

PROJECT INFORMATION		RANKING							
Project Number	Project Title	Critical Question (5)	Scientific Uncertainty (10)	Geographic Application (5)	Collaboration & Feasibility (5)	Overall Ranking (5)	Relative Ranking	EMC Funding Request (\$)	Awarded?
2022-001	Cumulative Watershed Effects of Pesticide Discharge Related to Post-Fire Reforestation	3.3	8.0	4.4	4.6	19.1	tie for 2nd	\$ 300,000.00	No
2022-003	Santa Cruz Mountains Post-Fire Redwood Defect Study	3.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	18.0	4th	\$ 207,876.00	Yes
2022-004	A critical evaluation of Forest Practice Regulation's capacity to accommodate forest restoration and resilience targets	4.4	8.4	4.8	4.6	22.2	1st	\$ 85,000.00	Yes
2022-005	Decay rate and fire behavior of post-harvest slash in coastal redwood forests	4.8	7.6	3.8	4.8	20.9	tie for 2nd	\$ 91,278.00	Yes

- **Critical Question(s)** Proposed monitoring project addresses one or more EMC critical monitoring questions with appropriate study design and experimental methods.
 - **Scientific Uncertainty** Current scientific understanding is not well-studied or validated. This ranking is weighed twice (2 times) the weight of other rankings.
 - **Geographic Application** Critical question and proposed project has broad geographic application.
 - **Collaboration & Feasibility** Number of active contributing collaborators relative to the monitoring subject. Consider the magnitude and expertise of the collaborators. Feasibility of monitoring project to meet stated goals and objectives within expected budget and timelines needed by the EMC, Board or stakeholders.
- On a categorical scale of 1 to 5, reviewers should refer to the following guidance when reviewing and ranking a proposal:
- 1 = Does not meet any portion of the Ranking
 - 2 = Does not meet key portions of the Ranking
 - 3 = May meet some portions of the Ranking, either key or ancillary
 - 4 = Meets key portions of the Ranking and does not address ancillary portions
 - 5 = Meets all portions of the Ranking

5 = meets all portions of the ranking