessed 02 April 2025.
- 974 Marks, S., C. Surfleet, and B. Malama. 2024. Estimating and Modeling Pinus contorta Transpiration in a  
975 Montane Meadow Using Sap-Flow Measurements. *Forests* 15(10):1786.  
976 <https://doi.org/10.3390/f15101786>. EMC LINK. Accessed 27 February 2025.
- 977 Miley, N. 2024. Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection  
978 Zones and riparian areas of California. EMC-2022-004 Project Progress Report Presentation to the

- 979 Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento, November 14, 2024. Available online:  
980 <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/x4ramgj5/5-progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-004.pdf>.  
981 Verified 16 January 2025.
- 982 Norville, T. 2024. EMC-2022-005: Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods –  
983 Annual Progress Report. June 2024. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1gilnaid/june-  
984 2024-update.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/1gilnaid/june-2024-update.pdf). Verified 14 January 2025.
- 985 Norville, T., and M. Jones. 2024. Decay Rates and Fire Behavior of Woody Debris in Coastal Redwoods.  
986 Project Progress Report Presentation to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee, Sacramento.  
987 November 14, 2024. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ayip3gcx/11-progress-report-  
988 presentation-emc-2022-005.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/ayip3gcx/11-progress-report-presentation-emc-2022-005.pdf). Verified 14 January 2025.
- 989 O’Connor, M. and L. Love-Anderegg. 2024a. Draft Completed Research Assessment for EMC-2018-003:  
990 Alternative Meadow Restoration. August 20, 2024. Available online:  
991 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/cmehahpz/8-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003-  
992 draft.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/cmehahpz/8-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003-draft.pdf). Verified 14 January 2025.
- 993 O’Connor, M. and L. Love-Anderegg. 2024b. Final Completed Research Assessment for EMC-2018-003:  
994 Alternative Meadow Restoration. Approved by the California Board of Forestry & Fire Protection  
995 December 11, 2024, Sacramento. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/msfh1bjs/9-final-  
996 completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/msfh1bjs/9-final-completed-research-assessment-emc-2018-003.pdf). Verified 28 Apr 2025.
- 997 Prats, S.A., Malvar, M.C. and J.W. Wagenbrenner. 2020. Compaction and cover effects on runoff and  
998 erosion in post-fire salvage logged areas in the Valley Fire, California. *Wildfire and Hydrological  
999 Processes*, 35(1), p.e13997. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13997>. EMC LINK. Verified 28 Apr 2025.
- 1000 Ramirez, O. 2024. Hydrologic response of meadow restoration following the removal of encroached  
1001 conifers. June 2024, 76 p. Master’s thesis, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.  
1002 Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/vfvl105c/oramirez\\_2024june.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/vfvl105c/oramirez_2024june.pdf). Verified 14  
1003 January 2025.
- 1004 Rivers, J. 2024a. Ecology and conservation of native bees in working forest landscapes. Invited talk. Available  
1005 online: <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/wreb01kc/5-rivers-2024.pdf>. Verified 14 January 2025.
- 1006 Rivers, J. 2024b. Evaluating the response of native bees to fuel-reduction treatments in managed conifer  
1007 forests. Project Progress Report Presentation to the Effectiveness Monitoring Committee,  
1008 Sacramento. November 14, 2024. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/2psjwukl/7-  
1009 progress-report-presentation-emc-2021-003-11-2024.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/2psjwukl/7-progress-report-presentation-emc-2021-003-11-2024.pdf). Verified 01 April 2025.
- 1010 Rivers, J. and M. Sampognaro. 2024. Evaluating native bee response to fuel-reduction treatments in  
1011 managed conifer forests. Handout for presentation to CAL FIRE, July 2024. Available online:  
1012 [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/gqna1caa/6-rivers-and-sampognaro-07-2024-calfire-bee-project-  
1013 handout.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/gqna1caa/6-rivers-and-sampognaro-07-2024-calfire-bee-project-handout.pdf). Verified 01 April 2025.
- 1014 Saah, D., and J. Moghaddas. 2025. Project Summary for Annual Report. EMC-2023-002: Assessing Fire  
1015 Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ) and  
1016 riparian areas of California. Reporting Period: January–December 2024. LINK. Accessed 28 Apr  
1017 2025.
- 1018 Sampognaro, M., K. Moriarty, J. Verschuyll, and J. W. Rivers. 2024a. Evaluating native bee community  
1019 response to fuel reduction treatments in managed timber forests. Outreach presentation for  
1020 Oregon State University Environmental Club., Corvallis , OR. March 14th, 2024. LINK. Accessed 2025  
1021 Apr 28.

- 1022 Sampognaro, M., K. Moriarty, J. Verschuyf, and J. W. Rivers. 2024b. Evaluating native bee community  
1023 response to fuel break treatments in managed forests. Presentation at the Western Forest  
1024 Graduate Research Symposium, Corvallis OR. April 12th, 2024. [LINK](#). Accessed 2025 Apr 28.
- 1025 Sampognaro, M., K. Moriarty, J. Verschuyf, and J. W. Rivers. 2024c. Evaluating native bee community  
1026 response to fuel reduction treatments in managed timber forests. Invited Talk: Presentation at the  
1027 Lassen Field Station Research Symposium, Mineral, CA. June 19th, 2024. [LINK](#). Accessed 2025 Apr  
1028 28.
- 1029 Smith, K. A., Schneider, K. E., Kinoshita, A. M., Kurzweil, J., Prucha, B., & Hogue, T. S. (2024). Water yield  
1030 response to forest treatment patterns in a Sierra Nevada watershed. *Journal of Hydrology Regional*  
1031 *Studies*, 53, 101762–101762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2024.101762>. [LINK](#). Accessed 26 Apr  
1032 2025.
- 1033 Tompkins, R. 2023. Operational resilience in western US frequent-fire forests: What is forest resilience &  
1034 how do we restore it? California Licensed Forester’s Association, March 2–3, Anderson, CA.  
1035 Available online: [LINK](#). Verified 29 Apr 2025.
- 1036 York, R. 2022. Managing density to resist multiple stressors in mixed conifer forests. Presentation to the  
1037 Forestry Forum, March 2022. Available online: [LINK](#). Verified 29 Apr 2025.
- 1038 York, R. 2024a. Fuel treatment alternatives in riparian zones of the Sierra Nevada. May 2024. A presentation  
1039 to the Forest Landowners of California. Available online: [https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/mxqpjsrz/8-](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/mxqpjsrz/8-presentation-forest-landowners-of-california-may-2024.pdf)  
1040 [presentation-forest-landowners-of-california-may-2024.pdf](https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/mxqpjsrz/8-presentation-forest-landowners-of-california-may-2024.pdf). Verified 14 January 2025.
- 1041 York, R. 2024b. Progress report for EMC-2022-004: A critical evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation's  
1042 capacity to accommodate forest restoration and resilience targets. June 21, 2024. Available online:  
1043  <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/b43pmaus/4-progress-report-june-2024-emc-2022-004.pdf>. Verified  
1044 28 Apr 2025.
- 1045

